1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : 22-CR-194(EK) : United States Courthouse -against-: Brooklyn, New York ANTHONY ROMANELLO AND : Wednesday, November 29, 2023 JOSEPH CELSO, : 9:30 a.m. Defendants. TRANSCRIPT OF CRIMINAL CAUSE FOR JURY SELECTION BEFORE THE HONORABLE ERIC R. KOMITEE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE, AND A JURY APPEARANCES: UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE For the Government: Eastern District of New York 271 Cadman Plaza East Brooklyn, New York 11201 BY: DANA RHENQUIST, ESQ. IRISA CHEN, ESQ. REBECCA SCHUMAN, ESQ.

Assistant United States Attorneys

For the Defendant: GERALD J. MCMAHON, ESQ.

Attorney for the Defendant -

Anthony Romanello

11 Burnham Court

Scotch Plains, New Jersey 07076

2 For the Defendant: THE LAW OFFICE OF GERARD MARRONE, P.C. Attorney for the Defendant -Joseph Celso 66-85 73rd Place Second Floor Middle Village, New York 11379 BY: GERARD M. MARRONE, ESQ. Anthony D. Frisolone, FAPR, RDR, CRR, CRI Court Reporter: Official Court Reporter Telephone: (718) 613-2487 Facsimile: (718) 613-2694 E-mail: Anthony_Frisolone@nyed.uscourts.gov Proceedings recorded by computerized stenography. Transcript produced by Computer-aided Transcription.

3 Proceedings 1 (In open court.) 2 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Criminal cause for trial, 3 United States v. Romanello, et al., Docket No. 22-CR-194. 4 Counsel, please state your appearances for the record beginning with the Government. 5 MS. RHENQUIST: Dana Rehnquist, Irisa Chen, 6 7 Rebecca Schuman with Paralegal Specialist Theodore Rader and 8 Special Agent Joseph Costello. MR. MCMAHON: Gerald McMahon for the defendant 9 10 Mr. Romanello. My assistant Cassandra Williams is here with 11 us today. 12 Good morning. THE COURT: 13 MR. MARRONE: Your Honor, good morning. My name 14 is Gerard Marrone, M-a-r-r-o-n-e, for Mr. Celso who is 15 seated with me. 16 Good morning to you as well. THE COURT: 17 We're going to bring the jury in relatively soon, 18 but for the moment I just want to chose whatever loops we 19 need to close before opening statements. 20 One is the question of reputation evidence which I 21 did not include in my written order on the motions in 22 But I think everybody knows where we are on that 23 which is the reputation evidence will be admitted subject to 24 the limitations and caveats that we discussed on the record

which include, among other things, that the witnesses will

Proceedings 4 be scrupulous in limiting their testimony to the reputation, 1 2 rumor, or statement or innuendo or whatever that they 3 actually heard and not their own private framing of that 4 thereafter. 5 Anything else from the Government's perspective before we bring in the jury and anything else we need to 6 7 resolve before, or should resolve, before opening 8 statements? 9 MS. RHENQUIST: Just three things, your Honor. 10 The first is we passed out the binders under the 11 jurors' chairs. I'm not sure that they will notice them, but to the extent they do, they should just be instructed 12 13 not to look at them until asked to do so by the parties. 14 THE COURT: Okay. MS. RHENQUIST: The second point is... 15 16 THE COURT: Before you move on to point number 17 two, let me find a place in my opening instructions to 18 mention that. 19 And I have one more housekeeping matter to mention 20 about a comment from a juror to the Court's deputy yesterday 21 that I'll put on the record but don't think affects our 22 progress here. 23 MS. RHENQUIST: Would you like to do that? 24 THE COURT: Which I'll do now. Thank you.

A juror, I'm not even sure which one, because I

did not inquire further, after my instructions not to Google anybody associated with the case helpfully, and I think honorably, confided to the Court's deputy that he Googled me. And I don't see any reason even for further inquiry on that subject given that I don't think the Google results that one would turn up on my name are that interesting. And I think the likelihood of anybody spelling my name correctly in the Google search is low. But if anybody on either side wants to inquire further about that you'll let me know.

MS. RHENQUIST: There's no issue from the Government, your Honor.

MR. MCMAHON: Not from us.

MR. MARRONE: Perhaps, Judge, if we could reiterate not to Google anybody.

THE COURT: We need you on a microphone.

I think Mr. Marrone was asking me to reiterate the instructions about not doing outside research and everyone can rest assured that the jury will be very soon, very sick of hearing me reiterate those instructions.

MS. RHENQUIST: The second point is there was a reference yesterday by defense counsel about a potential argument in closing in relation to the immunity issues that have been discussed but not yet resolved. And we would just request those issues since they have not come to a head yet or been resolved not be addressed in opening.

Anthony D. Frisolone, FAPR, RDR, CRR, CRI, CSR Official Court Reporter

6 Proceedings And you know what issues we're talking 1 THE COURT: 2 about. 3 MR. MCMAHON: Yes, Judge. No problem. 4 MR. MARRONE: No problem, your Honor. MS. RHENQUIST: The third issue is there was a 5 Daily News article this morning that discussed the case in 6 7 which defense counsel gave comments yesterday about the facts of this case including their view of the evidence and 8 9 what the evidence would show. Pursuant to the Joint Local Rules in both the 10 11 Eastern and Southern District that is prohibited. 12 jury selection and trial has begun, no parties are allowed 13 to comment to the press or comment in any way that they 14 think could be publicly disseminated. 15 THE COURT: So, obviously, a violation of the Local Rules, if one occurred, would be a serious thing. 16 17 think for now it should suffice to instruct everybody to be 18 scrupulously aware of the contents of those rules and 19 everything else that might be maybe during trial and to 20 follow them like your reputation and law license depends on 21 it and we can take that up later if need be. 22 MS. RHENQUIST: Nothing else from the Government, 23 your Honor. 24

MR. MCMAHON: And that same Daily News article, of more concern, was the fact that they have reference to my

Proceedings

co-defendant's prior arrest for murder and an acquittal.

And that, to me, that's extremely damaging even though he's acquitted of it. I don't know what your Honor can do about it given the First Amendment.

THE COURT: I mean, there is a First Amendment issue there for sure. I'll note for the record, to the extent this is helpful to anybody later reviewing this record, that we asked every juror what newspapers they read and I don't think a single person indicated the Daily News in response to that question. Apologies to any employee of that great newspaper who may be in the Court with us today. I don't know that there's anything to do at this point but defense counsel will send a letter if they believe otherwise.

MR. MARRONE: So, Judge, as I'm sitting here, I'm contemplating the same issue and I'm not sure what your Honor could do about that when this acquittal was mentioned this morning in the Daily News.

THE COURT: There are two, just to be clear, two levels of safeguard here. One is that I think we picked a jury where nobody indicated they read the Daily News. And two, is that I have already instructed the jurors in advance of this morning for sure not to consume any media about this case. And so, I don't think there's anything to do at this point but, again, if you want to think about this and put

Anthony D. Frisolone, FAPR, RDR, CRR, CRI, CSR Official Court Reporter

8 Proceedings your thoughts in a letter with whatever authority you think 1 2 I should be looking at, I am, of course, of course, happy to 3 consider that. 4 MR. MARRONE: Thank you, Judge. I think just in the instruction again from your 5 Honor would suffice for now no Googling. 6 That admonishment, 7 so to speak, of the jury I think would be appropriate. THE COURT: Yes. My practice is to be remind the 8 9 jurors every time they leave the jury box not to discuss the 10 case with one another and every time they're leaving the 11 courthouse not to do any outside research. I may forget that on one or two occasions but I'm confident that the jury 12 13 will hear that from me with some frequency. 14 Anything else from the Government's perspective? MS. RHENQUIST: No, your Honor. Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. McMahon? 16 17 MR. MCMAHON: No. 18 THE COURT: Mr. Marrone? 19 MR. MARRONE: No, Judge. 20 THE COURT: Let's bring in the jury. 21 I am, sorry, just in the interest of efficiency 22 and time, I'm trying to arrange to serve lunch in the jury 23 room because if jurors have to go out and get a table 24 somewhere or sandwich somewhere and then come back, clear

security, reassemble in the jury room, lunch breaks are

9 Proceedings going to take much longer than is ideal. But we don't know 1 2 exactly yet whether we can accomplish that logistically. 3 I'm going to leave out the part about what happens at lunch 4 for my standard instruction and we'll take that up once we 5 know. 6 I think we're ready. 7 (A brief pause in the proceedings was held.) 8 THE COURT: We're waiting on one juror but we're 9 calling that person now. 10 (A brief pause in the proceedings was held.) 11 THE COURT: I feel people can often hear me even 12 without the microphone for better for worse. We've called 13 the juror who is not yet here. That person reports that 14 they are 20ish minutes out. I was just saying that my experience is that when people say they're 20 minutes away, 15 16 they're usually 30 or 40. I don't know if there is anything 17 we can do at this point but wait. But I don't know if 18 anybody has a poem they want to read or something. 19 MR. MCMAHON: Judge, can we know who it is? 20 THE COURT: Why? 21 MR. MCMAHON: Then I'll want to know whether I 22 want to move to get him out, him or her. I don't want to 23 wait 20, 30, 40 minutes. 24 THE COURT: Why does it depend on which juror it 25 is?

10 Proceedings 1 MR. MCMAHON: Because if it's a good juror for the 2 defense I'm going to wait. THE COURT: That's a little bit of an admission of 3 4 pretext that's really not about lateness. 5 MS. RHENQUIST: Your Honor, I think we should just wait for the juror to arrive. 6 THE COURT: That seems advisable to me. Let's at 7 least hear, if necessary, what the reasons for the lateness 8 9 were and see whether we have a pattern here. We'll collect 10 a little more information before we start thinking about 11 motions. 12 MS. RHENQUIST: Since we have time, we can raise a 13 couple issues. 14 THE COURT: Sure. MS. RHENQUIST: One is the -- in your order the 15 other day about the business record. You did not rule on 16 17 the DMV records --18 THE COURT: Yes. 19 MS. RHENQUIST: -- because they're not certificates. The DMV records are admissible under a 20 21 different rule of evidence as a self-authenticating records 22 because they're signed and sealed. 23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 MS. RHENQUIST: So they don't need a certificate. 25 So what we were planning to do is just prior to a witness

11 Proceedings 1 taking the stand, move them in pursuant to that rule of 2 evidence. 3 THE COURT: They have the seal of the Department 4 of Motor Vehicles on them? 5 MS. RHENQUIST: Yes. THE COURT: Mr. McMahon, any objection? 6 7 No, your Honor. MR. MCMAHON: 8 THE COURT: Mr. Marrone. 9 MR. MARRONE: No, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: That's settled then. And I appreciate 11 you doing that outside of the jury's hearing. 12 MS. RHENQUIST: And there were, I think, a few 13 other categories of business records that also weren't 14 included in the order. The parties have actually reached a stipulation on those records that they'll be admitted 15 16 pursuant to a stipulation. 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 MS. RHENQUIST: And does your Honor have a 19 preference as to how the stipulations are read in? At least 20 today, we are planning to admit two stipulations, one of 21 which will be read during a witness's testimony and the 22 other we were planning to read in between witnesses. 23 THE COURT: I don't have a preference. I'm often asked if I have a preference as to who reads the 24 25 stipulation. I don't think it much matters to me, but speak

12 Proceedings 1 to each other and if there is some live dispute here you'll 2 bring it to my attention. 3 (A brief pause in the proceedings was held.) 4 MS. RHENQUIST: Your Honor, I believe I saw a juror come in through the elevator. 5 THE COURT: We're getting close. 6 7 MS. RHENQUIST: We might be getting close. (A brief pause in the proceedings was held.) 8 9 (Defendant enters the courtroom at 10:34 a.m.) 10 THE COURT: Please be seated, everyone. 11 Ladies and gentlemen, good morning. 12 THE JURY: (Collectively) Good morning. 13 THE COURT: Thank you for being with us this 14 morning. I hope everybody is well rested and in a position to focus here today. 15 16 I'll reiterate just briefly that it is 17 exceptionally important that everybody be in at or before 18 the appointed time in the morning. We have a dynamic here 19 where even one person being late can cause 40 or 50 people 20 to end up waiting a very long time. That time that could 21 otherwise be used productively. 22 So, with that, we're going to begin this morning 23 with my preliminary instructions to you. I'm going to come 24 down momentarily if we have a portable microphone, which I 25 see we do -- thank you -- just so I can be a bit closer to

13 Proceedings 1 the jury itself when I walk you through the preliminary 2 instructions. 3 Before we begin, and starting with counsel for the 4 Government, are you ready to proceed? 5 MS. RHENQUIST: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: And Mr. McMahon? 6 7 MR. MCMAHON: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Marrone? 8 9 MR. MARRONE: Yes, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: The jury has been sworn, correct? 11 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes, Judge. 12 So I'm going to put on this THE COURT: Okay. 13 microphone now and give you some preliminary instructions 14 that will prepare you at least a bit for the presentations that will follow. And I will reiterate for anybody, anybody 15 who might have a phone in the courtroom to please make sure 16 17 that the ringer is turned off. 18 I think the microphone is going to pick up what 19 I'm saying. The Court reporter will let me know if you have 20 any difficulty hearing me. 21 Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, we're about to begin the trial of this criminal case. You've already 22 23 learned something about the case during the process of jury 24 selection but before the trial begins, there are certain

things I wish to tell you to help you understand what will

be presented and how you should conduct yourselves during the trial.

To begin with, you're here to administer justice in this case. To administer justice according to the law and the evidence. You are to perform this task with complete fairness and impartiality and without bias, prejudice, or sympathy either for or against the Government or the defendants.

This case, of course, is important to the defendants who are charged with committing certain crimes and who have the constitutional right to receive a fair trial. The case also is important to the Government since enforcement of the criminal laws is important.

The case is based on an indictment. During jury selection, I briefly summarized the charges in the indictment to you. I instruct you now that an indictment is simply the document by which a criminal action is commenced. It is merely an accusation, a charge, it is not evidence of the defendant's guilt.

Because the defendants have pleaded not guilty, the Government has the burden of proving each of the essential elements of the crime charged in the indictment beyond a reasonable doubt. The elements.

The purpose of the trial is to determine whether the Government meets its burden. And obviously, I'll have

Anthony D. Frisolone, FAPR, RDR, CRR, CRI, CSR Official Court Reporter

more instructions for you at the close of the case about what these elements are.

The defendants are not required to prove their innocence. On the contrary, both defendants are presumed to be innocent of the accusations contained in the indictment.

As you've already heard, the indictment contains three counts. I will briefly define each of those crimes and their elements for you, sorry, I will comprehensively define each of those crimes and their elements for you in my instructions after the presentation of the evidence.

But for now, in the most general terms, Count One charges both defendants with conspiring, conspiring, to engage in the extortionate collection of credit. Count Two charges both defendants with engaging, engaging, in the extortionate collection of credit.

Count Three charges defendant Celso, and only defendant Celso, with obstruction of justice.

Let me now give you a preview of the stages through which this case may proceed. The trial will proceed through six potential stages, roughly speaking, in the following order:

First, the parties have the opportunity to make opening statements. The Government will make such a statement and the defendants may do so. The defendants are not, however, obliged to make opening statements. Indeed,

Proceedings

neither defendant has the obligation to do anything in the course of this trial. What is said in these opening statements is not evidence. Rather, the attorneys will be attempting to give you an introduction or an overview of the evidence which they expect, they expect, will be produced in the course of the trial. That's the first phase of opening statements.

Second, after the opening statements, the Government will introduce evidence to support the charges contained in the indictment. This may come, this evidence may come in the form of testimony from witnesses. It may also be physical items or documents that are offered in evidence. And indeed, some of you may have noticed that there are binders under your seats right now. We put those there to start in an effort to maintain efficiency, but please do not open or look at the binders until instructed to do so.

After each witness testifies on direct examination, they will be cross-examined if the defendants choose to. So the Government lawyer will do a direct examination, the defense lawyer may do a cross-examination asking questions of that government witness. After that, there might be some redirect examination which is an opportunity for the Government to follow up on certain points that may have been covered on cross. And then,

Anthony D. Frisolone, FAPR, RDR, CRR, CRI, CSR Official Court Reporter

perhaps the defense may do some recross-examination following up on just the points that were covered in the redirect. But the scope of each examination is limited to what was covered in the prior examination. And so, each successive examination should get shorter and smaller.

Generally speaking, there are two kinds of evidence: Direct evidence and circumstantial evidence.

Direct evidence is direct proof of a fact such as the testimony of an eyewitness. Circumstantial evidence is proof of facts from which you may infer or conclude that other facts exist. To give a classic example, suppose that when you came into the -- the classic example of circumstantial evidence. Suppose that when you came into the courthouse today, the sun was shining and it was a nice day. But this courtroom has no windows and, therefore, you cannot look outside. Then later, as you were sitting here, a person walked in with a dripping wet umbrella, and soon after somebody else walked in with a dripping wet raincoat.

Now, on our assumed facts, you cannot look outside of the courtroom and see for yourself whether or not it is raining. You have no direct evidence of that fact. But on a combination of facts about the umbrella and the raincoat it would be reasonable perhaps for you to infer that it had begun to rain. That's an example of circumstantial evidence.

Proceedings

I will give you further instructions on these types of evidence as well as other matters at the end of the case, but please keep in mind that you may consider both kinds of evidence. The law does not require you to draw any distinction between direct and circumstantial evidence, generally speaking.

During the course of the trial, exhibits will be received into evidence. They will have exhibit numbers. You will be able to review those exhibits in the jury room during your deliberations at the end of the case if you need them. I will also give a copy of my instructions, my final instructions, at the end of this case so that you will have those during your deliberations as well.

If an item is received in evidence, the attorneys may choose to have you look at it right here in court right after it is received. Whether they do this or not, however, you will have ample opportunity to examine any exhibits you wish to see in the jury room assuming those exhibits are actually admitted at trial.

You may also hear that the lawyers have agreed or stipulated as we say to certain facts or to certain testimony. If there is such a stipulation introduced, you should accept those facts as true if the stipulation is about facts and you should accept the testimony in the stipulation as what a witness would have said if the

stipulation is about a witness's testimony. But you still, even in the event of a stipulation, you still must then decide the weight, the weight, to give those stipulated facts or that stipulated testimony.

At other times, it may happen that I instruct you that an item of evidence is being offered for a limited purpose only. You must follow that instruction. If I strike an answer that a witness gives, or if I instruct you to disregard an answer, then you should disregard that testimony; that answer. It is not evidence and it should not be considered by you as evidence.

Anything you may see here or hear outside of this courtroom is not evidence and must be disregarded because your verdict must be based solely on evidence that is introduced and presented here in this courtroom.

The third phase of trial or potential phase.

When the Government has concluded putting in its evidence, the defendants may, may present evidence, but they are not required to do so. The burden at I've indicated is always on the Government to prove every element of an offense charged beyond a reasonable doubt and the law never imposes on a defendant in a criminal case the burden of calling any witnesses or introducing any evidence.

Fourth phase: If one or both defendants puts on any evidence, the Government may then wish or may not wish

to put on further evidence before you to rebut what the defense has set forth.

Fifth: Once all of the evidence has been presented, each party will have the opportunity to present closing arguments or summations, to you.

As with the opening statements today, what is said in closing arguments or summation is not evidence. Each party will be presenting to you its view of what the evidence has shown and suggesting to you the inferences or conclusions that you should draw from that evidence. You may find their arguments sound and persuasive or you may not. Because the Government has the burden of proof in this case, it has the right to argue first followed by both defendants after which the Government may give a rebuttal summation.

Sixth: After you've heard closing arguments, I will then instruct you on the applicable law. You will then retire to deliberate and consider your verdict. Your verdict in this case must be unanimous. You will have a tremendously important task as jurors. Your task is to determine the facts. Our constitution gives a defendant the right to have you, who are members of the community, find those facts.

(Continued on the next page.)

(Continuing.)

THE COURT: As the judges of the facts, you must determine which of the witnesses you believe, what portion of their testimony you accept, and what weight you may attach to it.

You, not the Court or me, are the sole, the sole judges of the facts. I will be doing my best to preside impartially and not to express any opinion concerning the facts. If at any time I should make any comment with respect to the facts, you should disregard it in your deliberations. It is your judgment as to the facts, not mine, which controls.

In the course of this trial, the attorneys may, from time to time, stand and say that they object to a certain question or to certain evidence. In those cases, the attorneys are asking me to make a ruling of law as to whether the evidence in question is admissible or not in this trial. There are certain rules that apply to the receipt of evidence in trials. If I sustain an objection, that means that I think the law does not permit the evidence in question to be considered and you are to disregard the question asked. You are not to speculate about how that question might have been answered. You simply have no evidence before you on that subject.

If, on the other hand, I sustain an objection after the answer has been given, I will strike the answer, meaning

that even though you've heard the answer, you are not to consider it at all in your deliberations. You are to act as if that answer had never been given.

If I overrule an objection, it means that I find no legal reason not to allow the evidence to come before you. You should not, however, attach any special weight to evidence that comes in over objection. Simply consider it together with all other evidence.

No statement, ruling, remark or comment that I may make during this trial is ever intended to indicate any opinion as to how you should decide the case or to influence you in any way in your determination of the facts.

At times I may ask a question of witnesses. I do so simply to bring out matters which I think should be brought out and not in any way to indicate an opinion about the facts or the weight you should give to the testimony of that witness.

If at any point your recollection of the evidence differs from what the lawyers have told you about the evidence, it is your recollection that controls -- your recollection that controls.

One very important thing to note is that you must not be influenced by anything you may have seen or heard outside of this courtroom. You must not be influenced by anything you may have seen or heard outside of this courtroom.

This case must be decided by jurors who base their decisions solely on the witnesses' testimony and the other evidence introduced at trial. This means that from today -- actually yesterday, or even before -- until the end of trial, the true end of this trial, you must not conduct any independent research about this case, the matters in this case, or the individuals involved in this case. You should not consult any law books, dictionaries, or reference materials, and you should not search the internet or use any other tools to obtain information about this case or to help you in your decision as jurors. Do not -- do not try to visit any of the places mentioned during this trial whether they are physical places or locations on the internet.

You should also not Google the lawyers, the parties, or, going forward, even me. Don't blog or tweet about the case -- or X about the case, I suppose we would say now. Do not blog or tweet about your experience as a juror, none of that during the trial. It would be a violation of your oath as jurors and it is very important, very important that your decision be made solely on the basis of the evidence presented in this case and that you not seek information from any source, any source outside the confines of this courtroom. Every once in a while you read a newspaper article about how a whole trial had to be re-done because a juror did not follow that instruction and it tainted the entire outcome, so please

take that instruction very seriously.

Also, if at any point you recognize somebody who comes into the courtroom, please let Mr. Jackson know about that. There's nothing necessarily wrong with it. This is a public courtroom and anybody can come in and watch if they want. But if it's someone close to you, I will want to know.

Let me talk now about conduct during recesses.

There are several rules which should govern your conduct during any recess or break that we take in the trial.

First, do not discuss the case with anyone else during any recess. Even as among yourselves you see it is important that each of you keep an open mind, reaching your conclusion only, only during your final deliberations after all of the evidence is in and after you have heard the attorneys summations and my instructions on the law. Only then will you even begin, even begin to exchange views among yourselves and reach a verdict.

Now, the instruction I've just given you is obviously counterintuitive. It's contrary in some ways to human nature. Serving on a jury is a unique experience. It's often an interesting experience. It's an experience that many people have only once or twice in a lifetime and it's something that you would naturally want to share with friends or family as the trial is going along, but you cannot do that. The problem is that if you begin discussing the case with

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Proceedings

others, they may begin giving you their opinion of what they think about the case even though they have not been here, even though they haven't heard any of the evidence, and even though they haven't heard the arguments of counsel or my instructions on the law, and it fundamentally could deprive the parties, all the parties, of a verdict that's based on the determination of jurors who have heard the evidence that I've referred to, the instructions I've referred to, and nothing else that I've referred to.

The same, the same is true, ladies and gentlemen, even with respect to discussing the case amongst yourselves. That, too, is obviously counterintuitive and contrary to human nature. After all, the thing that has brought you all here together is this trial. But what is wrong with beginning your discussion of the case before you retire to deliberate is that if you begin talking about the case even amongst yourselves, even amongst yourselves, you may begin to come to tentative opinions and conclusions that could close your mind to other evidence or could close your mind to the arguments of counsel or to my instructions on the law. Here, again, when I say do not talk about the case, what I'm telling you is a common sense rule. When I say do not talk about the case even among yourselves, I am talking about the evidence you hear in this courtroom and whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty.

I had a trial where I got a haircut in the middle of

it and somebody reported to the Court that jurors were discussing the fact that my having come back one day with a haircut. That kind of thing is permissible. But do not discuss the evidence, don't discuss the presentation of the lawyers, don't discuss my rulings, don't discuss my instructions, don't discuss anything that is really tied up with the conduct of this trial even amongst yourselves.

Lastly, discussion by others.

You should not permit any, any other person to discuss this case with you or in your presence. And if anyone should approach you in an effort to discuss the case with you, you must report that fact to me immediately through Mr. Jackson, whom you've all met. And you should tell that person that you cannot discuss the case. You should not, however -- and by the way, let me give you an example of that.

So I once ran into a friend in the lobby of this courthouse and said, Oh, what brings you here, and that person said to me, I was selected for a trial and I'm participating in it. And this was, you know, maybe in my first month as a judge, and I said to that person, Oh, is it a criminal case or a civil case, and the answer I got was, I'm sorry, the judge has instructed me not to talk about the case with anyone. I can't answer your question. That was the correct answer. Even to a question as innocuous as "Is it a criminal case or a civil case," the proper answer is, "I'm sorry, I can't discuss

the case with you."

and you are going to report that fact, of course, to Mr. Jackson, you should not discuss with your fellow jurors either that occurrence or any other fact you may feel necessary to bring to my attention. Bring it to my attention, but don't discuss it with your fellow jurors. The reason for that may be obvious. If something occurs that affects the ability of one juror to serve fairly and impartially and that juror communicates that development to other jurors, then it may be that more than one of you becomes affected, and we want to avoid obviously having that conversation extend from any one juror to any other jurors.

Discussions with the parties.

Although it is normal for people to talk with those whom we are thrown into day-to-day contact, please do not, while you are serving as jurors in this case, have any conversation with the parties, the attorneys, or any witness in this case, whether it be in the courtroom, in the hallways, in the elevator, outside the courthouse, or anywhere else. By this I mean not only do not talk about the case, when it comes to all these people and the witnesses, and even me, I mean do not talk at all, even to pass the time of day, even about the weather. You see, if somebody else sees a juror in conversation with a party, a lawyer, or a witness, that

Denist Parisı, RPK, CRK Officia Court Reporter

someone might think -- rightly or wrongly -- that something improper was being discussed. To avoid even the appearance of impropriety then, you must have no conversations. The lawyers, as officers of the Court, are particularly sensitive to this, so I can tell you that if they pass you in the hallways without even acknowledging your presence, without even a nod, they do not mean to be rude. They are just following my instructions. If you and a lawyer or one of the parties is waiting for an elevator at the same time, you should take separate elevators, or someone perhaps can even take the stairs.

I expect that you will inform me as soon as you become aware of any juror's violation of these instructions or any party or lawyer or witness's violation of these instructions. You will inform me, again, through Mr. Jackson. A juror who violates these restrictions jeopardizes the fairness of these proceedings and a mistrial could result which could require the entire process to start over.

A word on note-taking.

You've all been given a notepad, I believe. And if you haven't, then we will get note pads for you immediately before opening statements. These note pads are for the use of each individual juror during the course of trial. I want to caution you about a couple things in connection with taking notes. Number one, your notes are not a substitute for the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Proceedings

official court record. Our court reporters take down everything that witnesses say, every question that lawyers ask, and that testimony will be available to you. It will be available to you should you want to have your recollection refreshed during your deliberations about what a witness said. Really the only purpose of the notepad is that some people feel they can listen better if they're taking notes. Or, for example, if they think that an exhibit is particularly interesting and they might want to see that exhibit during deliberations, you can jot down the exhibit number, but don't feel any need to take copious notes on what the witnesses are saying, and certainly do not let note-taking distract you from your primary job, which is listening to the witnesses and evaluating their credibility and the things they say. let note-taking interfere with your listening to the testimony. You are not to rely on your notes in place of the official record, and your notes will just be for your own individual use. You are not -- I instruct you that you are not to share your notes with other jurors. You must leave your note pads in the jury room at the end of each day and these notebooks will be destroyed if anybody takes notes at the end of the case.

A word about alternates.

And I'm coming to the end here.

Those of you who have been alternate jurors should

listen just as carefully and conscientiously as the other jurors. You may very well be called upon prior to the conclusion of the case to take the place of one of the jurors and then you will have to render a verdict. You will be in the same position in that case as any other juror, so please pay strict attention at all times.

Unless I instruct you otherwise, I expect that we will begin each trial day at 9:30 sharp. Please be on time and allow yourself enough time to pass through security, meaning be in the building by 9:15, please, at the latest. Recognize that there is often extraordinary traffic on the BQE or the Long Island Expressway and leave yourself a large enough margin, please, for error.

When you arrive, please assemble in the jury room.

We will typically stop for a 45-minute or sometimes a one-hour lunch around 12:30 p.m. I would encourage you to get your lunch from the cafeteria so you don't have to go out for lunch, clear security on the way back, but that decision is ultimately up to you, as long as you can meet the timeline that we set.

We will also take a short break in the morning and a short break in the afternoon and we, I expect, will end each day somewhere between five o'clock and 5:30.

So just to sum up, this trial will begin with opening statements and then with witness testimony. When the

31 **Proceedings** testimony is complete, the lawyers will address you in their 1 2 closing arguments, I will give you my jury charge or instructions and then you will deliberate and reach a verdict. 3 4 Let's take, at this point, a five- or ten-minute break, we'll get some note pads if you don't have them, and 5 then we will begin with the Government's opening statement. 6 7 So you all can go relax in the jury room for five or ten minutes and then we will see you back. 8 9 Thank you. 10 (Jury exits.) 11 THE COURT: You can grab some note pads, please. 12 Thank you. 13 Anybody using the podium? 14 MS. SCHUMAN: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: We will get set up for opening 15 statements here. I think we are going to kick off at 11:15. 16 17 How long does the Government expect to go? 18 MS. SCHUMAN: Approximately ten minutes, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: So we'll have surely at least one more 20 opening statement and maybe even all the opening statements before lunch. 21 22 (A recess in the proceedings was taken.) 23 (Jury enters.) 24 THE COURT: Please be seated, everyone. 25 The Government may proceed when ready.

Opening Statement - Schuman

OPENING STATEMENT BY MS. SCHUMAN:

MS. SCHUMAN: Thank you, your Honor.

On May 11th, 2017, these defendants, Anthony
Romanello and Joseph Celso confronted Shuqeri Selimaj to force
him to pay his relative's gambling debt, but when he refused
to pay, Romanello punched him in the face while Celso and
others swarmed in and surrounded him. That is why we are here
today.

Ladies and gentlemen, my name is Rebecca Schuman and I'm an Assistant United States Attorney here in the Eastern District of New York. With me are my colleagues, Irisa Chen and Dana Rehnquist; FBI Special Agent Joseph Costello; and paralegal specialist, Theodore Rader. Together we represent the United States.

During this trial, you will learn that the defendants used intimidation and force to collect a gambling debt from Shuqeri Selimaj who you will generally hear referred to by his nickname "Bruno" during this case.

In early 2017, Bruno's relatives got in over their heads. They were gambling, lost big, and ended up thousands of dollars in debt. A few weeks later when they hadn't paid up, the defendants, who were known to the victims in this case to be associated with the Mafia, were called in to collect the debt. And over the following weeks, Romanello confronted Bruno three times to demand the money. The first time

Denist Parisı, RPK, CRK Officia Court Reporter

Romanello confronted Bruno, Romanello told Bruno that his relatives owed him more than \$80,000 and that Bruno was now responsible for the debt. Romanello made clear that the money owed was Romanello's money. And let's remember, this demand wasn't coming from just anyone. As the evidence will show, Bruno understood that Romanello was associated with the Mafia, that Romanello was dangerous, and that this was not a friendly request. This was a demand. This was a threat.

But the debt was not immediately paid. So Romanello went back to Bruno's Restaurant a second time and again demanded the money from Bruno. Romanello was furious yelling at Bruno that he had to pay. Romanello wanted his money. The situation was escalating and Bruno knew that crossing Romanello could have real consequences. Violent consequences. And it did.

On May 11th, 2017, when the debt still wasn't paid, Romanello, Celso, and two other men went to Bruno's Restaurant a third time to confront Bruno. Romanello again demanded payment screaming at Bruno that he wanted his money. This time, however, Bruno said he would pay Romanello only a portion of the amount Romanello was demanding, but Romanello wanted his money. He wanted all his money and he wanted it now. So what did Romanello do? In Bruno's own restaurant in front of staff and customers, Romanello punched Bruno in the face. Another of his men grabbed Bruno by the collar and

pushed him backwards, but Celso and Romanello's son surrounded Bruno moving in towards him. Stumbling backward and trying to protect himself, his staff and his customers, Bruno pointed out the restaurant's surveillance cameras warning the defendants that anything they did would be caught on camera. And it was only then that the defendants backed down and fled the restaurant.

Once the defendants left, Bruno called the police to report that he was assaulted by Romanello. He filed a complaint describing how Romanello showed up at his restaurant and punched him in the face. But the very next day Bruno's brother told Bruno about a conversation with Celso who, just like Romanello, these victims understood to be associated with the Mafia and to be the kind of person you did not want to cross. Celso threatened that if Bruno did not drop the charges against Romanello, things would get even uglier.

So Bruno went to the police station to withdraw the complaint scared of what the defendants might do otherwise. Bruno also spoke with his family to figure out how they were going to get together the money to pay off that debt. Everyone agreed that the debt had to be paid and it had to be paid immediately because Bruno already had been attacked at his own restaurant and the family worried that if they didn't pay the debt in full quickly, someone would get hurt even worse.

So not only did Bruno withdraw the complaint against Romanello, but he and his family also found a way to pay the entire debt. And for a period of time, the defendants got away with it. That is until two years later when a grand jury, a group of people just like yourselves whose job it is to investigate crimes, started looking into the crimes of these defendants.

In 2019, Bruno's brother received a subpoena ordering that he appear to testify before the grand jury about the intimidation and violence the defendants used to collect the gambling debt. Testimony before a grand jury is under oath. It must be truthful. But when Celso found out about the subpoena, he told Bruno's brother to lie to the grand jury about Celso's role in collecting the debt to say Celso wasn't involved at all.

For these actions, the defendants are charged with collection of credit using extortionate means and conspiring -- which means agreeing with others -- to commit that crime. Celso also is charged with obstructing that grand jury investigation. We will prove these charges to you beyond a reasonable doubt with several types of evidence. First you are going to see and hear from the victims who experienced firsthand the events that I just described to you. You will hear from Bruno's relatives about the gambling debt. You will hear from Bruno and his brothers about how the defendants used

Opening Statement - Schuman

intimidation and violence to collect that debt. These victims who knew the defendant's reputations will tell you about their fears that following the assault on Bruno something worse would happen to their family if the debt was not paid. They also will tell you about Celso's efforts to cover it all up. But you will see the assault on Bruno for yourselves. You will watch the video from Bruno's Restaurant on May 11th when the defendants used force and intimidation to get Romanello his money. You will see with your own eyes Romanello punch Bruno in the face while Celso and the rest of the pack close in on Bruno surrounding him to cut off any avenue of escape.

Finally, you will hear recorded calls from the defendants co-conspirators which were captured on a court-authorized wiretap. You will hear members of the gambling organization discussing how Bruno's relatives owed thousands of dollars and how if that money wasn't paid, quote, "things would get ugly."

(Continued on the following page.)

Opening Statement - Mr. McMahon 37

(Continuing)

MS. SCHUMAN: You will also hear recorded calls about how it did get ugly, how Romanello punched Bruno in the face and how that assault became a warning to other gamblers who didn't pay their debt.

At the end of the trial, after you have seen and heard all of the evidence, we will have a chance to speak with you again and at that time we will ask you to return the only verdict that is consistent with the evidence: Guilty on all counts.

THE COURT: Mr. McMahon.

MR. MCMAHON: Thank you, Judge.

I'm too old fashion to be wearing these microphones.

Opening Statement - Mr. McMahon

MR. MCMAHON: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, good morning. I represent Anthony Romanello along with my assistant Cassandra Williams.

Let me begin by joining Judge Komitee in thanking you for agreeing to serve as jurors in this case. I'm sure all of you know that if you say a few magic words like I can't be fair or whatever, you get out of serving on a jury. The fact that you didn't do that, the fact that you are agreeing to serve as a jury is absolutely commendable and we thank you for that.

When my client was charged with these crimes last

Opening Statement - Mr. McMahon

year, he entered a plea of not guilty, which did basically two things: He says I didn't do these crimes, and number two, I want a trial by a jury of my peers. That's you guys.

The Government, they get to arrest him, but they don't get to decide if he is guilty or not guilty. You get to decide that. And I will tell you this now, without any shadow of a doubt, that presentation that you heard in the Government's opening statement is so far from the truth and the reality that you will be shocked at the end of the case, absolutely shocked.

Now, what you will hear over the next couple of days
-- this is not going to be a long trial -- is that New York
City remains a melting pot. And one of the things that you
will also hear in terms of being a melting pot, you're going
to have a little bit of ancient history sprinkled in.

The Government is talking about an assault that occurred in May of 2017. My client, on videotape, punched Bruno one time in the face, indisputable. But he didn't punch Bruno to collect a gambling debt, he punched Bruno because Bruno told him that he was a washed up Italian, he had no balls, he was a nothing.

You will actually see on the videotape -- there's no audio on the videotape, but you will see when Bruno is saying this to my client, you will see him flinch, and you will know that Bruno had said something to him absolutely insulting. So

Opening Statement - Mr. McMahon

he didn't punch him to collect a gambling debt. He punched him -- "he" being that 86-year-old guy sitting over there, punched Bruno because Bruno insulted him to his face.

Now, when I say that this case shows what a melting pot New York is, on the one side we have an extended Albanian family. They are -- you will hear from two or three or four of them during the course of the trial. You will hear that they are, many of them, very heavy gamblers, very significant gamblers. Two of the brothers are Bruno and Nino. They own restaurants in Manhattan.

Bruno has a nephew who is the son of a third brother. The nephew's name is Toni, and Toni has a brother-in-law named Eddie.

Now, Toni and Eddie were big, big, big gamblers, and they gambled with this organization which was run by an Irish guy in Queens, Michael Regan. You will hear about his gambling organization, which he inherited from his father, Patty Regan.

So in the middle of this group of people, the Albanians on one side, the Irish in Queens on the other side, the gamblers, sits my client, Anthony Romanello. He is friends -- you will hear from the Albanians that he has been friends of theirs for more than 30 years. You will hear that he was such a good customer at their restaurants that he was there as often as 1-A week, every week.

Opening Statement - Mr. McMahon

And on the other side, he knew Regan Senior, Patrick Regan, the gambler, because they are from the same neighborhood in Queens. And he knew Michael Regan, the son, who was running the gambling operations that he inherited from the father.

So he's in the middle of this situation. He knows the Regans. He know the Albanians. So he gets asked. He didn't put himself into it. The evidence will show he did not have a dog in the fight. This was not his money. He was approached, and because they knew he was friendly with the Regans who are owed money for gambling, and he was friendly with the Albanians, because he spent a lot of money in their restaurants, so that's why he's in this thing. So he has three visits to the restaurant of Bruno.

Bruno, by the way, was taking on the debt of his nephew and his nephew's brother-in-law. What's interesting is that these two -- Toni and Eddie were betting with Regan's gambling organization. And Eddie, at one point won \$300,000 and got paid. And then a week or so later, he losses \$100,000 and he doesn't want to pay. So you can understand why Regan wasn't terribly happy about that. So he asks Rom, can you -- since you know these guys, can you arrange to have this money get paid.

Now, the three visits that the Government just told you about, she describes it as, you know, lurking, my client's

Opening Statement - Mr. McMahon

hiding, going in there threatening them and stuff like that, when he goes in there with four people to have dinner, which he did the first two of the three visits, that's a \$1,000 that he drops in the restaurant owner's pocket. \$1,000. This is a pricey steakhouse. You go there for dinner, it's a \$1,000.

One of the things that you will hear from Bruno is that he was sorry about the incident about being punched, but he was also sorry that he would lose a very, very good customer.

So you will hear -- the Government is going to play a number of calls for you. They're spread out over a period of time, over months and months and months. And I think you may hear something like 27 calls. And in those 27 calls, there's not a single call with Mr. Romanello because really he has nothing to do with it. The calls are involved with people in the Regan organization and the Albanians and they're about when are you going to pay the gambling debt.

So Mr. Romanello was nowhere to be heard on any of these calls because he's really not involved in this. So what happens is it culminates in the -- as I say, the first visit, Mr. Romanello goes there, I think Mr. Regan may have gone there on the first visit, they had dinner, and Bruno is told that your nephew and his brother-in-law -- and the nephew, by the way, vouched for the brother-in-law. That's why he was allowed to gamble with the organization -- they owe this

Opening Statement - Mr. McMahon

\$100,000, can you please have him pay it.

And you will hear -- the evidence will show there was no threat. It was will you please have this guy pay the debt.

They knew that Eddie had a lot of money. His father was a very wealthy construction company owner. So it wasn't that he didn't have the money to pay, he just wasn't paying. And after winning \$300,000 and getting paid by Mr. Regan, you can understand he might be a little unhappy when the guy doesn't pay \$100,000. So be that as it may, the first visit, they bring it to Bruno's attention and he and Toni owes \$100,000, please arrange to have it paid. Nothing happens for a couple of weeks. They go back, have dinner again, another 1,000 dropped in the steakhouse. Everything is cordial. You will see it on the videotape.

Even on the night of the third visit, May 11th, 2013, everything is cordial. There is a certain degree of impatience now. This is the third time you said you were going to arrange to have this thing paid a month ago. And, in fact, one of the things that you'll hear was that Bruno's brother said to Bruno what are you doing? You told these people 11 weeks ago that this was going to get paid and now it hasn't been paid, and you're wondering why they keep coming back and asking for it to be paid. So that's Bruno's brother. Nino is telling him.

Opening Statement - Mr. McMahon

So they go there on the third visit and, finally, Nino says to -- Bruno says to Mr. Romanello well, I'll give you the money that Toni owes my immediate nephew, which was 6,000, but I'm not going to give you the 80,000 that Eddie, the brother-in-law of Toni owes. That's the first time he had ever said this. And bearing in mind that Eddie was only allowed to bet because Toni had vouched for him to bet with the organization, and it was always understood that when they talked to Bruno on the first and the second time that he was going to take care of the entire debt from Toni and Eddie.

So you will see on the night of May 11th, 2017 -- and bear in mind, May 11th, 2017 -- we are what? November 29th, 2023. Six and a half years ago. We are dealing with the trial about a one-punch incident which fits the description of the facts that I've just told you, six and a half years ago.

Now, people who have viewed the videotape, they make it seem like my client was Rocky -- Rocky Marciano or something like that. People who have reviewed the videotape -- and you will see it for yourself -- describe the punch that my client punches like a girl. This wasn't some vicious beating or anything like that. This is a guy flinching. He can't believe that he's being insulted, and he punches him one time and he leaves.

So, ladies and gentlemen, the evidence is not going

Opening Statement - Mr. Marrone

to be -- you know, they want you to -- he's Italian. They're going to talk about mafia, mafia, mafia, you got to convict him, mafia, mafia, mafia. This has nothing to do with the mafia. This has to do with the debt owed to an Irish bookie in Queens by an Albanian and somebody who was friends with both sides of the equation who's asked to help out and try to get it paid.

My client never, ever threatened anyone to pay the debt ever. And the evidence at the end of the case will confirm that fact. And when it does, I'm confident that you will find him not guilty.

Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. While you are walking up there, I just want to have one quick conversation with my law clerk.

Opening Statement - Mr. Marrone

MR. MARRONE: This is quite a drama, ladies and gentlemen, and my client has nothing do with the drama, that I can assure you.

May it please the Court, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, Counsel. Good morning. Good afternoon. My name is Gerard Marrone and I'm an attorney. I have the pleasure of representing Joseph Celso, who is seated there at the defense table. Like my co-counsel said, Mr. Celso was also arrested, accused of these crimes but pled not guilty and stated that he

Opening Statement - Mr. Marrone

is not guilty of these charges and he wants a trial.

So my argument is with you folks; we look to you for justice.

Now, Mr. Celso is a regular guy. He is a father. He's a son. He's a husband. He's a working guy. And I want to just remind you folks of a few key issues. Because Mr. Celso pleaded not guilty, that as he sits there this morning, this afternoon, he has a presumption of innocence. It's the Government that has to prove his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. That's a very high burden for the Government. We don't even have to say anything. I don't even have to make an opening statement. I don't even have to cross-examine witnesses. It's the Government itself that has to prove the case against Mr. Celso beyond a reasonable doubt.

I'm going to keep this short because we haven't heard any evidence. And everything I say, everything the Government has said so far is only argument. It's not evidence at all. And I'd ask that you folks keep a very, very open mind and listen and observe, just like in life and don't rush to judgment. The Government sounds -- that case sounds wow, it's amazing, but it's just not the way it happened.

My client, Mr. Celso, is even further removed from this Irish Michael Regan gambling organization. Let me make a few promises to you. Maybe I will go out on a limb as a criminal defense attorney. But you will absolutely not hear

Opening Statement - Mr. Marrone

my client on any recorded wiretaps. You will not hear him mentioned on any recorded 27 wiretaps. The Government, when -- use a lot of resources, a lot of time and money to investigate the Irish gambling organization. It's got nothing to do with my client. I promise you that. And the evidence will show that. The lack of evidence will also show that.

You'll never hear my client yell, be violent, threaten, intimidate. It's just not there. It's the lack of that evidence. It does not exist, ladies and gentlemen. You will never even hear any witness testify that Mr. Celso threatened or intimidated. I could assure you that.

I submit to you will hear from a few key people that Mr. McMahon had mentioned earlier before, an individual by the name of Bruno, who's the owner of the steakhouse, right. He doesn't know my client. He will testify that he does not know my client. My client was at his restaurant one time. It's the night of that fight incident. My client was merely present. I will get back to that in a second.

You're going to hear from a young man named Toni.

Toni was the young man that was gambling with the Irish organization. Toni will never testify that he gambled with Mr. Celso. It never happened. He doesn't even know Mr. Celso. He's never met him before in his life.

You will hear about a young man named Eddie. Eddie was betting not tens of thousands, hundreds of thousands with

Opening Statement - Mr. Marrone this Irish organization. He doesn't know my client. He has never met my client before in his life. The only connection that my client has to this situation is he is merely present when this fight occurs and he happens to know Bruno's brother Nino. They know each other for 20 years because he is a customer also at Nino's restaurant in the city. I told you it's quite a drama. It's a soap opera, but my client is not involved in the drama of it. (Continued on next page.)

Opening Statement - Mr. Marrone

(In open court; 11:48 a.m.)

MR. MARRONE: Now, you're going to see a video. We all love videos, right of the everyone knows everything is on video today whether we're if the street, whether we're in a restaurant, whether we're in court everything is videotaped. You probably won't even be able to see my client in the video unless I point him out to you because he's not even on the camera. My client, when this incident happens, is at the bar talking to the hostess about trying to get their credit card account.

Ladies and gentlemen, you'll see Mr. Celso has his hands in his pocket. He's not even on camera when the two individuals are talking or arguing or whatever the case you want to call it. He is not involved. He is further removed from the situation than even his co-defendant is. And then when something happens, there's a punch as Mr. McMahon said. Naturally, my client walks over to see what's going on. It's natural to walk over when something is going on, we're curious. His hands are in his pocket. He doesn't menace anyone, he doesn't touch anyone. He certainly does not touch anyone. You'll see another individual push Bruno. It's not my client. My client is there, he's merely present.

Mr. Celso was not present for the prior meetings. Mr. Celso went to the restaurant one time trying to get a steak dinner and was merely present when this fight happened.

Opening Statement - Mr. Marrone

And, ladies and gentlemen, those are the facts of the case. You're going to see, I submit to you, and that's why I ask you to listen really carefully. You're going to hear so many inconsistencies from these witnesses. Dare I call them lies because they are covering up. They're the Albanian family, the boys that are gambling. They're committing crimes, constantly committing crimes, consistently betting, taking in hundreds of thousands of dollars.

They got this whole little gambling thing going on. That's not cool, that's not the right thing to do, right? But that's got nothing to do with my client. He's not part of the Irish gambling ring. And you'll hear zero evidence that is he was merely present at the fight. He is guilty, I'll tell you, of the other brother Nino for 20 years.

So, again, I ask you to keep a very open mind. Use your common sense, use your street smarts, and decipher through all this evidence and look for the truth. Look for justice. I ask you to look for justice for my client.

I'm happy that we were able to talk because we weren't able to conversant or anything prior to that. And I thank you, I really thank you, for your time, your effort, your attention, and your service. My client thanks you.

We will talk again, you and I, in a couple of days when everything will come together. When you have the benefit of hearing all of the evidence or the lack of the

Anthony D. Frisolone, FAPR, RDR, CRR, CRI, CSR Official Court Reporter

```
Opening Statement - Mr. Marrone
                                                                 50
    evidence as far as Mr. Celso is concerned. And I will tie up
1
 2
    all those issues together, all of that information together
 3
    for you, in what's called my closing argument. And I can
 4
    assure you, the only verdict you will come to for Mr. Celso
    is not guilty. And, again, you'll never hear Mr. Celso
 5
    threaten, never hear him be violent. You will not hear that
6
7
    out of any witnesses that take that stand. That I can
8
    promise you because that never happened.
9
              So keep an open mind, give my client a fair trial
10
    that he's asked of you, and we'll talk again in a few days
11
    and the evidence will show that Mr. Celso is not guilty.
12
    Thank you.
13
              THE COURT: Does the Government have a witness?
14
              MS. RHENQUIST: Yes, your Honor. The Government
    calls Shugeri Selimaj.
15
16
              (Witness takes the witness stand.)
17
              COURTROOM DEPUTY:
                                  Raise your right hand.
18
    SHUQERI SELIMAJ, called by the Government, having been first
19
    duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
20
              THE WITNESS:
                            I do.
21
              COURTROOM DEPUTY: State and spell your name for
22
    the record.
23
              THE WITNESS:
                            S-h-u-q-e-r-i S-e-1-i-m-a-j.
24
                                  111
25
                                  111
```

S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Rhenquist 51 DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 BY MS. RHENQUIST: 2 Good afternoon, Mr. Selimaj. By what name are you 3 4 commonly known? Α Bruno. 5 MS. RHENQUIST: Mr. Jackson, can we please have 6 7 access to the Elmo, please, for the witness only. 8 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes. 9 Q Mr. Selimaj, do you see an image appearing on the screen 10 in front of you? 11 Yeah, I see it. Do you recognize the viewed in this image? 12 Q 13 Α Yes, I do. 14 This is marked Government Exhibit 12? () Yes. 15 Α Who do you recognize it do be? 16 Q That's me with more hair. 17 Α Is that a fair and accurate depiction of you with more 18 Q 19 hair? 20 Α Yes. 21 MS. RHENQUIST: Your Honor, at this time, the Government moves to admit and publish Government Exhibit 12. 22 23 MR. MCMAHON: No objection. 24 MR. MARRONE: No objection, your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Received.

```
S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Rhenquist
                                                                  52
               (Government's Exhibit 12 was marked in evidence.)
1
 2
              THE COURT: And you may publish.
 3
              MS. RHENQUIST: And, your Honor, if we could
 4
    publish the headshot board.
 5
              THE COURT:
                           Yes.
         And you mentioned that you commonly go by the name
 6
    Q
 7
    Bruno?
         Yes.
8
    Α
9
    Q
         Your full name is Shugeri Selimaj?
10
    Α
         That's correct.
         Are these placards that say Shugeri Selimaj and Bruno
11
    Q
    marked as Government's Exhibits 12-A and 12-B?
12
13
    Α
         Yes, they are.
14
              MS. RHENQUIST: Your Honor, at this time, the
    Government moves to admit Government's Exhibits 12-A and
15
16
    12-B.
17
              MR. MCMAHON:
                             No objection.
18
              MR. MARRONE:
                             No objection.
19
              MS. RHENQUIST:
                               May I publish?
20
              THE COURT: You may. They're admitted.
21
               (Government's Exhibits 12-A and 12-B were marked in
22
    evidence.)
23
    Q
         What do you do for a living, Mr. Selimaj?
24
    Α
         I owned a restaurant.
25
    Q
         How many restaurants?
```

53

- 1 A I used to have two, now I have only one.
- 2 Q So what are the names of the two restaurants that you
- 3 used to own?
- 4 A The one I own is Club A Steakhouse and I used to own
- 5 Lincoln Square Steakhouse.
- 6 Q Focusing first on Club A Steakhouse. Do you still own
- 7 Club A Steakhouse?
- 8 A Yes, I do.
- 9 Q How long have you owned Club A Steakhouse?
- 10 A Over 45 years.
- 11 | Q Was it previously known by any other names?
- 12 A For 30 years, it used to be call Bruno.
- 13 Q Where is Club A Steakhouse?
- 14 A It's 240 East 58th between Second and Third in
- 15 | Manhattan.
- 16 | Q What is the name of the second restaurant you mentioned?
- 17 | A Lincoln Square Steakhouse.
- 18 | Q Is Lincoln Square Steakhouse still open?
- 19 A No, it is closed.
- 20 Q When were you involved in the operations of Lincoln
- 21 | Square Steakhouse?
- 22 A From 2014 to 2018.
- 23 | Q Where was the Lincoln Square Steakhouse located?
- 24 A It was 208 West 70th Street between Amsterdam and West
- 25 | End Avenue.

54

- 1 Q I want to focus on Bruno's restaurant for a minute. How
- 2 long has Bruno's restaurant been open again?
- 3 A I opened 1978.
- 4 Q And from 1978 until today, what type of clientele did
- 5 | you have at Bruno's restaurant?
- 6 A I had mixed clientele.
- 7 Q What do you mean by mixed clientele?
- 8 A Business people, tourists, neighbors, Mafia people.
- 9 Q You said Mafia people?
- 10 | A Yes.
- 11 | Q What do you mean by Mafia people?
- 12 A They used to frequent my restaurant.
- 13 Q How frequently would they go?
- 14 A I used to have this room upstairs, piano room. They
- 15 used to come up there. It was only about nine tables. It
- 16 was a small club. They used to come once a week, once a
- 17 | month. Once every two months.
- 18 | Q Over time, did you become familiar with some those Mafia
- 19 | people you described?
- 20 A Yes, I did.
- 21 | Q Do you learn about their reputations?
- 22 A Yes, I did.
- 23 Q Did you learn some of their names?
- 24 A Very few, some of them.
- 25 | Q Did you learn if some of them had previously been

S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Rhenquist 55 arrested? 1 2 Yes, I did. 3 So switching topics momentarily, I want to talk a little 4 bit about your family structure. Where are you from? 5 I am from Albania. 6 Α 7 Do you have any siblings? Q We are six brothers and four sisters. 8 Α 9 Q Do they all live in the United States? 10 Yes, they all live here. Α And where do you fall in the birth order among all of 11 Q 12 your siblings? 13 Α I have an older sister and I am the oldest boy. 14 Q Does one of your brothers go by the name Nino? Yes. he does. 15 Α What's his full name? 16 () 17 Α Shemsi Selimaj. And is that spelled, just for the Court reporter, 18 Q S-h-e-m-s-i? 19 20 Α Correct. 21 Q And then same spelling as your last name? 22 Α Yes. 23 Q Where does Nino live? 24 Α He lives in New Jersey. 25 MS. RHENQUIST: Mr. Jackson, could we have the Elmo

```
S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Rhenquist
                                                                   56
    just for the witness, please.
1
 2
         Mr. Selimaj, do you recognize the individual in
 3
    Government Exhibit 13?
 4
    Α
         Yes, I do.
         Who do you recognize it to be?
 5
    Q
    Α
         It's my brother Nino.
 6
         Is it a fair and accurate depiction of Nino?
 7
    Q
         Yes, it is.
8
    Α
9
    Q
         And while we have it, is Government Exhibit 13-B a
10
    placard that says Nino?
11
         Yes, it is.
         And is Government Exhibit 13-A a placard that says
12
13
    Shemsi Selimaj?
14
         That's correct.
    Α
         And is that Nino's full name?
15
    Q
16
         Yes, it is.
17
               MS. RHENQUIST: Your Honor, at this time, the
18
    Government moves to admit Government Exhibits 13, 13-A, and
19
    13-B.
20
              MR. MCMAHON:
                             No objection.
21
              MR. MARRONE:
                             No objection, your Honor.
22
              THE COURT: Received.
23
               (Government's Exhibits 13, 13-A, and 13-B were
24
    marked in evidence.)
25
               MS. RHENQUIST: May we publish, your Honor?
```

S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Rhenquist 57 THE COURT: You may. 1 2 What does Nino do for a living? Q 3 Α He owns a restaurant. 4 Q Where is the restaurant located? 5 It's on 72nd Street and First Avenue. Α Also in Manhattan? 6 Q 7 In Manhattan. Α 8 How long has Nino been in the restaurant business? Q 9 Α Over 30 years. 10 Q Do you also have a brother Besim? Yes, we do. 11 Α What does Besim do for a living? 12 Q 13 Α He is a bus driver. 14 Q Does he live in New York, too? Yes, he does. 15 Α Does Besim have any children? 16 Q He has four children. 17 Α 18 Q Any sons? 19 Α He has three sons, one daughter. 20 Q Does he have a son named Fiton? 21 Yes, he does. Α 22 Q Does Fiton go by any nicknames? 23 Α He goes by the name Toni. 24 Q Toni? 25 Α Yes.

S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Rhenquist 58 And showing the witness only also on the Elmo, do you 1 Q 2 recognize the individual in Government Exhibit 11? 3 Α Yes, I do. 4 Q Who do you recognize it to be? Α This is my nephew Fiton. 5 Is that a fair and accurate depiction of your nephew 6 Q Fiton? 7 Yes, it is. 8 9 And showing you what's been marked as 10 Government Exhibit 11-A. 11 Is this a placard that says Fiton Selimaj? 12 Α Yes, it is. 13 Q Is that Fiton's full name? Yes, it is. 14 Α And finally, showing you what's been marked as 15 Q 16 Government Exhibit 11-B. Is this a placard that says Tony? 17 18 Α Yes, it does. 19 And is this your nephew's nickname? 20 Α Yes, it is. 21 MS. RHENQUIST: Your Honor, at this time, the 22 Government moves to admit Government Exhibits 11, 11-A, and 11-B. 23 24 MR. MCMAHON: No objection. 25 MR. MARRONE: No objection.

S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Rhenquist 59 Received and you may publish. 1 THE COURT: 2 (Government's Exhibits 11, 11-A, and 11-B were marked in evidence.) 3 4 Q Mr. Selimaj, did there come a time that you learned that your nephew Tony had incurred a debt? 5 Α Yes. 6 7 How did you first learn this information? Q One of the gentlemen came to my restaurant, Lincoln 8 9 Square Steakhouse, and he told me that my nephew owes him 10 money; that he's a friend of Rom and Tough Tony. 11 I want to break that down. You said that a man came to 12 your restaurant? 13 Α Yes. 14 () What restaurant? Lincoln Square Steakhouse. 15 Α 16 And that's the one that's no longer open? Q 17 Α Correct. 18 Q Do you know the man's name? 19 I know it now but before I didn't. 20 Q Do you remember what the man looks like? 21 Α Yes, I do. 22 I'm showing the witness only what's been marked for Q 23 identification as Government Exhibit 5. 24 Do you recognize the individual in Government Exhibit 5? 25 Yes, I do. Α

```
S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Rhenquist
                                                                  60
    Q
         Who do you recognize it to be?
 1
 2
         He's the first one who came at my restaurant Lincoln
 3
    Square.
 4
    Q
         The man who we were just talking about?
    Α
         Yes.
 5
         Is it a fair and accurate depiction of the man who
 6
    Q
 7
    visited you at Lincoln Square Steakhouse and advised you of
    your nephew's debt?
8
9
         Correct.
10
              MS. RHENQUIST: Your Honor, at this time, the
11
    Government moves to admit Government Exhibit 5.
12
              MR. MCMAHON:
                             No objection.
13
              MR. MARRONE:
                             No objection.
14
              THE COURT: It's admitted.
              MS. RHENQUIST: May we publish?
15
16
              THE COURT: Yes.
17
               (Government's Exhibit 5 was marked in evidence.)
18
    Q
         So you said this man came to your restaurant and told
19
    you about your nephew?
20
    Α
         Yes.
21
         How did he describe your nephew to you?
         He told me that my nephew owes him $86,000. And I said
22
23
    which nephew? He told me Toni. I said, I don't have a Toni
24
    nephew. And he said his father rides the bus, or he told me
25
    the father rides a bus. I knew my brother Besim rides the
```

S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Rhenquist 61 1 bus so I figured. 2 So he told you what his dad did and then you were able 3 to figure out --4 Α Yes. -- he was talking about --5 Q Α 6 Exactly. 7 Q -- Fiton? 8 Α Fiton, yes. 9 Q And did he say how much money he owed him? 10 Α Yes. He mentioned about \$86,000. Did you learn why he owed him \$86,000? 11 Q 12 He told me that he was gambling with him. Α 13 Q That Tony was gambling with this individual in 14 Government Exhibit 5? 15 Α Yes. I believe you also mentioned -- actually, approximately 16 when was this, if you remember? 17 18 Α This was some time in March of 2017. 19 And you said during this meeting that the 20 individual in Government Exhibit 5 also mentioned someone 21 named Rom? 22 Α Yes. 23 Q And someone named Tough Tony? 24 Α Yes. 25 MR. MCMAHON: Objection.

```
S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Rhenquist
                                                                   62
                           What's the objection, in a word.
               THE COURT:
1
 2
               MR. MCMAHON: We had previously, I thought
    discussed this.
 3
               THE COURT: Come to the sidebar.
 4
               (Continued on the next page.)
 5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Sidebar 63

(Sidebar held outside the presence of the jury.)
THE COURT: Remind me.

MR. MCMAHON: I had raised an objection to the use of the nickname Tough Tony and I think your Honor had said the Government should not use it.

MS. RHENQUIST: We mentioned, specifically, that the name would be used at the trial because when we were going through the list of names and places, you asked what Tough Tony would be elicited at trial and I said yes and that's how the witness knows him.

THE COURT: I don't remember excluding it. I don't know on what basis, I mean, the word "tough," I suppose that is sufficient content but this is a name by which the fact witness refers to. The foundation is now laid to that effect. I will admit it.

MR. MCMAHON: Judge, I don't know that the witness knows Tough Tony. I think he's making it up out of whole cloth.

THE COURT: That will be for cross-examination.

MR. MCMAHON: Second, your Honor, the Government's opening statement was Mafia, Mafia, Mafia and I think that certainly, in my view, is violating the spirit of the Court's ruling that this is not supposed to be a Mafia case, so I'd like my objection noted.

THE COURT: I don't think I've ruled that this is

Sidebar 64 not supposed to be a Mafia case. I observed at one point 1 2 this was not a RICO indictment but this is a conspiracy case 3 and the conspiracy is the conspiracy comprised of the members 4 that it's comprised of who know each other in the way that they know each other, and I'm not going to exclude that 5 evidence broadly to the extent there is an adequate 6 foundation laid. 7 8 MS. RHENQUIST: Your Honor, in the opening, the 9 Government was very careful to use the word Mafia only in the sense of that is how the victims understood the defendants 10 11 and I think it was used three times. I think Mr. McMahon 12 used it far more times than the Government didn't, you know, 13 talk about anyone's heritage either. 14 THE COURT: I made my ruling. You can continue. 15 Thank you. 16 (Sidebar discussion concludes.) 17 (Continued on the next page.) 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

```
S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Rhenquist
                                                                  65
               (In open court.)
1
 2
              THE COURT: The objection is overruled.
 3
              Ms. Rhenquist, you may continue.
 4
    EXAMINATION BY
    MS. RHENQUIST:
 5
    (Continuing.)
 6
 7
         So you mentioned when the individual in
    Government Exhibit 5 came to your restaurant to ask about the
8
9
    debt, he mentioned the name Rom?
10
    Α
         Yes.
11
    Q
         And he also mentioned the name Tough Tony?
12
    Α
         Correct.
13
    Q
         Who did you understand Rom and Tough Tony to be?
14
    Α
         I understood they're Mafia from Corona, Queens.
         How long -- and I want to break that up, so let's focus
15
    Q
    on Tough Tony.
16
         How long, if by 2017, had you known Tough Tony?
17
18
    Α
         Well I met him a long time ago. I will say 1972.
19
         How did you meet him?
20
         I was working the restaurant La Maganette on 50th Street
    Α
21
    and Third Avenue and he was a customer there.
22
    Q
         Was Tough Tony also a customer at your restaurants?
23
    Α
         Yes, he was.
24
         And during the time Tough Tony was a customer at your
25
    restaurant what, if anything, did you learn about him?
```

S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Rhenquist 66 I learned that he's a boss of the Corona group, Mafia 1 2 guys. 3 Q Is that Corona, Queens? 4 MR. MCMAHON: Objection. Α 5 Queens yes. THE COURT: Overruled. 6 7 And did you have any conversations with Tough Tony about Q 8 the Mafia? 9 Α Yes. 10 Q What conversation is that? 11 Well, several times Tony told me that if he knew how much money I can make in restaurant business he would never 12 13 go in Mafia business. 14 And you also mentioned that the individual in Government Exhibit 5 said the name Rom to you? 15 Yes. 16 Α And who do you know Rom to be? 17 Q 18 Α Rom was associate with the Tough Tony. 19 Q He was an associate with Tough Tony? 20 Α Yes. 21 Q And how do you know that? 22 They used to come together in the restaurant, hang 23 around. 24 Q Was Rom in Tough Tony's crew? 25 Objection, leading. MR. MCMAHON:

S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Rhenquist 67 Yes. 1 Α 2 THE COURT: And no foundation. Can you rephrase 3 the question? 4 Q For how long had Rom been coming to your restaurant? For about 30 years. 5 And would Rom come to the restaurant with other 6 Q 7 individuals? Yes, he would. 8 9 Who are some of the individuals you saw Rom interact with? 10 He used to come with Larry Glitz and I forgot all the 11 12 other guys. 13 Q And would you ever see him at the restaurant with Tough Tony? 14 15 Α Yes. And did you observe him on multiple occasions? 16 () Sometimes once a month, sometimes every two months. 17 Α 18 Q And what was your understanding of Rom and Tough Tony's 19 relationship? 20 My understanding was that Rom worked for Tony, for 21 Tough Tony. 22 Q In relation to what? 23 Α The Mafia business. 24 Did either Rom or Tough Tony know any your family 25 members?

- 1 A They know my brother Nino and probably know some of my
- 2 | brothers because they work in the restaurant as waiters. But
- 3 they know Nino very well.
- 4 Q Focusing on Rom. Do you know that individual's full
- 5 | name?
- 6 A Well, in that time, I didn't know but now I know.
- 7 Q So let's just focus on what you knew at that time.
- 8 Even though you don't know his full name, do you know
- 9 | what he looks like?
- 10 A Yes, I do.
- 11 | Q Showing you, for the witness only, what's been marked
- 12 | for identification as Government Exhibit 1. Do you recognize
- 13 | the individual in Government Exhibit 1, Mr. Selimaj?
- 14 A Yes, I do.
- 15 | Q What do you recognize it to be?
- 16 A This is Rom.
- 17 | Q Is it a fair and accurate depiction of Rom?
- 18 A Yes, it is.
- 19 Q Showing you also what's been marked as
- 20 | Government Exhibit 1-B, is this a placard that says Rom?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 MS. RHENQUIST: Your Honor, at this time, the
- 23 | Government moves to admit Government Exhibit 1 and
- 24 | Government Exhibit 1-B.
- 25 MR. MCMAHON: No objection.

```
S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Rhenquist
                                                                  69
1
              MR. MARRONE:
                             No objection, your Honor.
 2
              THE COURT: Admitted and you may published.
 3
              MS. RHENQUIST: Thank you.
 4
               (Government's Exhibits 1 and 1-B were marked in
    evidence.)
 5
         So you testified that the individual in
 6
 7
    Government Exhibit 5 mentioned the name Rom and Tough Tony to
    you?
8
9
    Α
         Yes.
10
    Q
         Was this in the same conversation when you were talking
11
    about your nephew Tony's debts?
         Yes, the first time he came.
12
13
         What did you interpret that to mean?
14
              MR. MCMAHON:
                             Objection.
              THE COURT: Can you rephrase the question? Can you
15
    ask the prior question, please.
16
              MS. RHENQUIST:
17
                               Sure.
18
    Q
         You mentioned the person in Government Exhibit 5
19
    mentioned the name Rom and Tough Tony to you?
20
    Α
         Yes.
21
    Q
         What did you interpret that to mean?
         I interpreted that he's going to use muscles against me.
22
    Α
23
              MR. MCMAHON:
                             Objection, move to strike.
24
              THE COURT: Sustained.
25
              The jury should disregard that answer, please.
```

70

- 1 Q What did you say to the individual in
- 2 | Government Exhibit 5 after he told you that your nephew owed
- 3 | him the gambling money?
- 4 A I told him that I will call my nephew and speak with him
- 5 and see how to pay him back.
- 6 Q Did the individual in Government Exhibit 5 say anything
- 7 | else to you?
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q After this individual visited your restaurant, what, if
- 10 anything, did you do?
- 11 A I called my nephew Toni and I told him that he came over
- 12 looking for the money.
- 13 Q You told him that this individual in
- 14 | Government Exhibit 5 at quarter restaurant?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Did you say anything else to Toni?
- 17 A I told him that he's claiming that you owe him \$86,000.
- 18 Q And after your conversation with Toni, what did you
- 19 expect to happen in relation to paying back that \$86,000?
- 20 A I was expecting that Toni, that he'll pay the money.
- 21 Q After the first visit in March of 2017 from the
- 22 | individual in Government Exhibit 5, did anything else happen
- 23 | in relation to the gambling debt?
- 24 | A Yes.
- 25 Q What happened?

71

- 1 A Couple weeks later, Rom came with the gentleman there
- 2 and with two other guys by the restaurant.
- 3 Q Which restaurant?
- 4 A Lincoln Square Steakhouse.
- 5 Q And when you say Rom, are you referring to the person --
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q -- in Government Exhibit 1?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Sitting here today, do you remember who the other two
- 10 people were that came to the restaurant that day?
- 11 A I just remember Rom and the gentleman over there.
- 12 | Q And by the gentleman over there, you're referring to the
- 13 | individual in Government Exhibit 5?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 | Q What happened when Rom and these other individuals came
- 16 to your restaurant that day?
- 17 A Rom asked me to go in the private room to talk.
- 18 | Q Did you go to a private room?
- 19 A Yes, I did.
- 20 | Q And what happened while you were in the private room?
- 21 A Rom start to yell and I need my \$86,000, I need it now.
- 22 And I was telling him to say, I spoke with my nephew Toni,
- 23 | I'll speak again with him, and we'll see if we can resolve
- 24 | this issue.
- 25 Q So I just want to break that down.

S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Rhenquist 72 So Rom first said that he needs his \$86,000? 1 2 Yes. Α 3 Q And what \$86,000 was this? 4 Α That my nephew gambled with them, with the Mafia over there. 5 And you mentioned that Rom was raising his voice during 6 Q 7 this conversation? Yes. 8 9 Q In addition to Rom saying that he needs his \$86,000, did 10 he say anything else to you? 11 Α No. Did he mention any other individuals to you during this 12 Q 13 conversation? 14 Α No. Was anything said about Tough Tony? 15 16 Objection, leading. MR. MCMAHON: 17 THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer. 18 Was anything said about Tough Tony? 19 THE WITNESS: What was the question again? 20 During the first time that Rom came to your restaurant 21 and was asking you about this debt, did he mention Tough Tony? 22 23 Oh, yes. Rom told me that Tony said hello, he's going to come over to visit you. 24 25 Q And what did that mean?

73 S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Rhenquist 1 It meant that he's going to use Tony to, like, 2 intimidate me or... MR. MCMAHON: 3 Objection, move to strike. 4 THE COURT: Overruled. Q Did anything else happen during this first meeting with 5 you and Rom? 6 7 No. 8 Did you have an understanding at this time about what 9 the \$86,000 -- if all of the \$86,000 was owed by your nephew? 10 Α Yes, I understood that was gambling money. And did you respond to any of the things Rom said during 11 12 this conversation? 13 I told Rom I'm going to speak with my nephew again, see 14 if he can come up with the money and we left it like that. 15 Q Did anything else happen during this meeting? Rom and the gentleman over there, Exhibit 5, it was the 16 gentleman that sit down, had the dinner, and they left. 17 18 Q What, if anything, did you do after Rom visited your restaurant for the first time? 19 20 I called my nephew again and I told him that Rom came 21 over and he's looking for the \$86,000. And he said let me 22 talk with his brother-in-law because, apparently, they were 23 gambling together and... 24 And when you say you called your nephew, did you call

25

your nephew Toni?

S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Rhenquist 74 Fiton. 1 Α 2 Was it at this point that two people were gambling? Q 3 Α Yes. 4 Q Why did you call Toni after Rom visited your restaurant? 5 I was afraid that Toni, my nephew, is going to get hurt from them. 6 7 Q Why? Well, because they have a reputation to, to... 8 9 MR. MCMAHON: Objection, move to strike. 10 THE COURT: Overruled. 11 Q You can answer the question. 12 Α What was the question again. 13 Q Why were you afraid that Toni would get hurt? 14 I was afraid because nobody jokes with Mafia. There was 15 no joke. After you talked with Toni and told him about this 16 17 meeting with Rom, did you think the debt was going to be 18 paid? 19 Toni told me, my nephew, he said that he owes only \$6,000 and that his brother-in-law owes \$80,000. 20 21 And what did you think was going to happen in relation 22 to the debt? 23 I was hoping that they were going to pay the debts 24 because I know that if they don't pay the debts, they get killed. 25

75 Proceedings Objection. What's his basis of 1 MR. MCMAHON: 2 knowledge here? 3 THE COURT: We're not going to have speaking 4 objections, we've been clear about that. 5 MR. MCMAHON: Objection. THE COURT: Let's excuse the jury for -- and given 6 7 that we're so close to what would have been the lunch break 8 anyway, we're going to excuse the jury and let you all begin 9 your lunch break while I speak with the lawyers. 10 Thank you, ladies and gentlemen, we'll see you back at, let's call it, 1:30. 11 12 (Jury exits courtroom at 12:20 p.m.) 13 THE COURT: Let's excuse the witness as well. 14 (Witness leaves the witness stand.) 15 THE COURT: Mr. McMahon, in case I wasn't clear enough in the lead-up. If you want to be heard on the merits 16 17 of an objection, ask for a sidebar. Don't start 18 pontificating in front of the jury, please. 19 What is the basis for the objection? 20 The basis is this guy is not an MR. MCMAHON: 21 He's not even Italian, he's Albanian. What is he 22 doing opining that this meant he was going to get killed. 23 Where does that come from? 24 THE COURT: So questions about what you understood 25 a witness's statement to mean are relatively common in all

kinds of cases when the witness was there and the jury was not and there is a foundation for this of sorts in the reputation evidence I admitted.

At the same time, it is the case, I think as we've discussed, that what matters here is the defendants' actions and their intent and the effect that those actions would have on the reasonable recipient rather than this witness in particular.

I do think this is the kind of thing, Mr. McMahon, that you could easily have foreseen coming up at this trial and framed by a motion in limine. I take what Mr. McMahon is saying now is that he is seeking to exclude all of this witness's subjective interpretations of the things that were communicated to him. Somebody that doesn't actually say, "you're going to be killed," that he shouldn't be testifying I understood I was going to be killed.

This is a very fine, almost metaphysical, line we're drawing here. The case law in both the Second Circuit and elsewhere that I think I've cited in my motion in limine talks explicitly about the fact that a witness who knows of his own reputation and of -- and that his reputation precedes him with the person to whom he's speaking can communicate certain things in more brief and high-level terms secure in the knowledge that the message will get across. The deeper message will get across because of those facts. And it's not

obvious to me, under those circumstances, that there's any unfair prejudice, unfair prejudice, associated with this witness's interpretation of what was being communicated to him.

But we're going to take the lunch break now. We're going to reconvene at, let's call it, 1:35. And, Mr. McMahon and Mr. Marrone, you'll bring whatever case to my attention you want me to read before we bring the jury back on this subject. You find the case, we'll print it for you.

MR. MCMAHON: Judge, I appreciate that your Honor wants me to have a motion in limine at every conceivable subject. I have told you --

THE COURT: Every major and obviously foreseeable subject.

MR. MCMAHON: We have previously discussed Bruno giving his interpretation of what this means. I have never -- it is inconceivable to me that you would allow a witness who is Albanian to testify that he understood if his nephew didn't pay the gambling debt that would mean he was going to get killed by the Mafia. That's inconceivable to me that you think that that's reputation evidence and is admissible at this trial.

THE COURT: First of all, let's speak to each other in normal tones of voice. And second of all, let's argue by reference to legal authority. If you're telling me that, in

Anthony D. Frisolone, FAPR, RDR, CRR, CRI, CSR Official Court Reporter

```
Proceedings
                                                                  78
    your view, evidence of this type about what a listener
1
 2
    understood in light of the actions that were being taken and
 3
    his understanding of the reputations of the people who took
    them show me a case. This is not the first time this issue
 4
    has come up.
 5
              We're going to break for lunch now and I will see
 6
 7
    you all at or around 1:30. Thank you.
8
               (Luncheon recess taken; 12:26 p.m.)
               (Continued on the next page.)
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

	Proceedings 79
1	AFTERNOON SESSION
2	(In open court; jury not present.)
3	THE COURT: Please be seated, everyone.
4	We have two quick things to cover before we bring
5	the jury back, assuming we are on the record, is that we filed
6	the order on the motions in limine under seal because it
7	refers to aspects of witnesses' disciplinary histories and so
8	forth. But that is a preliminary sealing. We need I think
9	the Government to tell us in short order what parts of that
10	order, if any, you believe should be redacted and we will
11	otherwise unseal that.
12	MS. REHNQUIST: We will do that, your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Thank you.
14	And then two is that this question about the
15	witness' testimony that he believed someone would be killed if
16	this debt was not paid.
17	Mr. McMahon, do you have anything more you want to
18	add?
19	MR. MCMAHON: No, Judge. I think it's pretty much
20	basic hornbook law.
21	THE COURT: What's the hornbook law?
22	MR. MCMAHON: That it is not admissible; it's
23	hearsay, and there is no exception that applies to it.
24	THE COURT: So it's not hearsay.
25	MR. MCMAHON: His belief it's got to be either

	Proceedings 80
1	it's made up out of whole cloth he's got space antennae up
2	here or somebody told him.
3	THE COURT: Right.
4	MR. MCMAHON: That's hearsay.
5	THE COURT: Statements offered for their state of
6	mind he's talking about what he understood. It's not
7	hearsay.
8	MR. MCMAHON: Well, Judge, every hearsay exception
9	could be swallowed by is what he understood. That's not what
10	it's about.
11	THE COURT: The hearsay objection is overruled.
12	MR. MCMAHON: It is also prejudicial, 403.
13	THE COURT: Well, that's what I thought we were
14	going to be talking about, is the 403 question.
15	MS. REHNQUIST: Your Honor, the Government found a
16	case during the break which we provided a copy to defense
17	counsel and your courtroom deputy.
18	THE COURT: The Wooten case.
19	MS. REHNQUIST: The Wooten case. It is from the
20	Sixth Circuit's but it references almost every other circuit,
21	including the Second. It is on page 5 of the Westlaw
22	printout, the bottom right.
23	THE COURT: Yes.
24	MS. REHNQUIST: And it basically stands for the
25	proposition that even in a case where the elements are an

	Proceedings 81
1	objective reasonable person standard, the victim's perceptions
2	and state of mind are relevant, which is I think the crux of
3	the issue here.
4	THE COURT: I think we have questions both about
5	relevance and the question of substantial prejudice.
6	How would you describe the relevance? How does this
7	opinion describe the relevance?
8	MS. REHNQUIST: Well, the relevance is that a
9	victim's state of mind, even when the Government doesn't need
10	to prove actual fear of this victim and the elements of the
11	crime the Government need only prove the fear of a reasonable
12	person, that the victim's perceptions and state of mind are
13	irrelevant.
14	THE COURT: Yes, and they cite the Seventh Circuit
15	case of <i>United States versus Smith</i> , Seventh Circuit, 1997, for
16	that purpose.
17	The Seventh Circuit concluded that the teller's
18	testimony that she, in fact, feared bodily harm to herself or
19	someone else in the bank if she did not comply with Smith's
20	demands can be considered in determining whether Smith's
21	actions would have produced the fear of bodily harm in a
22	reasonable person.

That does map very squarely on to the relevance question here, at least.

23

24

25

United States versus Walker, this case goes on to

Proceedings

cite "Noted in the context of a forcible assault of a probation officer, that although the proper standard for determining whether the requisite degree of force was displayed is an objective one; i.e., whether the defendant's behavior would reasonably have inspired fear in a reasonable person, the victim's subjective state of mind is not irrelevant to determining whether the amount of force threatened or displayed was sufficient to make fear reasonable.

Third -- so that was the Walker case in the Second Circuit -- Second Circuit, 1987.

Then *United States versus Cunningham*, admittedly, the intimidation inquiry, under the statute at issue there, which is 18, U.S. Code, Section 2113(a), is objective, not subjective -- I take it that's the bank robbery statute -- focusing on whether an ordinary person in the teller's position reasonably could infer a threat of bodily harm from the defendant's acts.

That said, asking the teller about her state of mind; i.e., whether she was afraid, was at least minimally probative of whether a reasonable person in her position would also be afraid. That was *United States versus Cunningham*, Third Circuit 2004.

And *United States versus Muhammad*, a Ninth Circuit case from '03, we, the Ninth Circuit, have consistently upheld

1 the admission of teller testimony concerning their subjective

2 reaction to a defendant's conduct as circumstantial evidence.

3 That, when combined with other evidence, like a demand note,

could allow a jury to infer objective intimidation.

But see the *Jennings* case -- I don't see what circuit this is -- 439 F.3d at 611, which concluded that an examination into the subjective reaction of one specific bank teller is inappropriate because it risks creating a windfall for defendants who fortuitously selected to victimize a bank teller with an unusually thick skin.

So, you know, I think it's clear that the testimony is relevant. I think the hard -- and I think it is clear that it comes in as an indication of state of mind over hearsay objection.

I think the hard question is the 403 question of when a witness says I thought someone would be killed. Is that a level of -- you know, a potential inferential leap to a level that is so shocking to the jury that it should be stricken.

We have three options here: One is to admit the testimony, full stop; two is exclude the testimony, full stop; three is admit it with a limiting instruction.

And I'm interested in the defense view of whether a limiting instruction is something they desire. But maybe the Government can speak to the balance of probative versus

Michele Lucchese, RPK, CRR Officia. Cour. Reporter

prejudicial specifically.

MS. REHNQUIST: Your Honor, I believe that this is the victim's state of mind. He was being threatened by intimidation and force, and the defense has an opportunity to cross-examine him as to whether or not that was a reasonable state of mind for him to have.

THE COURT: Right.

I think the extent to which the testimony is probative is maybe linked to the extent to which it was reasonable in light of whatever this witness had actually seen and heard, including firsthand, and including by reputation.

If his subjective interpretation is crazy because he took such a long inferential leap that it just made no sense to conclude that, then the evidence is not particularly prejudicial because you can bring out on cross-examination the length of that inferential leap and that will obviously -- that will potentially result in this witness's testimony doing more harm to the Government's case than good, let alone unfairly prejudicing it.

To the extent his interpretation is an objectively reasonable one in light of everything he heard and saw, including by reputation, then that buttresses the probative value that we just heard about in all of these circuit cases cited in the *Wooten* decision because it is indeed relevant to and probative of the way a reasonable person standing in that

	Proceedings 85
1	witness's shoes would have understood the testimony. So I'm
2	struggling to I'm trying to stress test what I am saying
3	now, but I'm struggling to come out in a place where I see why
4	this testimony is substantially more prejudicial than
5	probative.
6	MR. MARRONE: Judge, if I may.
7	THE COURT: Yes, please.
8	MR. MARRONE: Just my two cents, Judge, on behalf of
9	Mr. Celso. I would have certainly join in Mr. McMahon's
10	objection.
11	It really is it's more along the line of a
12	violation of Your Honor's decision in our motion in limine.
13	If you look at the prior questioning, it's about the
14	defendant's, Mr. Romanello, in this case, reputation, and then
15	the Government is leading the witness down this path where he
16	is this mafia guy, associated with the mafia, and the mafia
17	kills people. So it is that two plus two equals four and
18	that's the problem. That's the violation, Judge. So that's
19	certainly a 403 issue for me. It's a 403 issue for Mr. Celso
20	because he's sitting here as well. And.
21	I would object to the fact that if this witness is
22	afraid of a co-defendant killing him, then he is certainly
23	afraid of my client killing him.
24	THE COURT: Let me look back at the testimony for a

THE COURT: Let me look back at the testimony for a $\ensuremath{\mathsf{moment}}$.

Proceedings

MR. MARRONE: And I think your Honor instructed the Government to be very careful as to what the witness said and I think the Government led him down a bad path.

THE COURT: So I think I know the context you're referring back to. And what I was saying was to the extent we're going to have reputation evidence come in; i.e., hearsay evidence on reputation, the Government would need to be very careful with its witnesses to make sure that the witness was going to testify to what he or she actually heard about a defendant's reputation rather than what inferential leaps that witness may have made about that reputational statement. And I think the Government has done that, or, at the very least, you're not complaining that the Government violated the literal instructions on that score here.

Let me just look back at the testimony. Hold on one second.

MR. MARRONE: Judge, also, as I'm sitting here, I'm thinking about it and it's just how the Government just got that evidence in through the back door. It's Detective Carillo testimony, Judge, elicited from a layperson, and that's really the reality of what it is, and it is highly prejudicial. The Government was admonished not to do that. It's not fair. It hurts my client. I don't see how my client could even get a fair trial after that.

And nowhere in Bruno's Grand Jury testimony, in his

	Proceedings 87
1	302s has he ever said that. So where does that come from? It
2	came out the sky.
3	THE COURT: It's not in the 302s?
4	MR. MARRONE: I've never seen it in any 302s that I
5	read or his Grand Jury testimony.
6	THE COURT: Can you respond by responding to that
7	last point? I actually was thinking about that at lunch.
8	MS. REHNQUIST: There are notes in the 302s in
9	response to when I asked what does mafia mean to you? What do
10	you interpret that to mean? And he responded that my family
11	will get hurt or killed.
12	MR. MARRONE: He didn't say that.
13	THE COURT: Do you dispute that characterization of
14	the 302s or are you saying it doesn't line up with the
15	testimony we heard in court?
16	MR. MARRONE: I don't think that's the accurate
17	version of the 302, I think Bruno says
18	THE COURT: Can I see the 302.
19	MR. MARRONE: they'll get hurt, but never killed.
20	And I think, Judge, the reality of a gambling debt, that's not
21	realistic.
22	THE COURT: Well, that's the question.
23	MS. REHNQUIST: Your Honor, I think there is also a
24	difference.
25	THE COURT: What do you want me assume that we

Proceed-	inas
1 1 00000	9 0

are in the middle ground of the testimony is not going to be stricken but I will give the limiting instruction, what do the defense want the limiting to say, if anything?

MR. MCMAHON: I would like the limiting instruction to say this witness has no basis for making such a statement, do with it what you want. Because he has no basis. What's his basis for saying that?

THE COURT: His basis is -- the question was what did you understand -- let me go back to the precise question.

MS. REHNQUIST: Your Honor, I think there is a difference here between the reputation evidence and the state of mind of the victim.

THE COURT: So the transcript, as it stands now, has him saying I know that if they don't pay the debts they're going to get called. Someone is going to call the debt.

MR. MARRONE: We will take that.

THE COURT: I think the defense lawyers are telling me that's not what they heard, that they heard if they don't pay the debts, they're going to get kill.

The full answer -- if I substitute the word killed for called, the full answer I was hoping that they were going to pay the debts -- sorry. So let me start back. The question that elicited this answer was: And what did you think was going to happen in relation to the debt? And then the witness begins -- and maybe this answer was nonresponsive.

	Proceedings 89
1	The witness answers the question about what he thought was
2	going to happen, he said, I was hoping that they were going to
3	pay the debts because I know that if they don't pay the debts,
4	they're going to get killed.
5	Does that solve the problem if we just strike the
6	was the testimony nonresponsive to your question?
7	MS. REHNQUIST: I don't believe so. I mean, he said
8	if we weren't going to pay the debts
9	THE COURT: What do you think was going to happen?
10	He says I was hoping that they were going to pay the debts. I
11	guess he makes a if then statement, like if they do pay the
12	debts, that's great; if they don't pay the debts, they're
13	going to get killed. So, yes, maybe that is responsive.
14	MR. MCMAHON: The basis of his knowledge, Judge, is
15	the TV?
16	MS. REHNQUIST: It's not about his knowledge. This
17	is separate from the reputation issue. This is about the
18	witness' interpretation and state of mind of what those things
19	meant to him, what was his emotional response to hearing those
20	words. Your emotional response doesn't need to be based in
21	something. It's your visceral reaction, which I clearly
22	MR. MCMAHON: His state of mind is not relevant.
23	THE COURT: So his state of mind, we just heard from
24	a bunch of circuits
25	MR. MCMAHON: Those are individual bank tellers

	Proceedings 90
1	confronted by a robber.
2	This is a guy saying he heard that if something
3	doesn't pay a debt they're going to get killed. That's a
4	little bit different, like night and day.
5	THE COURT: The logic is the same.
6	MR. MCMAHON: No, it's not the same logic, Judge.
7	THE COURT: What's your logic?
8	MR. MCMAHON: The logic is
9	THE COURT: Let me tell you my logic and then you'll
10	tell me
11	MR. MCMAHON: The bank teller gets a note and and
12	should he he or she, from that note, be in fear or not,
13	that's different because it's a personal one-on-one encounter
14	with the bank teller and the robber.
15	This is Bruno saying if these guys don't pay the
16	gambling debt, I know they're going to get killed. There's no
17	one on one. He is just an expert making this up. What
18	gambling debtor can the Government cite that has been killed
19	by the mafia in the last 50 years?
20	MR. MARRONE: Judge, just
21	THE COURT: Let me tell you what I think is the
22	logical relationship between the Wooten cases that I just
23	cited and this case.
24	In both cases you've got a statute that asks
25	whether or a guideline or both that asks whether the

	Proceedings 91
1	conduct of the defendant would instill fear of violence in the
2	reasonable objective listener. What all of those you know,
3	what one circuit court case after another said even when the
4	focus is on the defendant's objective conduct, we accept
5	evidence about the subjective interpretation of the victim
6	because that is relevant to the objective analysis. So that's
7	a pretty clear logical map I think on the situation, it's a
8	legal dynamic in which we find ourselves here.
9	MR. MCMAHON: If Bruno happens to think that the
10	mafia kills people that are left-handed, because he thinks it,
11	that makes it admissible?
12	THE COURT: I didn't see anything in the bank
13	robbery cases that I was citing that said that the victims,
14	before they can testify, that they were worried for their
15	safety, have to undertake a randomized control trial on how
16	many bank robberies actually resulted in physical harm to the
17	teller.
18	MR. MCMAHON: So, Judge, the fact that this
19	gentleman has no basis for making that statement is of no
20	moment?
21	THE COURT: Nobody's inquiring in the bank robbery
22	cases as to the basis what's the difference in basis?
23	MR. MCMAHON: Because to the teller.
24	THE COURT: Or passing a note.
25	MR. MCMAHON: Or passing a note.

	Proceedings 92
1	THE COURT: Sometimes the note says give me all your
2	money or else.
3	MR. MCMAHON: We don't have that, here. Bruno is
4	talking about hypothetically the mafia kills people who don't
5	pay gambling debts.
6	THE COURT: No. Hold on a second.
7	What does he say about the actual conduct here that
8	he is interpreting?
9	MR. MCMAHON: Nothing. He is not interpreting
10	conduct.
11	THE COURT: Ms. Rehnquist, do you have access to the
12	realtime?
13	MS. REHNQUIST: I don't, unfortunately, your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Why? Budget reasons?
15	I will look at it. Rom told me that Toni said hello
16	and he's going to come over to visit you. What did that mean?
17	It meant that he's going to use Tony to like intimidate me
18	or objection. Overruled.
19	Did anything else happen in that meeting? Did you
20	have an understanding about what about whether all of the
21	86,000 was owed by your nephew?
22	Yeah. There's a pretty weak link, I suppose,
23	between his takeaway, that his nephew was going to be killed
24	and any objective conduct on Mr. Romanello's part.
25	MS. REHNQUIST: Well, I think

	Proceedings 93
1	THE COURT: I'm trying to I'm not even sure I
2	follow the question of what was it that made you what was
3	it that made you draw this conclusion.
4	MR. MCMAHON: Actually, Judge, if you read his Grand
5	Jury testimony, the Government led him to that conclusion and
6	they're just following that path here at trial.
7	THE COURT: Rom asked me to go into the private room
8	to talk.
9	Did you go in?
10	Yes, I did.
11	What happened?
12	Rom started to yell, and I need my \$86,000. I need
13	it now. And I was telling him to say I spoke with my nephew
14	Toni. I will speak with him again, see if we can resolve this
15	issue.
16	And then Ms. Rehnquist says, so, I just want to
17	break that down. Rom first said he needs his \$86,000. Yes,
18	what \$86,000 was that. That my nephew gambled with them.
19	I mean, I think the evidence is more relevant,
20	obviously, to the extent it is closely linked to some relevant
21	action or statement by the defendants, and the link is not
22	emerging all that clearly to me here as I go through this
23	again.
24	MS. REHNQUIST: Well, the link is that it wasn't
25	just anyone who went to the restaurant to demand the money and

	Proceedings 94
1	raise the voice, and the reference to Tough Tony, who this
2	witness previously identified as the head of a mafia crew who
3	Rom worked with.
4	THE COURT: It's relevant to the listener's state of
5	mind if it helps move the story forward in terms of explaining
6	what he does next, laying the groundwork for the third
7	meeting.
8	I will give a limiting instruction.
9	I think, Mr. McMahon, you're preferred limiting
10	instruction is for me to say that this witness has no idea
11	what he's talking about and that's unlike any limiting
12	instruction I've ever heard before.
13	MR. MCMAHON: I will clean it up into legalese, if
14	your Honor can
15	THE COURT: Yeah. I think we can say something like
16	he testified about his state of mind, this may be relevant for
17	context, it may be relevant to who did what next, but it is
18	not admitted for its truth, and you should not take that as
19	evidence that his subjective belief was any indication of what
20	would or would not have happened, or something to that
21	effect.
22	MR. MCMAHON: Yes, that would be fine, your Honor.
23	THE COURT: So why don't let me write this down.
24	MS. REHNQUIST: I think it may be more proper to

phrase it in like it's up to you, the jury, to determine

	Proceedings 95
1	whether or not that state of mind was reasonable.
2	THE COURT: Whether an objective listener would have
3	understood the defendant's conduct the same way or not, which
4	is an element here.
5	MR. MCMAHON: Well, what conduct of the defendant
6	are we interpreting?
7	THE COURT: Screaming, taking somebody into a
8	private room, saying I need my money now.
9	MR. MCMAHON: Okay.
10	MS. REHNQUIST: And referencing Tough Tony.
11	THE COURT: And referencing Tough Tony.
12	So he testify to his understanding, or his thinking,
13	let's say, because that maps more closely to what he said. He
14	testified about his thinking
15	This witness is Mr. Selimaj?
16	MS. REHNQUIST: Yes, your Honor.
17	THE COURT: The problem with what you said, Ms.
18	Rehnquist, is that once again it's tied to the state of mind
19	of the victim, not the defendant, and the elements about are
20	about the state of mind of the defendant. So the thing that
21	the jury needs to consider at the end of the day is what Mr.
22	Romanello intended. And what the cases we read say is that
23	how the victim took it is relevant to the jury's consideration
24	of what the and so ultimately the question of what a
25	reasonable person ultimately the question of what a

	Proceedings 96
1	defendant would reasonably expect a person in the witness'
2	place to understand or not understand is for you to decide.
3	MS. REHNQUIST: I don't think sorry.
4	THE COURT: Go ahead.
5	MS. REHNQUIST: I actually the limiting
6	instruction is about it's not about the elements. I think
7	what defense counsel is saying is that the witness'
8	interpretation is unreasonable. So the witness' state of mind
9	is unreasonable.
10	It's up to the jury to evaluate each witnesses
11	testimony. So it's not about the elements we need to prove at
12	the end of trial. It's about evaluating whether or not when
13	Mr. Selimaj says he's believed that, whether that is a
14	reasonable thing for him to believe given the facts that they
15	were produced.
16	THE COURT: The limiting instruction is called for
17	when testimony comes in for one purpose but not another
18	purpose. And we're telling the jury to consider it for
19	purpose A, not for purpose B.
20	So what I have here is as follows: The witness,
21	from whom you are hearing, testified about his thinking
22	following his encounter with Mr. Romanello.
23	Is it following or during?
24	MS. REHNQUIST: Both.
25	THE COURT: Okay. During his encounter let's say

	Proceedings 97
1	after.
2	The witness from whom you're hearing testify about
3	his thinking after his encounter with Mr. Romanello. That
4	testimony has been admitted to show the witness' state of mind
5	and to provide context for who did what next. It has not been
6	admitted for its truth and you should not consider it for that
7	purpose. Ultimately, the question of what a defendant would
8	reasonably expect a person in the witness' place to understand
9	or not understand is for the jury.
10	MS. REHNQUIST: I think
11	THE COURT: Mr. McMahon?
12	MR. MCMAHON: Your Honor, on a scale of ten, I give
13	it a one, but it is better than nothing.
14	THE COURT: Is there anything specific you would
15	change?
16	MR. MCMAHON: It should not be given; it should be
17	stricken. It's so clearly highly prejudicial. It's so
18	clearly without any the witness has no basis. He didn't
19	hear that. He just made it up at the prompting of the
20	Government and your Honor is looking for a way to keep it in
21	and finesse it. There is no good way to do it. It doesn't
22	belong in the case. It should be stricken.
23	THE COURT: All right. I'm going to give this
24	limiting instruction.
25	MS. REHNQUIST: Your Honor

Proceedings 98 THE COURT: Yes. 1 2 MS. REHNQUIST: -- I just -- I agree with the first 3 part of the instruction because the basis here is that the 4 victim's perception or state of mind is not admissible for its truth. 5 But the second part where it discusses the elements, 6 7 I just worry that's a little bit confusing because the jury 8 hasn't heard the elements of the crime yet. But I think if we 9 ended the instruction that it's not admissible for its truth, 10 that what the jury knows how they can consider that information and how not to consider that information. 11 12 THE COURT: So you would just delete the last 13 sentence? 14 MS. REHNQUIST: Yes. 15 THE COURT: Which I was putting in only at your 16 request of what they should be considering this for. 17 fine. Okay. 18 MS. REHNQUIST: Your Honor, I would note for the 19 record that I do expect that similar statements will be made 20 by our witnesses, asking them what they interpret things to 21 have meant. 22 THE COURT: There has to be a close -- in the bank 23 robbery cases, the teller gets a note, hypothetically, that

> Michele Lucchese, RPK, CRR Officia, Cour. Reporter

says give me all the money or else. And there is a close

logical link between that conduct and the question about what

24

Proceedin	as
1 1 000000111	90

the witness thinks because the witness is asked what did you understand or else to mean. It's not obvious what the or else is here.

I mean, I understand he's screaming and demanding money and has gone into a back room for that purpose. But it's not like there's a highly ambiguous phrase in that context that the witness is being asked to explain their interpretation of. So, I do think you want to be very careful going forward to make sure that if anything like this comes out further, it comes out in response to specific and legitimate questions about conduct that is legitimately subject to multiple interpretations.

You know, here, the "or else" is I suppose implicit if somebody is screaming at you better get my money.

MR. MCMAHON: That you're going to kill him? I don't think so, Judge.

THE COURT: Well, that's something. There is a logical question that follows or else what?

MS. REHNQUIST: This is something we're not eliciting from this witness, but this witness knows other people who gambled with the mafia and were beaten with bats. He knows -- he has experience with this.

THE COURT: I mean, it's not a secret to people who work in this city, in this line of work that the loansharking business has at times involved violent methods of collection

and, in a sense, that is what this trial is all about.

All right. Let's bring the jury in. I'm going to give this limiting instruction, and then we're going to bring in Mr. Selimaj, if I am pronouncing that correctly, and resume.

How do you pronounce his name?

MS. REHNQUIST: The sort of Americanized pronunciation is Mr. Selimaj. Selima would be the non-Americanized version, but both are acceptable.

THE COURT: So, I'm sorry, Mr. McMahon, given the limiting instruction that I have framed, would you rather I give it or don't give it at all?

MR. MCMAHON: I'd rather that you give it than not give it at all.

THE COURT: Okay.

Mr. McMahon, just for what it is worth in the record, my question about the randomized control trials in bank robbery cases, the answer is there's actually very little physical harm that emerges from bank robberies, because, as we know, in this business, bank tellers are trained to always give over the money and the FBI and NYPD are trained not to respond to bank robberies in progress because of the risk to civilians. So the actual risk of injury, I think, empirically speaking, is exceedingly low and nevertheless we have this raft of cases in which victim's subjective expectation that

	Proceedings 101
1	they would be hurt if they didn't give over the money is
2	admitted. So I actually think that this maps, even your
3	version of the facts where the empirical evidence of
4	MR. MCMAHON: The decision in those
5	THE COURT: violence
6	MR. MCMAHON: is force being used. They were
7	sentencing decisions, is there force there, and then as part
8	of that equation, they're looking at
9	THE COURT: Were they all sentencing decisions? I
10	don't think so.
11	MR. MCMAHON: Several of them were. They are
12	looking at what do the tellers think in terms of when they got
13	the note.
14	THE COURT: Yes. That's the limiting factor on
15	the Smith case from the Seventh Circuit was about jury
16	instructions. So guilt or innocence rather than at
17	sentencing.
18	The Walker case from the Second Circuit is not clear
19	from this.
20	(The jury enters the courtroom.)
21	THE COURT: Please be seated, ladies and gentlemen.
22	Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, welcome back from
23	the lunch break.
24	Just a few things, some housekeeping and then a
25	legal instruction.

So, first of all, when you come in, you should always feel free to sit as soon as you get to your seats. We are standing out of respect for the jury and the jury system. So we will remain standing until you're seated, but you don't have to wait for me.

Secondly, that was a longer lunch break than we generally hope for. Sometimes these things are unavoidable. But rest assure that we try to surface legal issues that we are going to have to discuss outside of the jury's presence. We try as hard as possible to surface those issues in advance of trial and after the trial day is over to minimize the burden on your time.

Lastly, a legal instruction. Before the lunch break, immediately before the lunch break, you will remember that the witness, who is currently on the witness stand, Mr. Selimaj, I think is the way you pronounce that, testified about his own thinking, his own thinking after the encounter he described with Mr. Romanello. That testimony about his own thought process was admitted to show the witness' state of mind and to provide context for who did what next. His testimony was not admitted for its truth and you should not consider it for that purpose.

All right. Does the Government want to bring the witness back?

MS. REHNQUIST: Yes, your Honor.

```
Shugeri Selimaj - direct - Rehnquist
                                                                 103
              THE COURT:
                           Thank you.
1
 2
              MS. REHNQUIST: May I resume the podium?
 3
              THE COURT: Yes.
              MS. REHNQUIST:
 4
                               May I resume, your Honor?
              THE COURT: You may, please proceed.
5
6
              MS. REHNQUIST: Just for the record and the witness,
 7
    you're still under oath.
8
    DIRECT EXAMINATION
9
    BY MS. REHNQUIST:
                        (Continuing)
10
    Q
         Mr. Selimaj, before the break, we were talking about the
11
    first time Rom visited your restaurant.
12
              Do you remember that?
13
    Α
         Yes, I do.
14
         Do you see Rom in this courtroom today?
15
         Yes, I do.
    Α
16
         Could you please identify him by an article of clothing
17
    that he's wearing?
18
         He has a gray hair, glasses, and a sweater is, I think
19
    it's blue.
20
              MS. REHNQUIST: Your Honor, let the record reflect
21
    that the witness has identified the defendant.
22
              MR. MCMAHON:
                             So stipulated, your Honor.
23
              THE COURT: Yes, the record shall so reflect.
24
    Q
         After that first visit from Rom, did there come a time
25
    that you saw Rom again?
```

Shugeri Selimaj - direct - Rehnquist 104 Yes, I did. 1 Α 2 When was that? Q 3 Α It is a couple weeks later. 4 Q A couple weeks after the first visit? 5 Α Yes. Where did you see him? 6 Q 7 At Lincoln Square Steakhouse. Α 8 Q Who was Rom with that day? 9 Α The second time Rom came with the gentleman, Exhibit 5, 10 and Luan Bexheti and a fourth person, I don't know. 11 Q You said the name Luan Bexheti? 12 Α Yes. 13 Q Who is Luan Bexheti? 14 Α He's Albanian kid. I know him like 25, 30 years. 15 MS. REHNQUIST: Mr. Jackson, may I please have the ELMO for the witness only. 16 17 Q Mr. Selimaj, do you see Government Exhibit 3 in front of 18 you? 19 Yes, I do. Α 20 Q Do you recognize the individual in Government Exhibit 3? 21 Α Yes, I do. 22 Who do you recognize it to be? Q 23 Α This is Luan Bexheti. 24 Q Is this a fair and accurate depiction of Luan Bexheti? 25 Yes, it is. Α

```
Shugeri Selimaj - direct - Rehnquist
                                                                  105
                               And also showing just the witness
 1
              MS. REHNQUIST:
 2
    only Government Exhibit 3-A.
 3
    Q
         Is this a placard that says Luan Bexheti?
 4
    Α
         Yes, correct.
               MS. REHNQUIST: Your Honor, at this time the
 5
    Government moves to admit Government Exhibit 3 and
6
 7
    Government Exhibit 3-A.
8
              MR. MCMAHON: No objection.
9
               MR. MARRONE: No objection, your Honor.
10
               THE COURT: Received and you may publish.
11
               (Government's Exhibit 3-A received in evidence.)
12
               (Exhibit published.)
    BY MS. REHNQUIST:
13
14
    Q
         Why was Luan Bexheti there during the second meeting?
         He was there with the Exhibit 5, trying to collect the
15
    Α
16
    money that my nephew owes.
17
         Is this the gambling money?
    Q
18
    Α
         Gambling money.
19
         And what was Luan Bexheti's relationship to the gambling
20
    money?
21
         I don't know if he work for them or was ....
22
               THE COURT:
                           If you know.
23
    Q
         What happened when Rom and these other men arrived at
24
    your restaurant?
25
         As usual Rom asked me to go in a private room, we went
```

Shugeri Selimaj - direct - Rehnquist 106 over, we talk, and he was asking again for \$86,000. 1 2 What was the tone of the conversation? 3 He was getting higher than the first time, like screaming 4 that I need my \$86,000, I don't want to hear. I need my money. 5 6 Q And who was saying these things? 7 He was saying to me. Α 8 Who was saying them? Q 9 Α Rom. 10 Was anyone else in the private room with you and Rom at this time? 11 12 No. No. 13 What, if anything, did you say to Rom after he was asking 14 for his \$86,000? So I told Rom that time, I spoke with my nephew, Toni, 15 16 Fitoni, and he said he want only \$6,000 and other \$80,000, 17 Fitoni's brother-in-law owe, and I say I don't care, I want 18 all my money. I don't care who owns it. I want all my money. 19 Q Did anything else happen during that conversation? 20 Well, no, he was getting just like mad. Nothing else. Α 21 What, if anything, did you do after Rom, the individual in Government Exhibit 5, and Luan Bexheti came to your 22 23 restaurant that day? 24 Well, they had the dinner and they left. And I called my

nephew again and I told him that Rom came with the number

		Shuqeri Selimaj - direct - Rehnquist 107
1	Exhi	bit 5 and asking for money.
2	Q	Okay. And why did you reach out to Tony?
3	Α	I didn't want Toni again to get hurt from them.
4	Q	Did there come a time after this second visit by Rom that
5	you	saw Rom again?
6	Α	Yes, I did.
7	Q	Approximately when was that in relation to the Second
8	visi	t?
9	Α	It was about a couple weeks later.
10	Q	And where did you see Rom next?
11	Α	At Lincoln Square Steakhouse.
12	Q	The same location?
13	Α	Same location.
14	Q	And this is the restaurant you were owning at the time?
15	Α	Yes.
16	Q	Prior to each of the times that Rom showed up at your
17	rest	aurant, did you know that he was coming?
18	Α	No, I didn't.
19	Q	Did he ever reach out to you beforehand?
20	Α	No, he didn't.
21	Q	Have you ever spoken with Rom on the phone?
22	Α	No, I didn't.
23	Q	At this point, in 2017, did you consider Rom a friend?
24	Α	I knew him as a customer, not a friend.
25	Q	For this third visit, who, if anyone, did Rom come to

	Shuqeri Selimaj - direct - Rehnquist 108
1	your restaurant with?
2	A He came with the Exhibit 5. He came with his son, and he
3	came with another gentleman.
4	Q All right. How do you know he came with his son?
5	A He introduced me that night to his son.
6	Q So it was Rom and three other people?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Okay. Can you describe for the jury what happened during
9	the third time Rom came to your restaurant?
10	A Well, when he came third time to my restaurant, again, we
11	went to private room. Rom asked to go to private room, and
12	one of the gentleman with him ask him should we come with you.
13	He said no, and me and Rom went over there. And
14	Q Let me pause you for a second.
15	When you say you and Rom went over there, where
16	A In the private room.
17	Q Mr. Selimaj, if you would just slow down a little bit and
18	just wait until I finished my question.
19	A Okay.
20	Q It's for the Court reporter.
21	So did you and Rom go to a private room.
22	A Yes, we room.
23	Q What happened was anyone else with you?
24	A No.
25	Q What happened when you were in the private room?

Shugeri Selimaj - direct - Rehnquist 109 1 Rom was getting mad. He was still screaming, yelling: Ι 2 want all my money, I want \$86,000, and I don't want to hear. 3 And I told him Rom, my nephew tells me he owes you \$6,000. 4 I'm willing to pay for my nephew \$6,000, but I'm not willing to pay \$80,000 for my nephew's brother-in-law. He say, I 5 6 don't care, I want all my money. And he was raising the 7 voice. 8 Why did you tell Rom that you would pay the \$6,000 that 9 your nephew owed? 10 I just didn't want my nephew to get hurt, to get broken 11 leg or to be killed. 12 Q How, if at all --13 MR. MARRONE: Objection. 14 MR. MCMAHON: Same objection. 15 THE COURT: Your objection is noted. But overruled, 16 you may continue. 17 How, if at all, did Rom react when you told him that you 18 would pay him the \$6,000 but not the \$86,000? 19 Α He just didn't want to take the answer. He say I want 20 all my money and he was raising his voice, screaming. 21 Q Did you eventually leave the private room? 22 Α Yes, we did. 23 Q Where did you go? 24 We went towards the bar, where he had his friends waiting 25 for him.

Shugeri Selimaj - direct - Rehnquist 110 The three individuals? 1 Q 2 Α The three individuals, yes. 3 What happened, if anything, when you arrived at the bar 4 area? 5 So, me and Rom, we arrived at the bar area and we're still talking and, you know, I was telling him I'm willing to 6 7 pay 6,000 for my nephew but I'm not paying 80,000 for his 8 brother-in-law. And the gentleman, Exhibit 5, he came closer 9 to us, me and Rom, and he start to talk, that they want all 10 the money, that I don't care, Toni got to pay all the money, we don't care about his brother-in-law. 11 12 So at this time, you're standing with Rom and the 13 individual in Government Exhibit 5? 14 Α Yes. And what did you say, if anything, to Rom and this 15 16 individual? I just told him I'm willing to pay \$6,000, but I'm not 17 18 willing to pay \$86,000, and Rom keep yelling, screaming at the 19 There was a customer at the bar. There was my workers, 20 15 workers around there watching show. 21 And then Rom keep saying I would like to punch you, 22 I'd like to punch you. And, you know, he keep going I like to 23 punch you. 24 I say you have no guts to punch me. I told him 25 that.

```
Shugeri Selimaj - direct - Rehnquist
                                                                  111
          Then what happened?
1
    Q
 2
          Few seconds later he just punched me.
    Α
 3
    Q
         Where did he punch you?
 4
    Α
         He punched me in my face.
 5
    Q
         After Rom punched you in your face, what, if anything,
    happened?
6
         The gentleman, Exhibit 5, he pushed me with his hands
7
8
    like this.
9
               MS. REHNQUIST: For the record, the witness is
10
    holding his two hands in front of his chest and moving them
11
    forward.
12
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
13
    Q
         After the individuals in Government Exhibit 5 pushed you,
14
    what happened?
         Well, the two gentlemen, they surround me one on the left
15
    Α
16
    and one on the right.
17
    Q
         Which two gentlemen?
18
    Α
         The gentlemen sitting at the bar, Rom son or the
19
    gentleman that I ignore.
20
               (Continued on next page.)
21
22
23
24
25
```

S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Rhenquist 112 **EXAMINATION BY** 1 2 MS. RHENQUIST: 3 (Continuing.) 4 So those two surround you? 5 Yeah, four of them. I was, like, in the middle surrounded by four of them. 6 7 And then what happened? Q And I was lucky enough to say, gentlemen, you are on the 8 9 video camera. 10 Q What video camera are you referring to? 11 Camera in the restaurant. After you mentioned the video camera to them, what 12 Q 13 happened? 14 Α Rom just say let's get out of here. And what happened next? 15 Q And then they left. 16 Α And after all of this happened, what was your reaction? 17 () 18 I was really mad. I could not believe that Rom knew me for 30 years and he was going come over, beat me in front of 19 20 my work, in front of customers in the restaurant, and I was 21 very mad. You were mad? 22 Q 23 Α Yes. 24 You mentioned the video cameras. Were there

25

surveillance videos?

S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Rhenquist 113 1 Α That's surveillance camera, yes. 2 And do you know if the incident that you just discussed 3 where Rom punched you was captured on that video 4 surveillance? Yes, it is. 5 Α Have you watched that video? 6 Q 7 Α Yes, I did. 8 MS. RHENQUIST: Your Honor, at this time, the 9 Government would like to read in a stipulation into the 10 record. 11 THE COURT: Please. Just tell us how the 12 stipulation is identified if there is a number, an exhibit 13 sticker on it. 14 MS. RHENQUIST: The stipulation is identified as Government Exhibit S-2. And if we could put it on the Elmo 15 16 so the jury can follow along. THE COURT: Yes, it's been published now. 17 18 know if you need us to dim the lights at all. This is a 19 stipulation so we're going to publish it, right? 20 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Dim the lights? 21 THE COURT: A bit. 22 MS. RHENQUIST: So Government Exhibit Stipulation S-2. 23 24 It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between 25 the United States of America and defendants Joseph Celso and

S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Rhenquist 114 Anthony Romanello, through their attorneys, that: 1 2 On or about May 11, 2017, Lincoln Square steakhouse was located at 208 West 70th Street in New York, New York. 3 4 The steakhouse. Government's Exhibits 311 through 323 are true and 5 accurate copies of video surveillance footage recovered from 6 7 surveillance cameras located inside the steakhouse on May 11, 8 2017. 9 The timestamps that appear on Government 10 Exhibits 311 through 323, are accurate as to the date and 11 time of the events depicted. 12 Government Exhibits 311 through 323 are admissible 13 in evidence. 14 This stipulation, marked as Government Exhibit S-2 is admissible in evidence. 15 Signed and dated by the parties on November 14, 16 2023. 17 18 **EXAMINATION BY** 19 MS. RHENQUIST: 20 (Continuing.) 21 Mr. Selimaj, in addition to reviewing the surveillance video from Lincoln Square Steakhouse, did you also look at 22 some still images that were taken from those videos? 23 24 Α What was the question? 25 Did you review the video from Lincoln Square Steakhouse? Q

S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Rhenquist 115 Yes, I did. Α 1 Did you also review some still images of that video 2 3 surveillance? 4 Α Yes, I did. Were those still images and clips true and accurate 5 still images and clips from the video surveillance footage? 6 7 Α Yes, they are. Showing the witness only what's been marked as 8 9 Government Exhibit 353. 10 Do you recognize this exhibit? Yes, I do. 11 How do you recognize it? 12 Q 13 Α I signed it, initial it, on November 27, 2023. 14 And does this hard drive contain the still images and video clips that we just discussed from the Lincoln Square 15 Steakhouse video surveillance footage? 16 Yes, it is. 17 Α 18 Q And are these true and accurate copies of those still 19 images and video clips? Yes, they are. 20 Α 21 MS. RHENQUIST: Your Honor, at this time, the Government moves to admit Government Exhibit 353 and its 22 23 contents which contains Government Exhibits 313-A, 313-B, 24 316-A, 319-A, and 323-A. 25 MR. MCMAHON: No objection.

```
S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Rhenquist
                                                                 116
1
              MR. MARRONE:
                             No objection, your Honor.
 2
              THE COURT: They are admitted.
 3
               (Government's Exhibits 353, 313-A, 313-B, 316-A,
 4
    319-A, and 323-A were marked in evidence.)
              MS. RHENQUIST: Your Honor, in relation to the
 5
    stipulation S-2 the Government formally moves the stipulation
6
 7
    which is marked as Government Exhibit S-2 and the Government
    exhibits referenced therein which are Government's
8
9
    Exhibits 311 through 323.
10
              MR. MCMAHON:
                             No objection.
              MR. MARRONE:
                             No objection, your Honor.
11
12
              THE COURT: They are admit as well.
13
               (Government's Exhibits S-2 and 311 through 323 were
14
    marked in evidence.)
15
    EXAMINATION BY
    MS. RHENQUIST:
16
17
    (Continuing.)
18
    Q
         I want to focus on the surveillance cameras for a
19
    minute.
20
         Were there multiple cameras at the restaurant?
21
         Yes, there were.
    Α
         And where, generally speaking, where were the cameras
22
    located?
23
24
         Outside the door in a hallway, over the bar, and some
25
    dining room areas, in the kitchen.
```

S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Rhenquist 117 Q And were there timestamps on the video cameras? 1 2 Yes. Α 3 Q And were those approximately accurate? 4 Α Yes, they are. Q If we could publish what's in evidence as 5 Government Exhibit 313-A. 6 7 MS. RHENQUIST: And, Mr. Jackson, this is on the computers, please. 8 9 Mr. Selimaj, do you recognize the area of the restaurant 10 that depicted in Government Exhibit 313-A? 11 Yes, I do. What area of the restaurant is this? 12 () 13 Α This is bar area of the steakhouse. 14 And I want to focus your attention on the top right-hand corner of Government Exhibit 313-A. 15 16 Do you see a date and timestamp there? 17 Α Yes, I do. 2017, May 11th, 5:58. 18 Q Is that am or p.m.? 19 P.m. Α 20 MS. RHENQUIST: And, Mr. Rader, if we could just 21 zoom in on the center of the exhibit. 22 Q Mr. Selimaj, do you recognize anyone in the center of 23 exhibit, Government Exhibit 313-A? 24 Α Yes, I do. 25 If you could tell me person by person who you recognize

```
S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Rhenquist
                                                                 118
    by circling the individual on the screen. It's a touch
1
 2
    screen, so...
 3
         So touch screen I just --
 4
              MS. RHENQUIST: One second.
    Q
         313-A. So, first, why don't you circle the individual
 5
    and then explain to the jury who it is, who you recognize?
6
 7
         (Circling).
    Α
8
         For the record, the witness has circled an individual
9
    wearing a suit and he's used the blue circle in the
10
    top-middle of the screen.
11
         Who do you recognize that to be, Mr. Selimaj?
12
    Α
         That's myself.
13
         And I'm going to clear the screen.
14
         Do you recognize anyone else in
    Government Exhibit 313-A?
15
16
         (Circling).
         Mr. Selimaj has now placed a second circle, which is on
17
18
    the right-hand side of the individual he just identified as
    himself.
19
20
         Mr. Selimaj, who do you recognize that second individual
21
    to be?
22
    Α
         That's Rom.
23
    Q
         Okay. We're going to clear the screen.
24
         Do you recognize anyone else in this exhibit,
25
    Mr. Selimaj?
```

S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Rhenquist 119 (Circling). Α For the record, the witness has circled the individual in between the two individuals previously identified as Mr. Selimaj and Rom. Mr. Selimaj, who do you recognize this individual to be? That's Exhibit 5. Α The individual in Government Exhibit 5? Q Yes. Α Do you recognize anyone else in this exhibit, Mr. Selimaj.

11 (Circling).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- For the record, the witness has circled the individual 12 13 at the bottom of the screen who is wearing a white shirt with
- 14 his back to the bar.
- Mr. Selimaj, who do you recognize this individual to be? 15
- That's Rom's son. 16 Α
- Rom's son? 17 Q
- 18 Α Yes.
- 19 And clearing the screen, do you recognize anyone else in
- Government Exhibit 313-A? 20
- 21 (Circling). Α
- For the record, the witness has placed a blue circle to 22
- 23 an individual on the left-hand side of this group of men
- 24 wearing what appears to be a dark-colored shirt.
- 25 Mr. Selimaj, who do you recognize this individual to be?

S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Rhenquist 120 That's the gentleman that came with Rom and the fourth 1 Α 2 person. I'm going to clear the screen. 3 4 Are all the individuals that Rom came into your restaurant that day located here on Government Exhibit 313-A? 5 Yes, they are. 6 Α 7 MS. RHENQUIST: Mr. Rader, if we could take that down and publish what's in evidence as 8 9 Government Exhibit 316-A. 10 Q Mr. Selimaj, what part of the restaurant is featured here in Government Exhibit 316-A? 11 This is the hallway going to the private room. 12 13 Q And could you please circle on the exhibit where the 14 private room is located? (Circling). 15 Α For the record, the witness has placed a circle on the 16 17 top-center and slightly left of center on 18 Government Exhibit 316-A and I'm just going to clear the 19 screen. 20 Mr. Selimaj, what is the date and time featured on 21 Government Exhibit 316-A? 22 2017, 5/11, 5:56:09 p.m. 23 Q Just to orient the jury, is this the date of visit three

Anthony D. Frisolone, FAPR, RDR, CRR, CRI, CSR Official Court Reporter

24

25

Α

that we just discussed?

Correct.

```
S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Rhenquist
                                                                 121
         Do you recognize any individuals in
1
    Q
 2
    Government Exhibit 316-A?
 3
         Yeah, this is Rom.
 4
    Q
         Could you please circle on the screen which individual
    is Rom?
 5
 6
    Α
         (Circling).
 7
         For the record, the individual has circled the
    individual who appears to be wearing a light-colored jacket.
8
9
         And do you recognize anyone else in
10
    Government Exhibit 316-A?
11
         I see just Rom here.
12
              MS. RHENQUIST: Mr. Rader, could we just blow up
13
    the center of the exhibit?
14
    Q
         Mr. Selimaj, do you see anyone standing in front of Rom?
         (Circling). Yes, I do.
15
    Α
         And, for the record, the witness has circled the
16
    individual standing in front of Rom.
17
18
         Do you recognize that individual?
         Yes, I do.
19
    Α
20
    Q
         And who is that?
21
    Α
         That's myself.
22
              MS. RHENQUIST: Mr. Rader, if we could please
23
    publish what's in evidence as Government's Exhibit 319-A.
24
         Mr. Selimaj, what part of Lincoln Square Steakhouse is
25
    featured in Government Exhibit 319-A?
```

S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Rhenquist

- 1 A This is area in front of the bathroom, in front of the
- 2 private rooms, where the four private rooms and bar area. In
- 3 | front of bar area.
- 4 Q Do you see the entrance to Lincoln Square Steakhouse in
- 5 | this exhibit?
- 6 A Yes, I do.
- 7 Q Could you please circle the entrance to the steakhouse?
- 8 A (Circling).
- 9 Q For the record, the witness has placed a circle on the
- 10 top-center of the screen.
- 11 You mentioned there was also a bathroom area?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Where is that area in the exhibit?
- 14 A (Circling).
- 15 | Q For the record the witness, has placed a circle in left
- 16 of the center on the exhibit.
- 17 Mr. Selimaj, do you recognize any individuals in
- 18 Government Exhibit 319-A?
- 19 A Yes, I do.
- 20 | Q Who do you recognize?
- 21 A (Circling). This is Rom's son.
- 22 | Q For the record, the witness has circled the individual
- 23 on the left-hand side of the exhibit.
- 24 And you mentioned that's --
- 25 A Rom's son.

S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Rhenquist 123 -- Rom's son. 1 Q 2 And do you recognize anyone else in this exhibit? 3 Yes, I do. 4 Q Could you please circle that individual? (Circling). 5 Α For the record, the witness has circled the individual 6 Q 7 on the right-hand side. Mr. Selimaj, who do you recognize that individual to be? 8 9 Α That's the fourth gentleman that came with Rom. 10 Q Now, if we could please publish 11 Government Exhibit 323-A. Mr. Selimaj, what part of Lincoln Square Steakhouse is 12 13 featured in Government Exhibit 323-A? 14 This is in front of the bar area coming from the private 15 room. And is the -- where is the bar area in this exhibit? 16 It's this area here. 17 Α 18 Q On the left-hand side? Left side. 19 20 For the record, the witness has placed a circle on the 21 left-hand side of the exhibit. Where, in relation to this exhibit, is the exit from 22 23 Lincoln Square Steakhouse? 24 Α (Marking with an X). 25 For the record, the witness has placed an X just at the

```
124
                   S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Rhenquist
    bottom of the exhibit which is not captured on the camera.
1
 2
         Mr. Selimaj, do you recognize anyone in
 3
    Government Exhibit 323-A?
 4
    Α
         Yes, I do.
         And for the record, the individual has placed a circle
 5
    around the sole individual in Government Exhibit 323-A.
6
 7
         Who do you recognize that person to be?
         That's Rom.
8
    Α
9
              MS. RHENQUIST: Your Honor, at this time, we would
10
    like to play a video.
              Are the lights dim enough? Is this the right
11
12
    setting?
13
              COURTROOM DEPUTY: Do you want it more dim.
14
              THE COURT: You can go one or two clicks from here,
    please.
15
16
              (A brief pause in the proceedings was held.)
17
              MS. RHENQUIST: Mr. Rader, if you could please
18
    publish what's in evidence as Government Exhibit 313-B.
                                                              And
    before we start playing, if we could just pull the video up.
19
20
         First, if we could just focus on the top right-hand
21
    corner of the screen. If we could zoom in on the top
22
    right-hand corner if we can.
23
         Mr. Selimaj, do you see the date and time in the top
24
    right-hand corner of the screen?
25
         Yes, I do. It's still 2017, 5/11, 5:53:16 p.m.
```

125 S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Rhenquist 1 MS. RHENQUIST: Mr. Rader, could you pull up 2 Government Exhibit 313-B. 3 And focusing on Government Exhibit 313-B, could you just 4 read the date and time, again, for the jury? Α 2:0:17 is, May 11th, 5:58:17 p.m. 5 And we could focus, Mr. Selimaj, on the three 6 Q 7 individuals in the center of the exhibit. Do you recognize 8 those individuals? 9 Α Yes, I do. 10 Q And who do you recognize them to be? 11 Α This is Rom's son. For the record, the witness has placed a circle around 12 13 the individual wearing what appears to be a light-colored 14 shirt with his back to the bar. 15 And do you recognize anyone else? Yes, I do. 16 Α And could you please put a circle on that person? 17 Q 18 Α (Circling). 19 For the record, the witness has placed a circle around 20 the person standing immediately in front of the individual 21 that was just identified as Rom's son. 22 And who do you recognize that person to be? 23 Α This Exhibit 5. 24 Q The individual from Government Exhibit 5? 25 Yes. Α

```
S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Rhenquist
                                                                 126
1
    Q
         And clear the screen.
 2
         Do you recognize anyone else in this video, Mr. Selimaj?
 3
         (Circling).
 4
         For the record, the witness has placed a circle around
    the individual standing immediately in front of the
5
6
    individual Mr. Selimaj just identified as the person from
 7
    Government Exhibit 5.
         Mr. Selimaj, who do you recognize that to be?
8
9
    Α
         That's the fourth gentleman that came with Rom.
10
              MS. RHENQUIST: So, Mr. Rader, if we could please
11
    play a portion of this through zero seconds and pause eight
12
    seconds into the video.
13
              Mr. Selimaj, I'm going to play eight seconds of the
14
    video, pause it, and then ask some additional questions?
15
               (Video file played in open court.)
               (Video file concludes.)
16
17
    Q
         Mr. Selimaj, did you see the two people enter the video
18
    from the left-hand side of the screen?
19
         Yes, I did.
    Α
20
    Q
         Do you recognize those individuals?
21
    Α
         Yes, I do.
22
    Q
         Who do you recognize them to be?
23
    Α
         (Circling).
24
         Mr. Selimaj, placed a circle of the individual in the
25
    top-left corner of the screen who is walking in front wearing
```

```
S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Rhenquist
                                                                  127
1
    what appears to be a dark-colored jacket.
 2
         Mr. Selimaj, who do you recognize that to be?
 3
    Α
         That's Rom.
 4
    Q
         And then do you recognize anyone else?
          (Circling.)
 5
    Α
         Mr. Selimaj put a circle around the individual who is
6
    Q
7
    standing on the left-hand side of the individual who was just
    identified as Rom.
8
9
         Mr. Selimaj, who do you recognize that to be?
10
    Α
         That's myself.
         And before we continue playing the video, Mr. Selimaj,
11
    do you know where you and Rom are coming from at this point?
12
13
    Α
         We came from private room.
14
    Q
         So you were in the private room?
15
         Yes.
    Α
         And now you're entering the bar?
16
    Q
17
    Α
         Yes.
18
         If we could please play the next five seconds of the
19
            So starting at eight seconds in and playing through
20
    13 seconds in.
21
               (Video file played in open court.)
22
               (Video file concludes.)
23
         Mr. Selimaj, can you please explain to the jury where
    Q
24
    you and Rom went?
25
         We went to the private room and we had discussion.
                                                                Rom
```

```
S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Rhenquist
                                                                 128
1
    was yelling and screaming that he wants $86,000 which I
 2
    offered to pay 6,000 for my nephew, but 80,000 I was not
 3
    willing to pay far his brother-in-law which...
 4
         And just focusing, Mr. Selimaj, on the video, did you
    and Mr. Romanello meet up with anyone in this portion of the
 5
    video at second 13?
6
 7
         Yes.
    Α
         And who is that?
8
    Q
9
    Α
         This is Exhibit 5.
10
    Q
                And so, at this point, you're standing with
11
        Romanello and the individual from Government Exhibit 5?
12
    Α
         Yes.
13
         Could we please play from 13 seconds and pause at
14
    48 seconds.
               (Video file played in open court.)
15
16
               (Video file concludes.)
         Mr. Selimaj, what's taking place in the video from
17
    Q
18
    13 seconds to 48 seconds?
19
               When we came from the private room, Exhibit 5
20
    came over to talk with me and I explained to him exactly what
21
    I explained to Rom. I'm willing to pay $6,000 for my nephew
22
    but not 80,000. And he was raising voice, too, we want all
23
    the money and we want $86,000. So both him and Rom they want
24
    all the money at once.
25
         And you were talking to Rom and the individual from
```

```
S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Rhenquist
                                                                 129
    Government Exhibit 5?
1
 2
         Yes, correct.
 3
         Now, Mr. Selimaj, I want you to focus now on where you
 4
    are standing.
 5
              MS. RHENQUIST: And Mr. Rader if we could play the
    next portion of the video at half speed from second 48 until
6
7
    second 55.
8
               (Video file played in open court.)
9
               (Video file concludes.)
10
    Q
         And, again, Mr. Selimaj, if you could please focus on
11
    the area where you're standing?
12
         What's the question again?
13
         While you're watching the video, if you could focus on
14
    the area where you're standing and then I'll ask you some
    questions about that after we watch the next seven seconds.
15
16
               (Video file played in open court.)
17
               (Video file concludes.)
18
    Q
         Mr. Selimaj, what just happened in this portion of the
    video?
19
20
    Α
         Rom just punched me in my face.
21
    Q
         After Rom punched you, what happened?
         The gentleman, Exhibit 5, he pushed me with his hands in
22
23
    the back.
24
         And the other two individuals that came with Rom, what
25
    did they do?
```

```
S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Rhenquist
                                                                 130
         They surround me, all around, like, four sides.
1
 2
    like, in the middle. One is over there, one is here, one is
 3
    here, one is behind.
 4
              MS. RHENQUIST: Mr. Rader, if we could now rewind
    to 48 seconds and play at normal speed from 48 seconds to
 5
    one minute and three seconds.
6
7
               (Video file played in open court.)
               (Video file concludes.)
8
9
    Q
         Mr. Selimaj, what is happening in this section of the
    video?
10
11
         After Rom punched me, I told him that, guys, you are
12
    under surveillance camera. And Rom says, let's get out of
13
    here. He use the words: Let's get out of here.
14
    Q
         And where do you go at that time?
         I went on the left towards the main dining room.
15
    Α
16
    ()
         So you went in the opposite direction?
17
    Α
         Yes.
18
              MS. RHENQUIST: And then if we could continue
19
    playing from minute 1:03 to minute 1:33.
20
               (Video file played in open court.)
21
               (Video file concludes.)
22
    Q
         Mr. Selimaj, could you please explain to the jury what
23
    happened in that section of video?
24
         So they left and then I went towards the exit to see if
25
    they left or they're coming back.
```

S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Rhenquist 131 1 Q Did they come back to the restaurant? 2 Α No, they didn't. 3 Q What were your emotions at this time? 4 MR. MCMAHON: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. 5 How, if at all, did Rom punching you in the face 6 Q 7 influence whether or not you thought the money should be paid 8 back? 9 I was afraid. I said, if you can punch me in the middle 10 of the day in my restaurant, what are they going to do at night where nobody watches. 11 After Mr. Romanello and the other individuals left the 12 13 restaurant, what did you do next? After they left, I called the police. 14 Α And why did you call the police? 15 Q Because Rom punched me. 16 Α And after you spoke with the police, what did you do? 17 Q 18 The police came to the restaurant and they said we 19 cannot file this, we're going to go to the police station. 20 So the police car took me to police station. 21 Q What happened? 22 And I filed complaint. 23 Q When you arrived at the police station, you filed a 24 complaint? 25 Α Yes.

S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Rhenquist

- 1 Q And what was the complaint?
- 2 A It was a complaint that Rom hit me in my face with the
- 3 three other gentleman that were at the restaurant.
- 4 Q In addition to talking with the police that night, did
- 5 you speak with anyone else?
- 6 A Yeah, I calmed my brother Nino.
- 7 Q Why did you call Nino?
- 8 A Because we're six brothers, but me and Nino are eldest,
- 9 oldest, brothers. So he knew Rom and I was so emotional how
- 10 Rom can hit me in my restaurant. And he went to my brother's
- 11 restaurant so many times. And Nino told me and said call the
- 12 police and I said I already did.
- 13 | Q In addition to speaking with Nino and the police, did
- 14 | you speak with Toni?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q And what did you say to Toni?
- 17 A I don't remember exactly but I told him what happened
- 18 and that Rom hit me.
- 19 Q Did you say anything to him about the debt?
- 20 A I don't remember.
- 21 | Q Why did you call Toni?
- 22 A To be careful not to be because he could be hurt.
- 23 | Q The police report that you filed, what happened in
- 24 | relation to that police report?
- 25 A After me and Nino spoke, and Nino telling me that we

```
S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Rhenquist
                                                                133
    should withdraw the complaint. It's going to be bad, it's
1
 2
    going to be ugly, that I should drop complaint.
 3
         What did you interpret that to mean?
 4
              MR. MCMAHON: Objection.
              THE COURT: Sorry, you have to pause when there is
 5
6
    an objection.
7
              Are we talking about what he interpreted Nino's
8
    statement to mean?
9
              MS. RHENQUIST: Yes, your Honor.
10
              THE COURT: His brother.
11
              MS. RHENQUIST: Yes.
12
              THE COURT: Sustained.
13
    Q
         After Nino told you that it was going to get bad or get
14
    ugly, what did you do?
         Next day, Nino came to my restaurant, Lincoln Square,
15
    and from there me and Nino went to the police station on
16
17
    Upper West Side and I withdraw the complaint.
18
    Q
         Did you have to file paperwork when you went to the
19
    police station to report the incident?
20
    Α
         Yes, I did.
21
              MS. RHENQUIST: Showing just the witness what's
    been marked for identification as Government Exhibit 500 and
22
    501.
23
24
         Mr. Selimaj, do you see the two documents on the screen
25
    there?
```

```
S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Rhenquist
                                                                 134
         Yes, I do.
 1
    Α
 2
         Do you recognize these documents?
    Q
         Yes, I do.
 3
    Α
 4
    Q
         What do you recognize them to be?
 5
         These documents when I went to withdraw complaint.
         These are the documents that when you went to the police
 6
    Q
 7
    department?
         It withdraw complaint.
8
9
    Q
         And are these true and accurate copies of the documents
10
    that you filed with the New York City Police Department to
11
    withdraw the complaint?
12
         Yes, they are.
13
              MS. RHENQUIST: Your Honor, at this time, the
14
    Government moves to admit Government Exhibit 501 and 500.
15
              MR. MCMAHON:
                             No objection.
16
               THE COURT: Hold on.
17
                             I'm sorry, Judge.
               MR. MARRONE:
18
              THE COURT: We're waiting on one more lawyer.
19
               MR. MARRONE: If I could have a very brief voir
    dire.
20
21
               THE COURT:
                           Come to the sidebar.
22
               (Continued on the next page.)
23
24
25
```

135 Sidebar (Sidebar held outside the presence of the jury.) 1 2 THE COURT: We're going to take a ten-minute break 3 right now. The jury is excused and we will talk while you 4 all relax in the jury room for a bit. 5 (Jury exits courtroom at 3:08 p.m.) 6 MS. SCHUMAN: Your Honor, may we excuse the 7 witness? 8 THE COURT: As long as we're all at the sidebar, 9 we'll talk at the sidebar. 10 MR. MARRONE: I just want to ask the time. There's 11 a timestamp on top of that complaint. If you look at the 12 exhibit, it's very small. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 MR. MARRONE: And I wanted to just inquire what time the complaint was withdrawn. 15 16 THE COURT: What time the complaint was withdrawn. 17 MR. MARRONE: Withdrawn. 18 THE COURT: Does that go to admissibility? 19 MR. MARRONE: It goes to when he -- to his state of 20 mind when he withdrew the complaint. 21 THE COURT: You can ask him on cross. Voir dire questions, just so everybody knows, should really be limited 22 23 to questions that go to the admissibility or inadmissibility 24 of a documents, not how the jury should interpret the 25 documents.

```
Sidebar
                                                                     136
               MR. MARRONE:
                               Sounds good.
 1
 2
               (Sidebar discussion concludes.)
               (Continued on the next page.)
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

	Proceedings 137
1	(Continuing.)
2	(In open court; jury not present.)
3	THE COURT: Please be seated. We can bring in the
4	jury and the Government can please retrieve the witness.
5	MS. REHNQUIST: Your Honor, just very briefly, I am
6	gating a little bit worried about timing only because I
7	thought we would be putting on multiple witnesses today and I
8	think we might not even get through one witness.
9	Is there any way maybe tomorrow morning we can start
10	at 9:00 or sit a half day on Friday or something?
11	THE COURT: Let me look at Friday. Hold on.
12	MR. MCMAHON: Judge
13	THE COURT: Let's take this up at 5:00 so we don't
14	waste the jury's time.
15	MS. REHNQUIST: If we are going to start at 9:00, i
16	just wanted to address that, but if Friday is an option, then
17	I'm happy to do so at 5:00.
18	THE COURT: I have to figure out what time my flight
19	is on Friday, but we'll take that up at the end of the day.
20	MR. MCMAHON: I'm checking out of my hotel tomorrow
21	morning, Judge.
22	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
23	MR. MCMAHON: I'm checking out of my hotel tomorrow
24	morning, so I don't need a 9:00 call.
25	THE COURT: I don't understand what one thing has to

```
138
                       Shems, Selima, - Direct - Rehnquist
1
    do with the other.
 2
               THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Can the jury come in, Judge?
 3
               THE COURT: Yes, please.
 4
               Let's get the witness.
               MS. REHNQUIST: Should I resume the podium?
 5
               THE COURT: Yes.
6
 7
               (Witness resumes the stand.)
               (Jury enters.)
8
9
               THE COURT: Please be seated, everyone.
10
               I will remind the witness that you remain under
11
    oath.
12
              And, Ms. Rehnquist, you may continue.
13
               MS. REHNQUIST: Thank you, your Honor.
    BY MS. REHNQUIST:
14
         Mr. Selimaj, before we broke, you were explaining that
15
    Q
16
    you withdrew the complaint that you had filed against
17
    Mr. Romanello?
18
    Α
         Yes, I did.
19
         Why did you do that?
20
         I spoke with my brother Nino for a couple long time,
21
    maybe a few hours. Don't remember exactly. And he was keep
22
    saying it's going to be very bad. It's going to be very ugly.
23
    It's going to be very bad. It's going to be very ugly. Maybe
24
    you should withdraw the complaint.
25
         And after that conversation, you decided to withdraw the
    Q
```

```
139
                        Shems, Selima, - Direct - Rehnquist
    complaint?
1
 2
                 Nino came to my restaurant, Lincoln Square. From
         Yeah.
 3
    there we went to the police station, both of us, and I
 4
    withdraw the complaint.
 5
               MS. REHNQUIST: If we can turn back to Government's
    Exhibits 500 and 501, which are in evidence.
6
7
               Mr. Jackson, if we could please publish those to the
8
    jury?
9
               THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Is that a video?
10
               MS. REHNQUIST:
                               No.
11
               Thank you.
12
               (Exhibit published.)
13
               MS. REHNQUIST: Your Honor, I actually don't believe
14
    that -- we were be pending an objection to Government's
15
    Exhibits 500 and 501 coming into evidence.
16
               THE COURT: I think there was a request for voir
17
    dire which was denied.
18
               Is there any objection?
19
               MR. MARRONE:
                             No.
                                   I consent, Judge.
20
               THE COURT: Okay.
                                  It's admitted and you may
21
    publish.
22
               MS. REHNQUIST:
                               Thank you, your Honor.
23
               (Government's Exhibits 500 and 501 received in
24
    evidence.)
25
               (Exhibit published.)
```

```
Shems, Selima, - Direct - Rehnquist
                                                                   140
1
               MS. REHNQUIST: Mr. Jackson, if we can go to the
 2
    computers, please.
 3
               Thank you.
 4
    Q
          I want to focus first on Government's Exhibit 501.
 5
               MS. REHNQUIST:
                               Mr. Rader, if we can zoom in on the
    top half of Government's Exhibit 501.
6
 7
          Mr. Selimaj, is this the complaint dismissal form that
    Q
8
    you filled out?
9
    Α
          Yes, it is.
10
    Q
          The handwriting on this report, whose handwriting is
11
    that?
12
          It's mine.
    Α
13
    Q
          Looking at the top portion of the report, I'm going to
14
    read it and I just want you to tell me if I've read it
15
    correctly.
16
               I, Shuqeri Selimaj --
          I don't have that here.
17
    Α
18
    Q
          Do you see -- I'm going to tap the screen --
19
               THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: It's right here.
               THE WITNESS:
20
                             I see it.
21
    Q
          Mr. Selimaj, do you see where the blue line is?
22
    Α
          Yes.
23
    Q
          I'm going to read this and if you can tell me if I'm
24
    reading it correctly.
25
    Α
          Yes.
```

Shems, Selima, - Direct - Rehnquist 141 I, Shugeri Selimaj, New York, New York, the complainant 1 Q 2 in complaint report number 2017-020-1819 and/or Domestic 3 Incident Report numbers NA do hereby request that the 4 complaint I filed against Anthony Romanello unknown on 5/11/17 5 be dropped. Did I read that correctly? 6 7 Α Yes. 8 MS. REHNQUIST: And if we could now, Mr. Rader, 9 please zoom in on the second half of the document. 10 Q Mr. Selimaj, again, I'm going to read this portion. Ι 11 just want you to let me know if I'm reading it correctly. 12 I make this request of my own free will and without 13 threat of physical or serious injury or death by the 14 perpetrator listed on the complaint report, nor his family or 15 friends. 16 I do not want the New York City Police Department to 17 take any further actions in connection with the above-listed 18 complaints. 19 And then there's a printed name "Shugeri Selimaj" with a date 5/12/17, and then officer's signature, P.O. Matos 20 21 with a date May 13th, '17. 22 Did I read that correctly? Yes. 23 Α 24 And, Mr. Selimaj, is that your signature on this 25 document?

Shems, Selima, - Direc, - Rehnquist

- 1 A Yes, it is.
- 2 MS. REHNQUIST: Now, Mr. Rader, if we can look at
- 3 | Government's Exhibit 500.
- 4 Q Mr. Selimaj, Government's Exhibit 500, which is on the
- 5 | left-hand side of the screen, did you also file Government's
- 6 Exhibit 500 with the New York City Police Department?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 | Q And, again, I'm just going to read for the jury at the
- 9 top, 5/12/17.
- 10 My name is Shugeri Selimaj. I was thinking over the
- 11 24 hours and will like to drop the charges. He had few
- 12 drinks. I know him for last 30 years.
- 13 MS. REHNQUIST: And, Mr. Rader, if we can just go to
- 14 | the bottom half of Government's Exhibit 500, please.
- 15 | Q So was misunderstanding between me and him. I think he
- 16 didn't meant to do that. Shugeri Selimaj with a signature and
- 17 | a date of May 12, 2017.
- 18 Mr. Selimaj, is that your signature on Government's
- 19 | Exhibit 500?
- 20 A Yes, it is.
- 21 | Q Did you write the statements contained in Government's
- 22 | Exhibit 500?
- 23 A Yes, I did.
- 24 | Q The two documents that we just looked at, Government's
- 25 Exhibit 500 and 501, was what was written in those documents

143 Shems, Selima, - Direct - Rehnquist 1 accurate? 2 Nothing -- nothing accurate. Α 3 Q Nothing in those documents was accurate? 4 Α No. 5 So what you wrote in Government's Exhibit 500 that you were thinking over the 24 hours and that it was a 6 7 misunderstanding and he didn't mean to do that, who was the 8 "he" you were referring to? 9 Α Rom. 10 What's written in Government's Exhibit 500 you said was 11 not accurate? 12 It was not true. Accurate -- writing it accurate, but 13 it's not true. 14 () So the content is not true? Content is not true. 15 Α 16 And why did you tell the NYPD something untruthful in this Government's Exhibit 500? 17 18 Α As I say before, I was afraid that this Mafia guy they 19 gonna hurt me or my brothers or my nephew or my niece. 20 Q After you we drew the police report -- and just to 21 clarify for the jury, is the date on this May 12th, 2017? 22 Α I think I withdrew it on 13, but I don't know why it's on 12. 23 24 Looking at Government's Exhibit 500 on the left-hand side Q

of the screen, what's the date written there?

Shems, Selima, - Cross - McMahon 144 5/12/17. 1 Α 2 And is that your handwriting? Q 3 Α Yes. 4 Q And the day that Rom came to your restaurant and punched you from the video, what date was that? 5 Α It was May 11th. 6 7 Q The day before? Day before. 8 Α 9 Q After you withdrew the police report, were you involved 10 at all in the debt moving forward? 11 What's the question again? After you withdrew the police report, what, if any, 12 involvement did you have in paying the debt? 13 14 Α I gave to my brother Nino \$6,000 to pay for my nephew Toni. 15 16 And why did you give the money to Nino? 17 Α Because Nino know these guys. 18 Q Was anyone else involved? 19 Α I don't remember. 20 Q Who did you believe the money was ultimately going to be 21 given to? 22 Α I believe it was going to Rom. 23 Q Do you know if the debt was ultimately paid? 24 Α Yes. 25 Q By whom?

Shems, Selima, - Cross - McMahon 145 I gave 6,000 and Toni's brother-in-law, his 1 By Nino. 2 father wire money to Nino's account, and Nino slowly cashed 3 the checks and he paid whoever he had to pay. 4 Q Why did you pay \$6,000? Again, I didn't want my Toni nephew to get hurt. 5 Α Q When was the last time you saw Rom? 6 7 Α May 11, 2017. 8 No further questions, your Honor. MS. REHNQUIST: 9 THE COURT: Cross-examination? 10 MR. MCMAHON: Yes. CROSS-EXAMINATION 11 12 BY MR. MCMAHON: 13 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Selimaj. 14 Good afternoon. Α By the way, I requested to interview you before trial and 15 Q you refused; is that right? 16 I didn't know anything about that. I heard from my 17 18 lawyer. I didn't hear --19 MS. REHNQUIST: Objection. 20 THE COURT: Did you receive a request from Mr. --21 THE WITNESS: No, I didn't. 22 THE COURT: -- McMahon? 23 Q Through your lawyer. 24 No, I didn't. Nothing from my lawyer. Not from you. Α Your lawyer is Stanley Cohen? 25 Q

Shems, Selima, - Cross - McMahon 146 Yes. 1 Α 2 Stanley Cohen didn't tell you that I called him? Q 3 Α Yes. 4 MS. REHNQUIST: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained. 5 Now, this punch occurred on May 11th, 2017, at about 6 Q 7 6:00 p.m.; is that correct? 8 Yes. 9 A couple of hours later, did you call Rom and did you 10 tell him, Hey, Rom, you fucking pussy. You motherfucking. 11 You cocksucking motherfucker. Come over here if you have 12 fucking balls. You have no balls, you motherfucker. 13 Did you call Rom a few hours after the punch and say 14 I don't remember. I don't remember. 15 Α 16 () You don't remember. 17 Α No. 18 Q Did you also call Rom and tell him to go fuck his mother. 19 He's a pussy, cocksucker, motherfucker? 20 Α I don't remember. 21 Q Is that the kind of language that you would use? Usually I don't use that kind of language. 22 Α 23 Q Okay. And would you like to hear a recording of these 24 calls? 25 MS. REHNQUIST: Objection, your Honor.

Shems, Selima, - Cross - McMahon 147 THE COURT: Sustained. 1 2 Excuse me, Judge, I have to get my MR. MCMAHON: 3 glasses. 4 (Pause.) Q Did you also call him and tell him to come over here and 5 get your ass here. Fucking balls. You go fuck yourself, you 6 7 motherfucking scumbag. 8 Did you call him after the punch that night and tell him that, leave that message? 9 10 Well, you have to understand, in Albanian culture -- I'm Α 11 I was very upset. Nobody put ever a fist in my 12 face, and that showed me in front of my workers, in front of 13 my customers, and I was really mad. I thought the building 14 was coming on my shoulder, so I don't remember. Well, you don't remember or you were just very upset? 15 Q 16 Which is it? 17 I don't remember saying that, but I was very upset. 18 Q Okay. Well, does it sound like something that you said 19 that night and --20 Α I don't remember, sir. 21 And did you also leave a message that night and say, Why 22 don't you suck my dick, you motherfucker. This is Bruno. 23 Come suck my dick you piece of shit. 24 Did you also leave that message for him an hour 25 later?

Shems, Selima, - Cross - McMahon

148

A I don't remember, sir.

- 2 Q Now, one of the things that you were angry about being
- 3 punched at your place was that this was bad for your
- 4 | reputation; is that right?
- 5 A Reputation, my family.
- 6 Q And your reputation as an Albanian tough guy?
- 7 A Absolutely not.

1

- 8 Q Do you fashion yourself as an Albanian tough guy?
- 9 A No, I do not, sir.
- 10 Q Okay. So when you said that this was bad for your
- 11 | reputation, what did you mean?
- 12 A I said Albanian in general reputation. Not my own.
- 13 | Albanian in general.
- 14 Q So the fact that you got punched by Mr. Romanello
- 15 | affected all Albanians?
- 16 A No, sir, I didn't say that.
- 17 | Q Well, tell me what you mean when you said it affected
- 18 | your reputation and that's why you were upset.
- 19 A It was not reputation. I was shocked that I knew Rom for
- 20 | 30 years and he -- to hit my in my restaurant after I knew him
- 21 | for 30 years, that's why I was shocked.
- 22 | Q Now, you remember on the videotape that you just saw, the
- 23 | incident, you told the jury, I don't know, three, four, five,
- 24 | ten times, about how Rom was screaming in the back room and
- 25 | yelling on all three visits; is that right?

Denise Parisi, RPR, CRR Official Court Reporter

Shems, Selima, - Cross - McMahon

149

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Okay. And in the video where you are in the bar with
- 3 him, there's only one person waiving his arms around and that
- 4 was you; is that correct?
- 5 A That's correct.
- 6 Q And you were the one that was screaming?
- 7 A You know why?
- 8 Q Why?
- 9 A Because I offer to pay \$6,000 to Rom and to other
- 10 gentlemen there, number 5. They refused to take \$6,000. They
- 11 | want to collect \$86,000. That's why I said I didn't have
- 12 |\$86,000 in my pocket to give it to them.
- 13 | Q Now, you know that the 6,000 Toni was a debt that your
- 14 | nephew ran up; is that right?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q And the \$80,000 was from his brother-in-law; is that
- 17 | right?
- 18 A That's what I heard.
- 19 Q Okay. So it's not like he's a stranger to you. He's
- 20 | your nephew's brother-in-law; is that right?
- 21 A I don't know him. If I see him in the street, I wouldn't
- 22 | recognize him.
- 23 | Q So you were willing to pay \$6,000, but not the 80; is
- 24 | that right?
- 25 A That's correct.

Denise Parisi, RPR, CRR Official Court Reporter

```
Shems, Selima, - Cross - McMahon
                                                                   150
          By the way, did you know a gentleman by the name of
1
    Q
 2
    Mr. Rushi?
         Mr. Who?
 3
    Α
                  Sam Rushi.
 4
    Q
          Rushi.
 5
    Α
          Sam?
          The guy that gave you $250,000.
 6
    Q
 7
          Sam? Can you spell his last name?
    Α
8
    Q
          I believe it's R-U-S-H-I.
9
               When you opened up Club A Steakhouse, did you get
    $250,000 from somebody?
10
11
          Oh, yes. That's Sam Rushi.
          Rushi, Rushi.
12
    Q
13
    Α
          No.
               Rushi.
14
          I'm just a poor Irish guy. What can I tell you.
    Q
15
          Yeah.
    Α
16
          Did you get $250,000 from him?
    Q
17
    Α
          Yes.
18
    Q
         Was it in cash?
19
          It was a check.
    Α
20
               MS. REHNQUIST:
                               Objection.
                                            Relevance.
21
               THE COURT:
                           Is this relevant?
22
               MR. MCMAHON: Yes, Judge. He's pleading poverty,
23
    Judge.
24
               THE COURT:
                           Who is?
25
               MS. REHNQUIST: Your Honor, can we have this
```

151 Shems, Selima, - Cross - McMahon discussion at sidebar? 1 2 MR. MCMAHON: As to why he didn't want to pay 3 Eddie's portion of the gambling debt. 4 THE COURT: Sustained. Q Now, Mr. Selimaj, how tall are you, sir? 5 Α Six-one. 6 7 And how much do you weigh? Q 220. 8 Α 9 Q And how old are you? 10 Α I'm 71. 11 Q Now, you are aware, sir, that Mr. Romanello is 86? 12 Α Yes. 13 Q And that he's five-foot ten inches tall? 14 Α I don't know how tall. 15 Q He's shorter than you. 16 Yes. Α 17 Q And he's lighter than you; is that right? 18 Α He's what? 19 Q Lighter. He doesn't weigh as much as you weigh. 20 Α I don't know that. 21 Q Now, this incident happened in 2017; is that right? 22 Α Correct. 23 Q And you said that you viewed the videotape which you saw, 24 a portion of it here in court, you viewed that videotape 25 before; is that right?

Shems, Selima, - Cross - McMahon 152 Yes, sir. 1 Α 2 Tell the jury where you viewed that videotape. Well, the first time I saw that video was at the district 3 4 attorney in Queens. I was subpoenaed to testify and the first 5 time I saw that video it was about -- I don't -- I don't exactly, but maybe four years ago I saw there. 6 7 Q And was that the Queens District Attorney's Office? 8 It was in Queens. I don't know exactly, in Queens. Α 9 Q You knew they had arrested the Regan gambling people --10 MS. REHNQUIST: Objection. Sustained. 11 THE COURT: 12 Q Did you know that there was an arrest of the gambling 13 people? 14 MS. REHNQUIST: Objection. No, I didn't. 15 Α 16 THE COURT: Don't answer the question when there's 17 an objection. You have to wait to hear me rule on the 18 objection. 19 Come to the sidebar quickly and we'll sort this out. 20 (Sidebar.) 21 (Continued on next page.) 22 23 24 25

Sidebar 153

(sidebar conference held on the record out of the hearing of the jury.)

THE COURT: What's the relevance?

MR. MCMAHON: The relevance is it's the first time that he viewed the videotape, which they brought out on direct, so I'm allowed to inquire the circumstances under which --

THE COURT: You have the circumstances. The state of the Queens District Attorney's Office's investigation of anything is surely not relevant, and if you are going that way, don't. You got the answer on where he first viewed the videotape.

What's next?

MR. MCMAHON: I wanted to ask about the Queens prosecution. I think it's relevant.

THE COURT: The objection to that is sustained on relevance grounds. What is the relevance of the Queens prosecution, that the Queens DA did not opt to prosecute these people?

MR. MCMAHON: No. The relevance is that this gentleman is trying to say that he withdrew the complaint because he was in fear, and I just don't -- I think I'm allowed to challenge the parameters of that; whether he was in fear, what he knew about the surrounding circumstances.

THE COURT: Surrounding circumstances months or

Sidebar years after he withdrew the complaint? MR. MCMAHON: We are here six and a half years If it's relevant for this Court, it's certainly relevant for me to inquire four years ago and two years ago. THE COURT: I will hear a question about his state of mind, but anything about what the Queens DA did or did not do is surely off limits. MR. MCMAHON: All right. THE COURT: Thank you. (Sidebar conference ends.) (Continued on following page.)

Shuger, Selima, - Cross - McMahon 155 (In open court.) 1 BY MR. MCMAHON: 2 3 Q You testified in the Queens grand jury and that's where 4 you saw the video? 5 Α Yes. Did you know that there was an indictment? 6 Q 7 Α No. 8 This gentleman that you talked about as number 5, you 9 don't know his name? 10 Α I learned the name later on. 11 Q Mr. Regan? 12 Α Mike Regan. 13 Q Yeah, the Irish bookie. 14 Α I don't know. Mike Regan. 15 I assume you talked to your nephew about who he bet with. Q 16 Α I didn't ask. You didn't ask? 17 Q 18 Α I didn't ask who my nephew bet with. 19 Q Okay. Well, you know Luan Bexheti, don't you? Yes, I do. 20 Α 21 Q And Luan Bexheti was sort of like a manager for Regan in 22 his gambling operation? 23 Α I don't know that, sir. 24 Q Did Bexheti apologize to you for doing gambling and 25 involving your employees?

Shuger, Selima, - Cross - McMahon 156 No, he didn't. 1 Α 2 He didn't? Q He didn't. 3 Α 4 Q Does Bexheti know Toni? Α Which Toni? 5 Toni, your -- Besim's son? 6 Q 7 Yes, he knows Toni. Α 8 And you, as you are sitting here today, you didn't know 9 that Toni ran up that \$6,000 debt by working with Bexheti for 10 Regan; you didn't know that? I know Toni told me that they owe \$6,000 to the bookie, 11 12 the bookmaker, whoever they are. 13 Q And Mr. Bexheti -- did you say that he apologized Okay. 14 to you for not -- for involving an employee of yours? 15 Α No. Q He didn't. 16 17 Do you know Mr. Bexheti? 18 Α Yes, I do. 19 How do you know him? 20 I used to lend him my dining room restaurant, the Bruno 21 restaurant 25 years ago to perform his -- he's an artist -- to 22 perform, like, movie, to do, like -- for Albanian cause. 23 Q So you knew he wanted to be an actor? 24 It wasn't only him, it was other actors. They were 25 trying to educate our Albanian kids in America, culture --

Shuger, Selima, - Cross - McMahon 157 Albanian culture, how to see the history, the poems. 1 2 And did you know that he had gone to acting school with 3 Mr. Regan? 4 No, I don't, sir. Now, the transaction that you did with Mr. Rushi, Sal 5 6 Rushi --7 MS. REHNQUIST: Objection. 8 THE COURT: Let's hear the question first. 9 Sorry, what's the objection? Misstates the 10 testimony. 11 MS. REHNQUIST: You sustained questioning on this line of questioning. You sustained my objection to the 12 13 questioning on this line of questioning. 14 THE COURT: Let's hear the question. Q Was that a handshake deal? 15 16 Α Yes. 17 MS. REHNQUIST: Objection. 18 THE COURT: Oh, yes. Sustained. Sorry, this is 19 reminding me of the basis I articulated for the admission. He already answered "yes." Do you want to have that 20 Q 21 stricken? 22 THE COURT: We will strike the answer. 23 objection was sustained. 24 Q So that was a \$250,000 handshake --25 MS. REHNQUIST: Objection.

Shuger, Selima, - Cross - McMahon 158 THE COURT: Sustained. 1 2 Q Now --3 Unless there's a different basis for THE COURT: 4 relevance that you want to bring to my attention at the 5 sidebar, but the one previously articulated is overruled. Now, on direct examination, you were asked where you 6 Q 7 thought the money from the gambling debt being repaid, where 8 it was going, and I believe you answered you thought it was 9 going to Rom; is that right? 10 Α I don't remember. It was only five minutes ago. 11 Q 12 Α Well, yeah. 13 Q Well, do you remember or you don't remember? 14 Α I believe it was going to Rom. Okay. But you believe but you are not sure? 15 Q 16 Α I'm not sure. 17 Q Okay. 18 In fact, the guy who had the gambling office was 19 Regan and Bexheti worked for him; is that right? 20 Α Well --21 MS. REHNQUIST: Objection. Asked and answered. 22 THE COURT: Overruled. 23 Q You can answer. 24 Α My brother will know better where money went. 25 Q Okay, okay.

Shuger, Selima, - Cross - McMahon 159 But in terms of the money for the gambling debt 1 2 going to Rom, you are just -- you are not sure of that? 3 My brother will know better who took the money. 4 Q Okay. 5 Do you remember testifying in the grand jury in Queens that your nephew bet the money with Luan? 6 7 I don't remember, sir. 8 MR. MCMAHON: May I approach the witness, your 9 Honor? 10 No, unless there's a reason to. THE COURT: 11 MR. MCMAHON: I want to show him his grand jury 12 testimony. 13 THE COURT: Why? 14 MR. MCMAHON: To refresh his recollection on what he said in the grand jury under oath in Queens. 15 16 THE COURT: Okay. There's a standard rubric, as I'm 17 sure you are aware, for laying a foundation for the refreshing 18 of recollection and we haven't heard it yet. 19 MR. MCMAHON: Okay. 20 Q Did you testify in the Queens grand jury on October 9th, 21 2019? Did you? 22 I testified, yes. 23 MR. MCMAHON: Judge, I think that's a sufficient 24 foundation. 25 THE COURT: Absent an objection, you can approach.

```
Shuger, Selima, - Cross - McMahon
                                                                      160
                MS. REHNQUIST: Your Honor, could we just have a
 1
 2
    brief sidebar?
                THE COURT: Yes.
 3
                (Sidebar.)
 4
                (Continued on next page.)
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

161 Sidebar 1 (sidebar conference held on the record out of the 2 hearing of the jury.) 3 MR. MCMAHON: He said that he doesn't --4 THE COURT: He doesn't remember. MR. MCMAHON: -- who his nephew bet with. 5 THE COURT: 6 Right. 7 MR. MCMAHON: His testimony under oath said he bet 8 with Bexheti. 9 THE COURT: Okay. So what's going to happen next? 10 MR. MCMAHON: I want to show it to him. He said he 11 didn't remember, so presumably this will refresh his 12 recollection and he will then say, oh, yes, I now remember. 13 THE COURT: What's the next question after --14 Do you now remember that your nephew MR. MCMAHON: bet with Bexheti. 15 16 I think the next question is, has this THE COURT: 17 refreshed your recollection. 18 MR. MCMAHON: Yes. 19 THE COURT: If the answer is yes, because witnesses 20 are always tempted to say, oh, if I see this on the paper, 21 then, yes, I said that. That's not how refresh your 22 recollection, as we all know, works. And so you should 23 establish first that his recollection has actually been 24 refreshed. 25 MS. REHNQUIST: I just want to clarify for the

```
162
                                   Sidebar
    record, this is his federal grand jury testimony.
1
 2
               THE COURT: We may have set the wrong foundation.
               MS. REHNQUIST: Yes, we laid the wrong foundation.
 3
               THE COURT: We will fix that, too.
 4
               (Sidebar conference ends.)
 5
               (Continued on following page.)
 6
 7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Shuger, Selima, - Cross - McMahon 163 (In open court.) 1 2 MR. MCMAHON: May I continue, Judge? 3 THE COURT: Yes, please. 4 BY MR. MCMAHON: 5 Q Mr. Selimaj, the grand jury in October of 2019 was a federal grand jury, not a state grand jury. 6 7 Do you remember testifying in the federal grand jury? 8 9 I just testify over there. I don't know in Queens. 10 Q You don't remember testifying in Brooklyn here? I remember testifying -- I don't understand question. 11 12 What is the question? 13 Q Okay. Well, what I really want to know is whether or not 14 you were aware that Toni, your nephew, was betting with Luan That's the question I'm interested in. 15 Bexheti. 16 I didn't know who he is betting with. 17 And do you remember testifying under oath -- well, let me 18 show you the document first and see if it refreshes your 19 recollection. Take a look at the bottom part of the document. 20 Read it to yourself. 21 Yes, it's four years ago, five years ago. I forgot. Ι 22 didn't remember. 23 Q Now, how many times did Mr. Romanello come into your 24 restaurant? 25 Which restaurant?

Shuger, Selima, - Cross - McMahon 164 Hah? 1 Q 2 Which restaurant? Α 3 Q Bruno's Restaurant. 4 Α He used to come quite often. 5 Q Once a week? Once a week, once a month, every -- once in two months. 6 Α 7 Now, how much money -- and if he came, he usually came Q with other people? 8 9 Α Yes. 10 Q Now, a table of four at Bruno's restaurant, what would 11 the average bill be for a table of four? 12 Α Well, all depends what they drink, what they eat. 13 Q It could be a thousand dollars. 14 Α For four people, no. Q 750? 15 I think -- I don't remember correctly, but at that time 16 it was maybe \$75 per person. 17 18 Q Was it a price-fixed place? 19 It was a la carte, but the prices were lower. 20 But you did describe Mr. Romanello as a very good Q 21 customer. 22 Α Yes. 23 Q And you would be sad to lose him as a customer. 24 Α I will be sad to lose any customer. 25 Q And I think he even went to Nino's restaurants even more

Shuger, Selima, - Cross - McMahon 165 often than he went to your restaurants; is that correct? 1 2 I don't know. I don't know that. Α 3 Q You don't get along with Nino, by the way? 4 Α We do. We're brothers. 5 Q So that means you fight sometimes. 6 Well, I fight sometime with my son, sometime with my Α 7 wife, sometime with my brother. We're six brothers, so, you 8 know. 9 Q Does Nino think that you are a bully? 10 Α He can think whatever he wants. 11 Q Has he ever told you that, that he thinks you are a 12 bully? 13 MS. REHNQUIST: Objection. 14 THE COURT: Sustained. Q Now, after the incident when Mr. Romanello punched you at 15 16 the -- was that Lincoln Square? 17 Α Yes. 18 Q Did you call Bexheti and curse him out? 19 I called. Α 20 Q Did you curse him out? 21 Α Probably I did. 22 Q Okay. 23 When you went to the -- after you were punched -- by 24 the way, the punch by Mr. Romanello, it didn't knock you down? 25 Α No, he didn't.

Shuger, Selima, - Cross - McMahon 166 Q It didn't even knock you back, did it? 1 2 Α No. 3 Q And would it be fair to say that Mr. Romanello punches 4 like a girl? 5 It's not matter of punch like girl. Romanello and the 6 other guy, they push me. You saw on the video, they pushed 7 I was lucky, if they came in a private room, four of them, what they would do with me, because if they did in front 8 9 of customer, in front of my worker, if we were in a private 10 room, what Romanello would do with this three other guy with 11 me. Well, you had just been in a private room with Rom --12 Q 13 Α Only with Rom, but --14 Q Let me get my question out. 15 Yeah. Α 16 You had just been in a private room with Rom --Q 17 Α Yes. 18 Q -- for the third time. 19 Α Yeah, but only with Rom. 20 Q And he didn't do anything to you. 21 Α Not in the private room, but with three guys. 22 Q But you knew when you came in and met him that he was 23 with three people. 24 Α Yes.

So you went to a private room with him, nothing

25

Q

Okay.

Shuger, Selima, - Cross - McMahon 167 happens, he's not happy that your you're only paying the six, 1 2 you go back to the bar, and then you try to embarrass him --3 MS. REHNQUIST: Objection. 4 THE COURT: This is a compound question. take it piece by piece? 5 6 MR. MCMAHON: Okay. 7 You go back to the bar after the private room. Q Yes? 8 Yes. Α 9 Q With Rom. Yes? 10 Α Yes. 11 Q And you have more discussion about the debt. 12 Α Yes. 13 Q And that's when you're flailing your arms around; is that 14 right? 15 As I said before --Α 16 Q Yes or no. 17 Α As I said before, I say --18 Q Yes or no. 19 Repeat the question again. 20 Q In the video that you just saw when you went back to the 21 bar and you're having a conversation with Rom about the debt, 22 are you flailing your arms about it? 23 Α Yes, sir. 24 Were you trying to embarrass Rom by telling him in front 25 of his friends that you weren't going to pay anything other

Shuger, Selima, - Cross - McMahon 168 than 6,000? 1 2 He embarrass me in front of my workers. 3 Q So you were going to embarrass him back. 4 Α No, I was not embarrassing. I say, I not going to pay 5 \$80,000 for a kid I don't know. I will pay 6,000 for my nephew. 6 7 And so really the reason why the payment was -- it was just too much money. If it was a lesser amount you would have 8 9 paid it? 10 Not -- I wouldn't pay it because he's not related to me. Α 11 Q Did you know that Toni guaranteed the gambler, Regan --12 when Eddie, his brother-in-law, opened up the account, Toni, 13 your nephew, had to guarantee Eddie. 14 Α I don't know, sir. Q You didn't know that? 15 16 Α No. 17 Q Now, why are you paying Toni's bill? 18 Α I didn't want the Mafia guy to break Toni's legs. 19 Q You didn't care if he broke Eddie's legs? 20 Α Whose? 21 Q Eddie's? 22 Α Who is Eddie? 23 Q Toni's brother-in-law. 24 I care for him, but I'm not responsible for him. Α I'm

25

responsible for my own blood.

Shuger, Selima, - Cross - McMahon 169 All right. 1 Q 2 Now, how long was Toni betting with Bexheti and 3 Regan? 4 Α That's the first time I heard in beginning of March. 5 Q And this incident happened on May 11th; is that right? 6 Α Yes. 7 Now, Nino -- and you had a conversation about paying off 8 this gambling debt; is that right? You had several 9 conversations, did you not? 10 I -- we had the conversation to pay Toni's -- I paid \$6,000 for Toni. 11 12 Did Nino tell you to just go ahead and pay the whole 13 debt? 14 Α No. 15 Never told you that? Q 16 Α No. 17 Q And so you agreed with Nino back in March that you would 18 pay Toni's debt? 19 Yes. Α 20 Q But you didn't pay it? 21 Α I paid Toni's debt 6,000. 22 Q You paid that, what, in May? 23 Α What? 24 Q May? 25 Yes. Α

	Shuqer, Selima, - Cross - McMahon 170
1	Q All right. After the punch.
2	A Yes.
3	Q But if you agreed with Nino in March that you were going
4	to pay the gambling debt
5	A Nino was not involved in March. Nino was involved only
6	after Rom punched me. Nino was never involved before Rom
7	punched me.
8	Q By the way, when you were punched and you went to the
9	police station, did they take a picture of your face?
10	A I don't remember.
11	MR. MCMAHON: May I approach the witness, your
12	Honor? This is 3500 SBS6 page 3.
13	MS. REHNQUIST: Objection.
14	THE COURT: Hold on one second.
15	You could approach.
16	Q Look at this portion right here. This is the first
17	paragraph. Read it to yourself.
18	THE COURT: Read it to yourself and wait for a
19	question, please.
20	Q Does that refresh your recollection about the police
21	taking a picture of you when you went to file a complaint?
22	A I don't remember.
23	Q You don't remember if they took a picture?
24	A I don't remember if they take a picture.
25	Q And this doesn't refresh your recollection?

```
Shuger, Selima, - Cross - McMahon
                                                                    171
          No.
 1
    Α
 2
          Now, you would agree, sir, that when you went to the
 3
    police they told you that there was absolutely no mark on your
    face, no bruise, no redness, no nothing?
 4
    Α
          Yes.
 5
          Now, you had a conversation with Nino in which you told
 6
    Q
 7
    him about what you called a slap.
 8
               Do you remember that conversation?
 9
          Yes.
    Α
               (Continued on the following page.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Shugeri Selimaj - cross - McMahon 172 CROSS-EXAMINATION 1 2 BY MR. MCMAHON: (Continuing) 3 And Nino told you that would not be good for business? 4 THE COURT: Hold on. There is an objection. What is the nature of the objection? 5 MS. REHNQUIST: 6 Hearsay. 7 MR. MCMAHON: Not offered for its truth, your Honor, 8 just that it was said. 9 THE COURT: So the question is did Nino tell you 10 that speaking to the police would not be good for business? 11 You can answer that question. 12 Α What's the question? 13 Q After you talked to Nino about the slap, did Nino tell you that that would not be good for business? 14 15 Α No, he didn't. 16 And you didn't tell that to the prison August 19, 2019? Q 17 Α What's August 19th? 18 Q When you were interviewed. I mean, you were first interviewed by the police, you went there on May 11th, 2017. 19 20 And then I believe you looked at a video in Queens D.A.'s 21 office; right? 22 Α Right. 23 Q And then the feds reached out to you what, 2019? 24 Α I don't remember when exactly. 25 And you testified in a federal Grand Jury, 2019? Yes? Q

Shugeri Selimaj - cross - McMahon 173 Yes. 1 Α 2 You were interviewed by a number of agents of the FBI and 3 police officers in 2019, 2020? 4 Α Yes. Okay. Now, before your testimony here today, have you 5 met with the prosecutors in this case? 6 7 Yes, I did. Α 8 How many times did you meet with them? Q Four or five time. 9 Α Four or five? 10 Q 11 Α Yeah. 12 And where? In their office? Q 13 Α Yes. 14 A couple of hours each visit? Q 15 I don't remember how long we stay. Α 16 And did they go over all of the questions in their office that you were asked on direct examination? So it was the same 17 18 questions that you were asked today, they went over those 19 questions in their office; is that right? 20 Α Yes. Yes. 21 Now, I think you talked about three visits by Mr. 22 Romanello about the debt. The third one was May 11th, 2017, 23 and there were two earlier visits; is that right? 24 Α Yes. 25 And on the two earlier visits, Mr. Romanello and his Q

```
Shugeri Selimaj - cross - McMahon
                                                                  174
    friends stayed for dinner; is that correct?
1
 2
    Α
         Yes.
 3
         So they had conversation with you, he did, Rom, and then
 4
    he and his friends stayed for dinner; is that right?
 5
    Α
         Yes.
         And they paid the check, I assume?
 6
    Q
7
    Α
         Yes.
8
                The first time that Mr. Romanello at the first
         Okay.
9
    visit and Mr. Romanello said that the nephews, nephew owed
10
    $86,000, did you check that with Mr. Bexheti?
11
         No. I didn't.
12
              MR. MCMAHON: May I approach, your Honor,
13
    3500-SBS-6, page 2.
14
               MS. REHNQUIST:
                               Objection.
15
               THE COURT: Sustained.
16
    BY MR. MARRONE:
17
         So it's your testimony today that you did not call Mr.
18
    Bexheti when Mr. Romanello first told you about the gambling
    debt?
19
         I don't remember that call.
20
21
               MR. MCMAHON: Now, I would ask to approach and
22
    refresh his recollection with the document.
23
               THE COURT: You can approach now.
24
         Four years ago.
    Α
25
    Q
         Huh?
```

	Shuqeri Selimaj - cross - McMahon 175
1	A Four years ago.
2	Q You don't remember?
3	A Yeah.
4	Q But you could remember some of the things that Rom told
5	you in the private room, but you can't remember what you told
6	the police?
7	A Yes, I remember what Rom told me in the private room.
8	Q This is your friend of 30 years?
9	A It was a customer. He was never friend.
10	Q How many other customers have you had for 30 years?
11	A For 45 years I have 1 thousand people a week, 50,000
12	customer a year.
13	Q How many come back once a week?
14	A I didn't say come over to once a week, once a month,
15	every two months.
16	Q Now, the second time that Rom came back and was asking
17	about the money, Luan was with him; is that correct?
18	A The second time, yes, sir.
19	Q Yeah. And you said that you had spoken to your nephew
20	and he assured you that the gambling debt was going to be
21	paid; is that right?
22	A He told me he is going to speak with his brother-in-law,
23	that they going to work.
24	Q So that's when you told him that, that was both parts of
25	it, the 6,000 from Toni and the brother-in-law's 80,000,

Shugeri Selimaj - cross - McMahon 176 that's what you just said? 1 2 But that was not my responsibility for Toni's 3 brother-in-law. 4 Q Okay. But at this second visit, you told Rom that you were told by Toni? 5 Α I told --6 7 Let me get my question out. Let me get my question out. Q 8 You told Rom at the second visit that you had spoken 9 to your nephew Toni and he had told you that the money would 10 be paid all 86,000? 11 I don't remember that. And after that second visit, Rom and Luan Bexheti and the 12 13 others, they had dinner again? 14 Α Yes. Now -- and, so, I think you had said that he was 15 16 screaming and yelling at you in the back room and then they 17 went out and sat down in the dining room and had dinner? 18 Α When I told him that I'm willing to pay for Toni \$6,000, 19 not his brother-in-law \$80,000, that's when he was screaming 20 and velling. 21 After the screaming and yelling in the private room, they 22 go back to the dining room --23 Α Yeah. 24 Q -- and sit down --25 Because I told him, I speak one more time with my nephew Α

Shuqeri Selimaj - cross - McMahon 177

- 1 and see what they can come up with.
- 2 Q Okay. Now, on the third visit, did you tell Mr.
- 3 Romanello that he didn't have the balls to punch you.
- 4 A After so many time he say I would like to punch you, I
- 5 | would like to punch you. I told him you have no guts to punch
- 6 me.
- 7 Q You actually said you have no balls?
- 8 A No, I said no guts.
- 9 Q You didn't say balls?
- 10 A Guts.
- 11 | Q How about on those phone calls where --
- 12 A I don't remember.
- 13 | Q Where you accused him of having no balls?
- 14 A There was no phone over there. It was in front of the
- 15 bar. I told him you have no guts to punch me.
- 16 Q Were you pointing your finger? Was this at the same time
- 17 | you were flailing your arms?
- 18 A I was pointing my finger when I told both of them,
- 19 gentleman number five and Rom, I'm not going to pay 86,000, I
- 20 pay only 6,000; 80,000, they cannot collect from me.
- 21 Q And did you tell Mr. Romanello that he was a washed up
- 22 old Italian?
- 23 A A what.
- 24 Q A washed up old Italian?
- 25 A I never -- I don't remember that saying.

```
Shugeri Selimaj - cross - McMahon
                                                                 178
         Did you remember seeing on the videotape where, right
1
    Q
 2
    after you were waving your arms around, he flinched back on
 3
    the video? Did you see that on the videotape?
 4
    Α
         Who flinched?
    Q
         Rom.
 5
    Α
         I didn't recall.
 6
 7
    Q
         And did --
8
              MR. MCMAHON: Would it be possible to pull that up?
9
               MS. REHNQUIST: Government Exhibit 313?
10
              MR. MCMAHON: Yes.
              Your Honor, with the able assistance of the
11
12
    United States Government.
13
               THE COURT: We are going to play the video.
14
                               Government Exhibit 313-B?
              MS. REHNQUIST:
15
              MR. MCMAHON: Yes.
                                   Can you advance to just before
16
    the punch.
17
               MS. REHNQUIST: 48 seconds.
18
               (Video playing.)
19
    Q
         And this is you back in the bar with Mr. Romanello; is
    that correct?
20
21
    Α
         Yes.
22
         And the gentleman facing you with his back to the camera
23
    is number five; is that right?
24
    Α
         That's correct.
25
         Mr. Romanello's son is at the bar sipping on a drink; is
    Q
```

```
Shugeri Selimaj - cross - McMahon
                                                                  179
    that right?
1
 2
         Yes.
 3
    Q
         And the other fellow, the fourth person is off to the
    left?
 4
 5
    Α
         Yes.
6
    Q
         Okay.
7
              MR. MCMAHON: You can play now.
8
               (Video playing.)
9
               MR. MCMAHON: If you can go back a little bit. I
    missed it. One more time. Can you slow down the speed a
10
11
                 Did you speed it up on me?
    little bit.
12
               That's fine, Judge. I have a different version of
13
    the camera angle and I will do it on my case, but I thank the
14
    Government.
15
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
16
               (Video stopped.)
17
         After this incident with Mr. Romanello, was there a
    Q
18
    meeting of the Selimaj family the next day?
19
    Α
         I don't remember, sir.
20
               I remember meeting with Nino.
21
    Q
         Nino, Bruno, Eddie, Toni, several of your sons, you don't
22
    remember this meeting?
         I don't remember.
23
    Α
24
    Q
         This is the very next day.
25
    Α
         It's seven years ago.
```

180 Shugeri Selimaj - cross - McMahon And at this meeting at which you attended but don't 1 Q 2 remember, did the family, the Selimaj family come to a 3 consensus agreement that this money has to be paid back and 4 this whole thing gotten rid of? 5 It was only me and Nino involved with this, not anybody else. 6 7 Did you ever meet with Eddie, Toni, and some of your Q 8 sons? 9 I don't remember, sir. 10 Q Now, by the way, on the evening of the incident, how many 11 employees did you have boring at Lincoln Square? 12 I don't remember. 30. Around 30. Α 13 Q 30, at least 20, 25 of them are men? 14 Α Yeah. 15 So they are all mingling around at the same time 16 that Mr. Romanello is there; is that correct? These 17 employees? 18 Α They were not there. They were in the dining room. Romanello's at the bar. 19 20 Q That's not that far away? It's a completely different dining room. 21 The bar is 22 separate completely. 23 Q But you have approximately 25 male employees? 24 Α No, not 25.

I say the kitchen, the dishwasher. It's 10,000

25

```
Shugeri Selimaj - cross - McMahon
                                                                 181
    square feet of restaurant. It was 10,000 square feet.
1
 2
         On the video, didn't you see a number of employees come
 3
    and intervene --
 4
    Α
         Yes.
         -- as the altercation was taking place?
 5
    Α
         Yes.
 6
 7
         So you had friends there?
    Q
8
         There were my own workers.
    Α
9
    Q
         Yes. I know you don't consider them friends but --
10
    Α
         Workers. Workers.
         -- they were with you; is that right?
11
    Q
12
    Α
         They were workers, sir. I pay them.
13
    Q
         Okay. Now, you -- did you discuss this incident with
14
    your sons?
15
    Α
         Yes.
16
         And did they tell you that you should pay?
17
               MS. REHNQUIST:
                               Objection.
18
               THE COURT: Sustained.
19
    Q
         Does that refresh your recollection about having a
20
    Selimaj family meeting with your sons, your brother?
21
         I don't remember having a meeting. But I told my son, my
22
    wife, my brothers what happened.
23
    Q
         And then told you to pay the debt --
24
              MS. REHNQUIST:
                               Objection.
25
    Q
          -- get rid of it?
```

Shugeri Selimaj - cross - McMahon 182 Nobody told me. 1 Α 2 THE COURT: Sustained. Sorry, the objection is 3 sustained. 4 Q So when you went to the police the next day -- the New York City Police Department; is that right? 5 6 Α Yes. 7 Q With Nino? 8 Yes. Α 9 Q Nobody put a gun to your head? 10 Well, they put -- Nino told me that he was yelling, not 11 yelling, telling me Bruno, it's going to be ugly, it's going 12 to be nasty, it's going to be bad, it's going to be ugly, it's 13 going to be very bad. He said you should report. 14 Well, you went to the police precinct the very next day. When did you have time to discuss this with Nino? 15 16 The same night, on May 11th. But you did have the meeting with your family and 17 18 Nino before you went to the police precinct; is that right? 19 I don't remember. I remember doing with Nino everything, 20 Nino instructions. 21 Q Did anybody force you to go to the precinct? 22 Just Nino telling me it's going to be ugly, it's going to 23 be nasty and, I didn't want my family to get hurt by this 24 mafia guys. 25 So that's why you withdrew the complaint?

Shugeri Selimaj - cross - McMahon 183 Yes, sir. 1 Α 2 So everything that you told the police was false? Q 3 Α At that time, yes. 4 Q Well, it's false today? 5 Α Today is 110 percent true. When you said then? 6 Q 7 The what? Α 8 I did -- I lie just to save my family for this mafia 9 people not to hurt my family. I decide with Nino that I gonna 10 make a lie just to save my family, to not get hurt from this 11 guys. 12 Did Nino tell you to lie? 13 Α Nino didn't tell me, but he say you should withdraw your 14 complaint. 15 Q But he didn't tell you to lie? 16 Α No. 17 Other than the fourth -- the three times that Rom was at 18 the steakhouse visiting you, around this timeframe, did you 19 ever speak to Rom? 20 Α No. 21 Q And you haven't spoken to him since? 22 Α No. 23 Q Now, in October 2019, you went into a federal Grand Jury; is that right? 24 25 Α Yes.

Shugeri Selimaj - cross - McMahon 184 1 Q And you were so happy to go that you didn't even get a 2 subpoena; is that right? 3 No, I did get subpoena. 4 Q Did you? 5 Α Yes, sir. You're sure? 6 Q 7 Α 100 percent. 8 Okay. Now, you have a particular affinity, likeness for Q 9 wise guys don't know you? 10 Α What's the question? 11 Q Do you have a particular likeness -- you like wise guys? 12 Α No, I don't. 13 Q Well, you had -- you seem to specialize in having wise 14 guys come to your restaurants? 15 Α As I told you, my restaurant is -- when I started 16 restaurant, it was 17 tables; now there are 55 tables. 17 Q What does that got to do with my question? 18 With your question is when I do the piano over there, I 19 believe it was late '80s, this particular guy, Jewish guy 20 playing, then he want to be Frank Sinatra. He was the best 21 Singer in New York City. He attract all these wise guy who 22 came over here. It was nine tables, on the second floor. 23 They were staying to two o'clock in the morning, three o'clock 24 in the morning, drinking wine, drinking cognac, smoking cigar. 25 Q Guys like John Gotti?

ı		
		Shuqeri Selimaj - cross - McMahon 185
1	Α	John Gotti came two times.
2	Q	And Danny Marino?
3	Α	Danny Marino came.
4	Q	Joe Watts?
5	Α	Yes, sir.
6	Q	Those are all Gambinos?
7	Α	I don't know who they are.
8	Q	Okay. And none of the wise guys that came to your
9	rest	aurant ever tried to shake you down; is that right?
10	Α	No.
11	Q	No, no one ever tried to shake you down?
12	Α	No one did, yes.
13	Q	Is that because you're an Albanian tough guy?
14	Α	I don't know why. Nobody shake me down.
15	Q	Were they afraid of you?
16	Α	They to be afraid from one guy? They're afraid mafia
17	from	mafia. They kill each other. They would be afraid for
18	me?	For what?
19		I came here without house. I built a home in
20	Alba	nia. I take care of my family, and I take my kids to
21	schoo	o1.
22	Q	Where are you living in the city?
23	Α	I live above the restaurant.
24	Q	You used to live in Chappaqua, didn't you?
25	Α	I still have a house in Chappaqua.
	1	

```
Shugeri Selimaj - cross - Marrone
                                                                  186
         But you don't live there, do you?
1
    Q
 2
    Α
         Weekend I go
                         there?
 3
    Q
         Do you take care of your family?
 4
    Α
         Yes, sir.
         Do you have a reputation as being a tough guy?
 5
    Q
         No, I don't, sir.
 6
    Α
         You don't?
 7
    Q
8
         No, I don't.
    Α
9
    Q
         Can you explain why none of the wise guys that ever came
10
    to your restaurant never tried to shake you down?
11
              MS. REHNQUIST: Objection.
12
         I don't know --
    Α
13
               THE COURT: Sustained. Yeah, the objection is
14
    sustained.
15
                             Nothing further, Judge.
              MR. MCMAHON:
16
               THE COURT:
                           Redirect?
17
                               No redirect, your Honor.
              MS. REHNQUIST:
18
              THE COURT: All right.
               I'm sorry, cross by Mr. Marrone.
19
20
              MR. MARRONE: Yes.
              MS. REHNQUIST: I withdraw the no redirect statement
21
22
    then.
23
               THE COURT: It is withdrawn.
24
    CROSS-EXAMINATION
    BY MR. MARRONE:
25
```

Shugeri Selimaj - cross - Marrone 187 Mr. Celso, good afternoon. 1 Q 2 Good afternoon. Α 3 Q My name is Gerard Marrone. It's nice to meet you? 4 Α Pleasure. I'm a lawyer and I represent the co-defendant sitting at 5 6 the table. 7 It's true, sir, you don't know him? The first time I saw him was at the Lincoln Square. 8 Α 9 Q That was the only time you ever saw him? 10 Α Yes, sir. 11 Q Do you know his name? 12 I didn't know at that time. I know now. 13 Q Because you had the case and you had the benefit of 14 speaking with the Government; correct? No, sir. I Goggle my restaurant and my name on Goggle 15 Α came the case of the.... 16 17 So there came a time that you got to know the name of Mr. 18 Celso, my client; correct? 19 Α Yeah. 20 And my client's face is not up there; correct? Q 21 Α No. He is not number five; correct? 22 Q 23 Α No. 24 So your testimony is that the very first time you met my 25 client was the May 11th, 2017 incident between you and Mr.

Shugeri Selimaj - cross - Marrone 188 Romanello; correct? 1 2 Yes. That I remember, yes. And the night of that incident, Mr. Celso never spoke to 3 4 you; correct? 5 Α No. You never spoke to him; correct? 6 Q 7 Just to say hello. Probably Rom introduce me. I don't Α 8 remember. Probably introduce me, but I don't remember. 9 Q If that? 10 If that, yes. Α If that. He certainly never hurt you; correct? 11 Q 12 Α No. 13 Q He never touched you; right? 14 No, sir. Α Now, you testified on direct that there was a number of 15 16 visits to your restaurant in Lincoln Square at the time; 17 correct? 18 Α Yes, sir. 19 And you testified that there was the first visit maybe around March of 2017; correct? 20 21 Α Yes, sir. 22 And that was Michael Regan visit? Q Yes, sir. 23 Α 24 Q That's the number five gentleman; right? 25 Yes. Α

Shugeri Selimaj - cross - Marrone 189 My client was not there; correct? 1 Q 2 No, he was not. Α 3 Then there came another visit, the Mr. Romanello visit; 4 correct? 5 Α Yes. And my client was not at that visit; correct? 6 Q 7 Α He was not there. 8 There came a second visit with Mr. Romanello and a couple 9 another gentlemen; correct? A second visit? 10 Α Romanello's third visit. 11 Q But before the third visit was a second visit; 12 correct? 13 Α Yes, sir. And my client wasn't there? 14 15 Α Yes. 16 And then my client came to the third visit? Q 17 Α Correct. 18 Q It was the first time you ever saw him in your life? 19 Yeah. Α 20 Now, you testified under oath and direct that you were Q 21 very afraid of the mafia people; correct? I was not afraid until I was punched. 22 23 Q Okay. But you know of the mafia people; correct? 24 fact, your restaurant is full of mafia people; correct? 25 Sir, as I told you, maybe it was three percent of mafia

```
Shugeri Selimaj - cross - Marrone
                                                                 190
    people. We do thousand people a week. We don't do thousand
1
 2
                 If it's three percent, two percent.
    mafia guys.
 3
         Mafia people are good for business, no?
 4
    Α
         People like to see them, but they like to see actors,
    they like to see actors, yeah.
 5
         It's like an attraction; correct?
 6
    Q
 7
    Α
         It's an attraction.
8
         In fact, in the 1980s John Gotti was an attraction;
9
    correct?
10
              MS. REHNQUIST: Objection.
11
    Α
         Not to me.
12
               THE COURT: Sustained.
13
    Q
         So there was, let's say, four visits; correct?
14
         Yes.
    Α
         By mafia people?
15
    Q
16
         Yes. Well, first of all, I know the guy. First, but
    with mafia people, three visit.
17
18
    Q
         He's mafia people too?
19
         I don't know.
    Α
20
    Q
         But he is the bookie?
21
    Α
         I don't know. I don't know.
         Why is he not mafia people? Because he's Irish?
22
    Q
         I don't know.
23
    Α
24
               MS. REHNQUIST:
                               Objection.
25
               THE COURT: Sustained. Sustained.
```

Shugeri Selimaj - cross - Marrone 191 One person has to talk at a time. 1 2 And to the extent there are objections. You have to 3 wait for me to rule on them one way or another. But that 4 objection is sustained. BY MR. MARRONE: 5 So there's four visits; correct? 6 Q Yes, sir. 7 Α 8 At no time during those visits did you call the police; 9 correct? 10 No. I didn't. Α You only called the police on the third visit; correct? 11 Q 12 The fourth visit. Α 13 Q Right. The fourth. 14 So all that time goes on, a few months, no police; correct? 15 16 I didn't have a reason to call police. Mafia people come to see you and you don't call the 17 18 police? 19 They didn't threaten me. They didn't do anything to threaten me those visits. 20 Wait a minute. Wait a minute. You testified that Mr. 21 22 Romanello was screaming at you in the private room? 23 Α But he didn't say I'm going to break your leg, I'm going 24 to kill you, I'm going to shoot you. He scream. If I can 25 say, I want my fucking money, I want \$86,000. But he didn't

Shugeri Selimaj - cross - Marrone 192 1 say I'm going to break your legs, I'm going to send somebody 2 over and destroy your family. 3 That's threat to me. 4 Q Okay. So he didn't say no threat like that? 5 Α No. 6 Q Only yell and scream and curse at you? 7 He wanted my money, yes. Α 8 Q You were okay with that? 9 Α I was okay what I have to do. I was by myself. There were four of them. 10 But you no call the cops? 11 Q 12 I didn't call the cops before he hit me. After he hit 13 me, I call the cops. 14 Q And that's got nothing to do with Mr. Celso sitting there; correct? 15 16 They were group. They came together. Α Wait a minute. 17 Q 18 Α They came together. I don't know what he came with 19 bodyguard or his soldier. I don't know. 20 MR. MARRONE: Objection. Nonresponsive. 21 Q Now, let me ask you --22 THE COURT: The objection is overruled. 23 Q Now, Mr. Celso only came the fourth time; correct? 24 Α Yes, sir. 25 Q Okay.

```
Shuqeri Selimaj - cross - Marrone
                                                                  193
               MR. MARRONE: May I kindly ask the Government to put
1
2
    up that video as well?
               MS. REHNQUIST: For the record it is
 3
    Government Exhibit 313-B.
4
               (Continued on next page.)
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
S. Selimaj - Cross/Mr. Marrone
                                                                 194
              MS. RHENQUIST: For the record, it's
1
 2
    Government Exhibit 313-B.
 3
         So the Government is going to put up the video that you
 4
    saw on direct. Also, you saw on cross-examination; is that
    okay?
 5
         Yes.
 6
    Α
7
         On direct, if we could just move if --
8
              MR. MARRONE:
                             I'm sorry withdrawn if we could move
9
    it up a bit. Fast forward it a bit.
10
              And if we could play that, please, at a little
11
    slower speed.
12
               (Video file played in open court.)
13
               (Video file concludes.)
14
    Q
         And if we could stop right there, Mr. Selimaj, do you
    see my client in that still screen?
15
         Yes, I do.
16
    Α
         And could you identify who he is?
17
    Q
18
    Α
         He's Joseph.
19
         Right. You are indicating the blue circle around
    Mr. Celso?
20
21
    Α
         Yes.
         And he has to be what, 15 feet away from you?
22
    Q
23
    Α
         I don't know exactly.
24
    Q
         Pretty far, right?
25
    Α
         Not too far but it's only it's three -- one, two, three
```

```
S. Selimaj - Cross/Mr. Marrone
                                                                  195
    stools.
1
 2
         Okay. And then you also -- let me ask you this.
 3
         You also testified on direct that you had a private
 4
    conversation with Mr. Romanello, correct?
    Α
         Yes, sir.
 5
         Mr. Celso, Mr. Joe, was not part of that conversation,
 6
    Q
 7
    correct?
         No, it was not.
8
9
         So he doesn't even know what was said in that
10
    conversation, correct?
         I don't know about Rom.
11
         He wasn't there?
12
    Q
13
    Α
         He was not there.
14
    Q
         Correct. Okay.
               MR. MARRONE: If we can kindly move the tape a
15
16
    little further.
17
               (Video file played in open court.)
18
               (Video file concludes.)
19
         And you still see Mr. Celso now. He's sitting down,
    correct? Correct?
20
21
         Yes.
    Α
         He's now really far from you, correct?
22
    Q
23
    Α
         Yes, sir.
24
         If we could stop for a second. What was Mr. Celso doing
25
    right now in this still?
```

```
196
                     S. Selimaj - Cross/Mr. Marrone
         He's, I think, he's leaning against the call.
1
    Α
 2
         He's sitting down, correct?
 3
         I don't know if he's sitting or leaning against the
 4
    wall. I cannot figure out from here.
    Q
         In fact, sir, he's even further away from you than he
 5
    was a second before, correct?
 6
 7
         Yes.
    Α
         How far would you estimate he is from you? How many
8
    feet?
9
10
    Α
         Maybe the table is about 12 feet, 13 feet. 13 feet.
11
              MR. MARRONE:
                             If we can continue, please.
12
               (Video file played in open court.)
13
               (Video file concludes.)
14
    Q
         If we could stop right.
         At this point, Mr. Selimaj, right there, with your hands
15
    up, both of your hands up; correct?
16
17
    Α
         Yes.
18
         Are you speaking loudly at that point, if you recall?
19
         I don't know how loud I was speaking but I was telling
20
    both of them, Rom and Exhibit 5, that I'm willing to pay
21
    $6,000, I'm not paying $86,000 right there.
22
         And it's safe to say you were angry at that moment,
23
    correct?
24
         It was not angry but I didn't have 86,000 in my pocket
25
    to give it to them. First of all, I only want to pay for my
```

S. Selimaj - Cross/Mr. Marrone

- 1 nephew.
- 2 Q Got that. Mr. Celso is even further away at that point,
- 3 | correct?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Maybe he's 14 feet away?
- 6 A I don't know. But it was the time that he came very
- 7 close to me is the time.
- 8 Q With his hands in his pocket.
- 9 A I don't know. But each time I saw them, like, I was
- 10 | just surrounded. One guy here, one guy here, one guy here, I
- 11 was in the middle. Four of them.
- 12 | Q But right now you're arguing, correct?
- 13 A I'm arguing with -- I don't know arguing, I'm
- 14 explaining. I said I only pay you \$6,000 for my nephew, I'm
- 15 | not going to pay \$80,000 for his brother-in-law.
- 16 Q Mr. Selimaj?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 | Q You're flailing your arms like this?
- 19 A Like this. I say, I'll pay you \$6,000 for my nephew.
- 20 I'm not paying \$80,000 for his brother-in-law.
- 21 Q Got it.
- 22 A Okay.
- 23 Q And that gave no reaction to my client. He didn't move,
- 24 | correct?
- 25 A No.

```
S. Selimaj - Cross/Mr. Marrone
                                                                 198
         You're flailing your arms, my client is sitting 15 feet
1
    Q
 2
    away from you. A second prior, he was sitting 13 feet away.
 3
    As you're getting angrier, sir, my client is not even near
 4
    you, correct?
    Α
         Yes.
 5
                               Objection.
6
              MS. RHENQUIST:
7
                             May I proceed Judge?
              MR. MARRONE:
8
              THE COURT: I'm sorry. Hold on one second.
9
               (A brief pause in the proceedings was held.)
10
              THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
                                                         Rephrase
11
    question the, please.
12
                             That's okay, Judge, withdrawn.
              MR. MARRONE:
13
              If we could move forward on the surveillance video,
14
    please?
    ()
         Now, stop for a second.
15
16
         And, again, Mr. Selimaj, you're speaking, animated,
17
    let's say. You understand the word animated?
18
    Α
         No.
         You're speaking very boisterous with your arms?
19
20
         Well, Rom was yelling. And then if Rom yell, probably I
    Α
    yell, too.
21
22
    Q
         So you're yelling, too, at that moment?
23
    Α
         Probably. Probably.
24
    Q
         It's okay. Tell the truth.
25
         I don't remember, sir.
    Α
```

```
S. Selimaj - Cross/Mr. Marrone
                                                                 199
    Q
         And my client is where?
1
 2
         Still in the back.
         So I would say, would you agree with me, that a good
 3
 4
    four seconds pass by. One, two, three, four, maybe five and
    there's a commotion, correct?
 5
         I don't know what commotion start.
 6
    Α
 7
         You're in the commotion, correct?
         Was Rom -- he kept saying that he like to punch me.
8
9
    here, he's the commotion. He kept saying I like to punch
    you, I like to punch you.
10
                               It's Rom.
11
         We got that. But all of that going on, my client is
12
    14 feet away from you; correct?
13
    Α
         Not all the time.
14
         Okay. But right now he is in that moment of in time.
         Even -- this is not big commotion. The bigger commotion
15
    is when he punch me, Rom. This is not the commotion.
16
17
    Q
         Okay. Can we move forward.
18
               (Video file played in open court.)
19
               (Video file concludes.)
20
    Q
         And you're talking, correct?
21
         Yeah. And I'm saying I'm willing to pay $6,000 for my
22
    nephew but I'm not going to pay 80,000 for the other guy.
23
    Q
         And time is passing and Mr. Celso is still sitting
24
    15 feet away from you, correct?
25
         Yes, sir.
    Α
```

S. Selimaj - Cross/Mr. Marrone 200

- 1 Q Now, he gets up.
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And where is Mr. Celso's hands? You see his hands in
- 4 his pocket, correct?
- 5 A Can I not.
- 6 Q Stop right there?
- 7 A I cannot see if he has his hands in his pocket or not.
- 8 Q And he's still -- that's the moment of the punch,
- 9 | correct?
- 10 A I don't know what is the moment of the punch.
- 11 Q Look at the video?
- 12 A Well, let's play it.
- 13 | Q Well, this is the moment of the punch, no?
- 14 A Doesn't show me here.
- 15 Q Okay. Go back a second and start again. Stop right
- 16 there.
- 17 You were just punched, correct?
- 18 A Yes, sir.
- 19 | Q And my client is still not near you, correct?
- 20 A Well, it's not too far but it's not near.
- 21 Q He's not behind you, correct?
- 22 A No, he surround me on that side.
- 23 Q Wait. Wait?
- 24 A I'm on the one side here, Rom is over there. The Number
- 25 | Five is next to Rom and Rom's son is over here.

S. Selimaj - Cross/Mr. Marrone 201 Number Five pushed you, correct? 1 Q 2 Α Yes, sir. 3 Q And Mr. Celso is behind Number Five, let's say 4 Mr. Regan, correct? Α Yes. 5 Maybe, approximately, five feet behind Mr. Regan? 6 Q Probably. 7 Α So he's not threatening you, he doesn't touch you; 8 9 correct? 10 No, he didn't. Α If we can move forward, please. 11 Q 12 Now, you're stepping or backing up, correct? 13 Α Yes. 14 Q And everyone is going to walk out, correct? 15 Α Yes. 16 If we can move forward. And now, if we could stop. There's a waiter, I would 17 18 imagine, that came to your aid and is standing next to you; correct, one of your workers? 19 20 Α I cannot see it, sir. 21 Well, somebody came out, right, is standing next to you? I still cannot see myself there. 22 23 Q All right. Maybe we can go back a second and play it. 24 Okay, keep going. 25 And now everyone's walking out, correct?

S. Selimaj - Cross/Mr. Marrone 202

- 1 A Yes, sir.
- 2 Q No other trouble after that, correct?
- 3 A Yes, sir.
- 4 Q Okay. Okay. Thank you.
- Now, there came a time that evening that you called the
- 6 police, correct?
- 7 A Yes, sir.
- 8 Q And then you filed a complaint with the police
- 9 department, correct?
- 10 A Yes, sir.
- 11 | Q Okay. And you said you lied to the police?
- 12 A When I first complain, I didn't lie.
- 13 Q Okay. The next day you lied?
- 14 A Next day, after I spoke with my brother, after he kept
- 15 | saying it's going to be bad, it's going to be ugly; it's
- 16 going to be very bad, it's going to be ugly then I decide to
- 17 | withdraw complaint.
- 18 Q Drop the charges, okay.
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q You testified on direct examination, rather, that you
- 21 | called Nino right after the punch; is that correct?
- 22 | A I don't know exactly but I call him after the punch.
- 23 Q So a short time after that?
- 24 A Yes, sir.
- 25 | Q And it was Nino who told you that you should drop the

S. Selimaj - Cross/Mr. Marrone

- 1 charges, correct?
- 2 A I called Nino. I said, Nino, Rom punched me. And he
- 3 | said what? Rom punched me how he do that? He said, call the
- 4 police. I already called the police. Nino told me, call the
- 5 police. I told Nino, I already called the police.
- 6 Q And Nino also said when did there come a time when Nino
- 7 | told you to drop the charges?
- 8 A It was the next day.
- 9 Q What time the next day?
- 10 A I don't know exactly the time.
- 11 Q In the morning, at night?
- 12 A Probably in the morning.
- 13 | Q And when you had a conversation with Nino and he
- 14 | suggested to you to drop the charges, was that face-to-face
- 15 or was that on the phone?
- 16 A I don't remember, sir.
- 17 | Q How do you not remember?
- 18 A I don't remember, it's 2017. He came to the restaurant
- 19 and went over to the police. Me and Nino went together in
- 20 police department to detective and I fill out the withdrawal.
- 21 Nino was next to me.
- 22 | Q Okay. So Nino was with you at the police station to
- 23 | withdraw, correct?
- 24 A Yes, sir.
- 25 | Q And it was Nino who suggested to you to withdraw the

S. Selimaj - Cross/Mr. Marrone 204 1 complaint, yes or no? 2 We both figured out that Nino is saying it's going to be 3 dangerous, it's going to be ugly. It's going to be very bad, 4 it's going to be ugly. So my understanding was this Mafia guy, they're going to hurt me or my family. Me, I don't 5 care. I'm 72 years old, I lived my life. But my family, my 6 7 children, my grandchildren, my nephew, my nieces that's what I was worried about. 8 9 Q Okay. And you dropped the charges, correct? 10 Α Yes. At Nino's suggestion, correct? 11 Q It was both of us, me and Nino. 12 Α 13 Q Okay. Regardless of what was in your mind, Nino 14 suggested --15 MS. RHENQUIST: Objection. 16 Q -- to drop the charges and you agreed? 17 Α No, it was both of us. 18 THE COURT: We're also retreading. 19 Q When was the last time you spoke to Nino, Mr. Selimaj? 20 I spoke with Nino this Saturday. Α 21 Q Okay. You see your brother often? 22 MS. RHENQUIST: Objection. 23 Α I was at a wedding. 24 THE COURT: Sustained. Sorry, sir, when there's an 25 objection --

	Proceedings 205
1	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
2	THE COURT: made, you need to pause and wait for
3	me.
4	The objection is sustained.
5	Q When you saw Nino this last Saturday, did you speak to
6	him about this case?
7	A No, I didn't.
8	Q You don't speak to him about your testimony?
9	A No, I didn't.
10	Q And other than that night, May 11, 2017, you've never
11	seen Mr. Celso after that correct?
12	A No, I didn't.
13	Q Other than this afternoon, correct?
14	A No.
15	MR. MARRONE: No further questions, your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Redirect?
17	MS. RHENQUIST: No redirect. Thank you.
18	THE COURT: The witness may step down. You're
19	excused, sir, thank you.
20	(Witness leaves the witness stand.)
21	THE COURT: Does the Government want to start
22	another witness? We could go for another 20 minutes here.
23	MS. RHENQUIST: I think that would make sense.
24	THE COURT: Call your next witness.
25	MS. CHEN: Your Honor, the Government calls Shemsi

```
206
                      S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Chen
1
    Selimaj.
 2
                           Ladies and gentlemen, feel free to
              THE COURT:
 3
    stand and stretch if you like while we're waiting for the
 4
    witness to take the stand.
5
               (Witness takes the witness stand.)
              COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please raise your right hand.
6
 7
    SHEMSI SELIMAJ, called by the Government, having been first
8
    duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
9
              THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
10
              COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please have a seat. Take your
    time.
11
12
              State your name for the record and spell it.
13
              THE WITNESS:
                             Shemsi Selimaj. S-h-e-m-s-i. Last
14
    name is S-e-1-i-m-a-j. Nickname Nino, known as Nino,
15
    N-i-n-o.
16
              MS. CHEN:
                         Your Honor, may I inquire.
17
              THE COURT:
                           Please.
18
    DIRECT EXAMINATION
    BY MS. CHEN:
19
20
    Q
         Good afternoon, Mr. Selimaj.
21
    Α
         Good afternoon.
         Mr. Selimaj, do you want to be here today?
22
    Q
23
    Α
         Not really.
24
    Q
         Why are you here?
25
         Because I was served subpoena.
    Α
```

S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Chen 207 1 Q And do you speak any languages other than English? 2 Yes, I do. Α 3 Q What languages are those? 4 Α Albanian, Yugoslavian, Italian. 5 Q Is English your native language? Α No. 6 7 But are you comfortable proceeding in English today? Q Yes, I am. 8 Α 9 Q Okay. How old are you, Mr. Selimaj? 10 I just turned 67. Α 11 Q Where do you live? 12 Α I live in New Jersey. 13 Q And where were you born? I was born in ex-Yugoslavia, Montenegro. 14 Α At some point, did you come to live in the 15 Q United States? 16 17 Α Yes. 18 Q And about how old were you when that happened? 19 I was 22. Α 20 Q How far did you go in school? 21 Finished high school, went to college and never Α 22 graduated from college. 23 Q After you left college, did you begin working? 24 Α Yes. 25 Q What did you do for work?

208 S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Chen Before I came to United States, I worked as a tailor. 1 2 worked back home. Tailor, make suits and pants and shirts. 3 Q Understood. Do you currently work? 4 Α Yes, I do. What do you do? 5 Q 6 Α Run a restaurant. 7 What restaurant is that? Q Nino's Restaurant. 8 Α 9 Q Where is Nino's Restaurant? 10 1354 First Avenue between 72nd and 73rd Street, Α Manhattan. 11 How long have you been running Nino's Restaurant? 12 Q 13 Α 33 years now. 14 Q Do you have any siblings, Mr. Selimaj? Yes, I do. 15 Α Do you have any brothers? 16 Q 17 Α Yes, I do. 18 Q And does one of your brothers go by the name Bruno? 19 Yes. 20 MR. MARRONE: If we could, Mr. Rader, just pull up 21 what has been admitted as Government Exhibit 12. 22 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Computer? Thank you, Mr. Jackson. 23 MS. CHEN: Yes. 24 Can you see that on your screen, Mr. Selimaj. Q 25 see a photo there?

```
S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Chen
                                                                   209
          Yes.
1
    Α
 2
          Do you recognize this?
    Q
 3
    Α
          Yes.
 4
    Q
         Who is depicted in this exhibit?
 5
    Α
          Bruno.
          Is that the same as what I'm pointing to?
 6
    Q
 7
         Yes, it is.
    Α
8
          Could we also pull up what is in evidence as
9
    Government Exhibit 13.
10
          Do you recognize this exhibit?
          Yes, I do.
11
    Α
12
          And what is it?
    Q
          It's myself.
13
    Α
14
          Same as this?
    Q
          Same as that.
15
    Α
          Just for the record, I was gesturing to the board that
16
17
    has been published.
18
          Do you also have a brother named Besim?
          Yes, I do.
19
    Α
20
    Q
         What does he do for a living?
21
          He works in a building on the West Side as a porter, I
    Α
22
    guess.
23
    Q
          Does your brother Besim have any children?
24
    Α
         Yes, he does.
25
    Q
          Does he have any sons?
```

S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Chen 210 Yes, he does. 1 Α 2 Is one of his sons named Fiton? Q 3 Yes, it is. 4 Can we pull up what's been admitted as Government Exhibit 11, please. 5 Do you recognize this exhibit? 6 7 Yes, I do. Α And what is this exhibit? 8 Q 9 Α That's Fiton. 10 Q Does Fiton go by any other names? Okav. 11 Α Toni. I want to direct your attention now to May 11, 2017, 12 Q 13 okay? 14 Α Okay. Did you receive a call from anyone that day that stood 15 out to you? 16 17 Α Yes, I did. 18 Q Who did you receive a call from? From Bruno. 19 Α 20 Q From that call, what did you learn? 21 I learned, he called me that Rom and two other guys went there and he got hit. He told me -- they told him, we're 22 23 going to see your brother. 24 Q I want to break down that answer a little bit. You said, "he told you." That was Bruno, right? 25

S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Chen 211

- 1 A Right.
- 2 Q When you say, "they're going to see you." Who do you
- 3 | mean by "they"?
- 4 A Mr. Rom and Joe and another person which I don't know
- 5 | whose the other person.
- 6 Q Okay. I want to talk a bit about Rom. Do you know an
- 7 | individual named Rom?
- 8 A Yes, I do.
- 9 Q When did you first meet Rom?
- 10 A I don't know exactly but it's a long time. I met him
- 11 | when I was working in Bruno's, 37, 38 years ago.
- 12 | Q And just to be clear, did you work at Bruno's Restaurant
- 13 | before you started Nino's?
- 14 A Yes, 14 years there.
- 15 | Q Okay. And may I publish what's in evidence already as
- 16 | Government Exhibit 1.
- 17 Do you see this exhibit, Mr. Selimaj?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Do you recognize this?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q What does this exhibit show?
- 22 A That's Mr. Rom.
- 23 | Q Same as the exhibit on the board I'm pointing to?
- 24 | A Yes.
- 25 Q Okay. In the 30-plus years that you've known Rom, have

S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Chen

- 1 | you dealt with Rom in any capacity other than he was a
- 2 | customer at either Bruno's Restaurant or your restaurant?
- 3 A I do, but I do recall one week I was gambling with
- 4 betting and on sports.
- 5 Q Okay. Let me just take a step back.
- 6 Do you gamble, Mr. Selimaj?
- 7 A Yes, I do.
- 8 Q How long have you gambled for?
- 9 A All my life.
- 10 Q And what type of gambling?
- 11 A Sports.
- 12 Q All right. Does that mean betting on sports games?
- 13 A Correct.
- 14 | Q All right. Did you ever stop gambling at any point?
- 15 A No.
- 16 Q Are you addicted to gambling?
- 17 A I would say so.
- 18 | Q In your experience gambling with Rom, can you explain
- 19 | when, approximately, that was?
- 20 A I don't remember exactly but I would say about 2007 or
- 21 eight, maybe nine. I don't remember exactly the year.
- 22 | Q Okay. How did you first come to gamble with Rom?
- 23 A He was coming to my restaurant often, maybe once a week,
- 24 | maybe once every two weeks, once a month. And he approached
- 25 | me to -- he gave me the number to call in the bets to have to

S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Chen 213 give him business, that's called business. 1 2 Okay. So he approached you to --3 Α Correct. 4 -- to gamble with you. 5 Did you know any individuals who worked with Rom in connection with this gambling that you've been describing? 6 7 At that time, no. Α What about at a later time? 8 Q Okay. 9 Α Later time, yes. 10 Q Who did you understand? 11 Α Joe. May I publish just for the witness and counsel what's 12 13 been premarked for identification as Government Exhibit 2, 14 please. 15 Do you see that on your screen, Mr. Selimaj? Yes, I do. 16 Α Do you recognize this exhibit? 17 Q 18 Α Yes, I do. 19 What is this exhibit? Q 20 Α That's Joe. 21 Q Is this a true and accurate depiction of who you know to 22 be Joe? 23 Α I'm sorry. 24 Is this a true and accurate depiction of who you know to

be Joe?

```
S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Chen
                                                                 214
1
    Α
         Yes, it is.
 2
                        Your Honor, the Government moves into
              MS. CHEN:
 3
    evidence Government Exhibit 2.
 4
              MR. MARRONE:
                             No objection.
              THE COURT: It's admitted and you may publish.
5
                         Thank you, your Honor.
6
              MS. CHEN:
7
               (Government's Exhibit 2 was marked in evidence.)
8
                         Mr. Jackson, if I could switch to the
9
    Elmo, actually.
10
              I'm also showing you what's been premarked for
11
    identification, I'm sorry -- just for the witness, I
    apologize. Premarked for identification as
12
13
    Government Exhibit 2-B.
14
         And this is a placard. Does this say Joe, Mr. Selimaj?
         Yes, it does.
15
    Α
16
                         Your Honor, move to admit
              MS. CHEN:
    Government Exhibit 2-B.
17
18
              THE COURT: It's admitted.
19
              MR. MARRONE:
                             No objection.
20
              MS. CHEN:
                         May I publish?
21
              THE COURT: You may.
22
               (Government's Exhibit 2-B was marked in evidence.)
23
    Q
         Mr. Selimaj, I believe you were just testifying that you
24
    understood Joe to be working with Rom in connection with your
25
    gambling with Rom?
```

S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Chen 215 Correct. 1 Α 2 What did you understand that relationship to be 3 between Joe and Rom? 4 I understand that's their business. Gambling is considered as a business. 5 I guess I'm trying to understand what, if anything, 6 Q 7 understanding you had as to the relationship between Rom and 8 Joe within that business? 9 MR. MARRONE: Objection, asked and answered. 10 THE COURT: Overruled. 11 Q You can go ahead and answer. 12 I understand Rom is his boss. 13 I'm talking about your gambling with Rom. Would you 14 explain how you would place a bet with Rom's gambling organization? 15 Those days I did a phone call. There was no online with 16 And then with Joe, it was everything online. 17 18 Q Okay. When you placed a bet, I think you said you would 19 do it by phone; is that right? 20 Α Online, yes. On the phone, yes. 21 Q When you placed a bet, did you have to give Rom or Joe 22 money? Α No.

23

24 Can you explain how that works? You were allowed to 25 place a bet without putting up money?

S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Chen

- 1 A Usually, they give you a credit line which could be
- 2 | whatever person asks to me. With Joe, I had a hundred
- 3 | thousand line.
- 4 Q When you say "a hundred thousand line," can you explain?
- 5 A 100,000 a week. If I lose, by three days I would have
- 6 to continue to pay before I gamble. That's the line.
- 7 Q Okay. Did you have any limitations as to how much you
- 8 | could bet per game, per sports event?
- 9 A 5,000 per game.
- 10 Q Okay. And was this with Joe?
- 11 | A Yes.
- 12 | Q Did you have different limits with Rom?
- 13 A It was same, 5,000.
- 14 Q Okay. I think you talked about exchanging money kind of
- 15 | a couple days later. Can you explain how that works?
- 16 A Usually, week starts Monday through Sunday. And at the
- 17 | end of the Sunday, whatever lose or win, next week we will
- 18 | settle. So...
- 19 | Q When you say, "the next week," do you mean after the
- 20 | sporting event is over?
- 21 A After the Sunday, we will agree before we start what
- 22 | they like to call what day is feasible for me to pay on that.
- 23 Q Okay. Other than gambling, do you know how Rom or Joe
- 24 | made money?
- 25 A I don't know. I -- with Joe, I remember he works in

S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Chen

- 1 union job he told me. That's where I know him.
- 2 Q Okay. And all the time that you've known Rom, and
- 3 actually, can you tell us how long have you known Joe?
- 4 A Joe, I will say maybe I know him before, but I remember
- 5 probably about 17 years.
- 6 Q Okay. In all the time that you've known Rom and Joe,
- 7 | did you understand either of them to be associated with any
- 8 group or any organization?
- 9 A Only what I read in the paper and heard in media and
- 10 things like that.
- 11 | Q And what was your understanding?
- 12 A They running gambling and it's considered a Mafia.
- 13 Q Did Joe also come to Nino's Restaurant or Bruno's
- 14 | Restaurant?
- 15 A I don't remember. He used to come to Bruno's. I don't
- 16 | remember him coming to Bruno's but Nino's, yes.
- 17 | Q About how often would Joe come to Nino's Restaurant?
- 18 A Sometimes once a week, sometimes once in three weeks.
- 19 | It depends.
- 20 Q Okay. Did you ever see Rom or Joe come to
- 21 | Nino's Restaurant or Bruno's Restaurant with other people?
- 22 | A Rom, yes. I seen him with other people, yes.
- 23 Q What other people?
- 24 A With Tough Tony with other friends which I don't
- 25 remember their name.

Anthony D. Frisolone, FAPR, RDR, CRR, CRI, CSR Official Court Reporter 217

S. Selimaj - Direct/Ms. Chen 218 Who's Tough Tony? 1 Q 2 Tough Tony was from Corona, Queens. He had the 3 restaurant and he just died not too long ago. 4 Q What did you understand Tough Tony's relationship to Rom to be? 5 I think they close, either friends or partners or 6 7 something. I don't know, I never asked that. Did you ever talk to Rom about the Mafia? 8 9 Α No. 10 Were you ever approached to join any type of Mafia association? 11 12 Α One time, yes. 13 Can you tell us about that time? 14 I don't remember the year but Rom approached me. said, I wish -- you are tough, tough guy. You're not wimpy 15 like your brother. I wish you can join our family or 16 17 something like that. 18 Q What did you understand family to mean? 19 To me understand to join what they do, Mafia. 20 Q And what did you say in response? 21 I said, Mr. Rom, you're great customer. I don't want to 22 join no one. I believe in God, only one God. And if anybody 23 I choose, I will choose you but I don't want to get involved. 24 (Continued on the next page.) 25

219 Shems, Selima, - Direct - Chen DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 2 BY MS. CHEN: (Continuing.) 3 Do you have an understanding as to why Rom started coming 4 to your restaurant? 5 Well, Nino's restaurant was in place, a lot of people they used to like to come, so I guess he liked the food, and 6 7 Tough Tony used to like it and -- or Joe Watts and all those guys, they used to come. 8 9 Who is Joe Watts? 10 I don't know how to describe him. I know him as the name "Joe Watts." 11 Why did you say Joe Watts used to come and Tough Tony 12 13 used to like to come? Does that have any affect on why Rom 14 came to your restaurant? I don't know that. 15 Α 16 () Okay. 17 With respect to --18 THE COURT: Ms. Chen, you are looking for a natural 19 stopping point. Any time in the next five minutes or so. 20 I'm trying to be mindful of MS. CHEN: 0kav. 21 everybody's time. 22 Q Let's talk about your gambling with Joe in particular. 23 Do you remember when you began gambling with Joe? 24 As I said before, maybe about 17 years ago. Α 25 Q And did you gamble in the same way in terms of you

Shems, Selima, - Direct - Chen

220

- 1 did not have to put money up front when you gambled on a
- 2 | sports game?
- 3 A Yes, I did.
- 4 Q And can you talk about the exchange of money that would
- 5 happen after a game was over when you were gambling with Joe?
- 6 A After it was over, yes, we will settle all the time in my
- 7 restaurant. Either he will come to pick up or to drop off.
- 8 Depends who -- who wins.
- 9 Q Okay. Did there come a time when you stopped gambling
- 10 | with Joe?
- 11 A I did stop, I believe, 2019 maybe.
- 12 | Q Okay. Aside from the gambling organization, did you have
- 13 | any understanding as to the relationship between Rom and Joe?
- 14 A I'm sorry, repeat that again.
- 15 | Q Yes.
- Setting aside the gambling that we've been talking
- 17 | about, did you have an understanding as to the relationship
- 18 between Rom and Joe?
- 19 A As I said before, I understood Rom it's his boss or
- 20 | obviously he works for him.
- 21 | Q Did you ever see the two of them together?
- 22 | A Yes.
- 23 Q Where was that?
- 24 A In Nino's.
- 25 | Q Is there a nickname for Joe that you know other than Joe,

Denist Parisi, RPK, CRK Officiai Court Reporter

221 Shems, Selima, - Direct - Chen of course? 1 2 No. Α 3 Q Do you know where Joe is from? 4 Α Corona, Queens. 5 MS. CHEN: Your Honor, this might be a good place to stop before I go into another topic. 6 7 THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, we are going to break for the 8 9 day now. Given the relatively late start this morning, I'm 10 going to ask you to be in the jury room at nine o'clock 11 tomorrow sharp, which means in the building at ten of and we 12 will aim to be in with testimony no later than 9:15, so thank 13 you all. Have a good night. 14 Again, don't discuss the case with each other or anyone else. Don't do any independent research of any kind. 15 16 Don't visit any locations about which you've heard, but 17 otherwise have a lovely evening. 18 Thank you. 19 (Jury exits.) 20 THE COURT: Sir, you can go out this way. 21 (Witness excused.) 22 THE COURT: All right. So we've officially split 23 the baby between nine o'clock and 9:30 for tomorrow and we 24 will expect to have the jury in the box at 9:15 sharp. I will 25 ask the lawyers to be here at 9:00 a.m. or even a few minutes

	Proceedings 222	
1	before to take up the inevitable housekeeping matters that	
2	tend to arise.	
3	Other than the question about whether we're sitting	
4	on Friday, which I think the answer is going to be no to	
5	because I don't want to bring the jury in just for two hours	
6	of testimony or something, and including that, let's talk	
7	about what's on the parties' agendas.	
8	MR. McMAHON: Judge, I have a medical appointment	
9	Friday.	
10	THE COURT: Friday morning?	
11	MR. McMAHON: Friday morning.	
12	THE COURT: All right. So we are not sitting on	
13	Friday.	
14	How many more witnesses after this second witness	
15	does the Government have, approximately?	
16	MS. REHNQUIST: Ten.	
17	THE COURT: Ten witnesses.	
18	MS. REHNQUIST: Ten or thirteen.	
19	THE COURT: Okay. We are going to push, including	
20	by working on to the later side tomorrow, maybe even fully	
21	towards 5:30 and what else is on the agenda?	
22	MS. REHNQUIST: Just during cross-examination, I	
23	would just appreciate it defense counsel could show us the	
24	document or identify the document they're going to show the	
25	witness to refresh their memory before they do so.	

Proceedings

THE COURT: Yes, that sounds only appropriate.

MS. REHNQUIST: Your Honor, we may try to stipulate to certain evidence just given sort of the pace of things and trying to be mindful of the jury's time, but in case we are not able to, we may have our first expert tomorrow and I know Your Honor said your preference is not to qualify the witness in front of the jury, and I just wanted to understand what your process is for expert witnesses.

THE COURT: Generally the same foundation that you would regardless when you seek to qualify the witness. Instead of asking, I would like the witness to be qualified as an expert, my preference is that you ask for the witness to be qualified pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 702, or whatever it is, which I think means roughly the same thing, that the upshot is they can give opinion testimony and otherwise, but they don't have a stamp on their forehead that says expert as they testify.

MS. REHNQUIST: And then, similarly, I think the standard sort of question in the voir dire is if they then qualified as an expert before, would you like us to say, have you been qualified before in the Eastern District or Southern District?

THE COURT: Yes. Have you been qualified to give testimony in this same capacity, or anything of that sort is fine.

MS. REHNQUIST: Given that this may not be an item for this week or maybe we could do it -- Mr. McMahon has an appointment, sorry. We are going to need to have another charging conference, I think, based on the number of unresolved issues from Tuesday, and I wasn't sure if we should schedule that now. Admittedly we are going at a bit of a slower pace.

THE COURT: Yes, I think we should schedule that now just so we all know what we're tracking.

And I would say let's do that at the end of the day on Tuesday, perhaps? So 5:00 or 5:30 we'll just stay here and hammer this out as long as it takes.

MS. REHNQUIST: The Government is planning to submit the supplemental Sand instructions and other follow-up requests with the Court on Friday.

THE COURT: Outstanding? Let me know, again, to the extent you can, the extent to which you are agreed with the defense on the -- I mean, I can't imagine that there are going to be a lot of objections to standard Sand instructions on general topics, but if there are, let me know as soon as you can.

MS. REHNQUIST: And for the record, Your Honor, I believe Defendant Romanello left. If his attorney could waive his appearance --

MR. MARRONE: He went to the bathroom.

	Proceedings 225			
1	THE COURT: I didn't see that happen. Thank you for			
2	pointing it out.			
3	MR. McMAHON: I'm told he went to the bathroom,			
4	Judge. At the age of 86, I waive his appearance.			
5	THE COURT: At your age of 86 or his age of 86?			
6	MR. McMAHON: I'm 76, Your Honor.			
7	THE COURT: You don't look a day over 75.			
8	MR. McMAHON: Thank you.			
9	THE COURT: Yes, his appearance is waived. 75 is			
10	the new 55, we all know that.			
11	So, I'm sorry, what were you saying?			
12	MS. REHNQUIST: I can move on to the next			
13	housekeeping item.			
14	THE COURT: Yes.			
15	MS. REHNQUIST: With respect to the potential			
16	immunized witness			
17	THE COURT: When is that, as best you can project?			
18	MS. REHNQUIST: Most likely Monday.			
19	THE COURT: Okay.			
20	MS. REHNQUIST: And so I believe we are waiting for			
21	the Court's order on the motion whether or not it will be			
22	granted so we can share it with defense counsel.			
23	THE COURT: Well, the motion can't be granted until			
24	he actually invokes; right?			
25	MS. REHNQUIST: So I believe you can sign it now but			

Proceedings

it doesn't come into effect until he invokes.

THE COURT: This is a fairly standard form of order; right? They don't vary from one case to the next.

MS. REHNQUIST: That's right, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm happy to enter that order if and when the preconditions are satisfied.

MR. McMAHON: Over my objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Over your objection to the concept of immunizing this witness generally but not to any specific language in the order; right?

MR. McMAHON: Correct.

THE COURT: Okay. So, yes, we will do that.

I don't think I know the basis for your objection other than that it's not fair that they're not also immunizing your witness, but I've invited you, if you want, to make an application to that effect.

There was a fairly recent Second Circuit case, actually, I think it's 2022, it's an unpublished opinion. We looked at it this morning. It may be called *Melendez* or something like that in which the Second Circuit says, we have never found error in a district judge's refusal to order the Government to immunize the defense witness. But I think they talk a little bit about the possibility that that might happen one day and, if so, under what circumstances. I'm paraphrasing here.

	Proceedings 227
1	So, yes, that order will be entered.
2	What else on that?
3	MS. REHNQUIST: I conferred with some of my
4	colleagues about whether or not the Court needs to provide the
5	jury with the immunized witness instruction in advance of the
6	witness's testimony. We provided two examples where Judge
7	Ross and Judge Matsumoto did do that. I believe that Judge
8	Gonzalez did not do that in the Zottola trial. There was a
9	waiver by defense counsel for the reading of that instruction.
10	THE COURT: Do you want the instruction in front of
11	the jury? Assuming the
12	MR. McMAHON: Yes.
13	THE COURT: immunity is going to happen?
14	MR. McMAHON: Well, they're supposed to review it
15	carefully?
16	THE COURT: I don't remember offhand what the
17	instruction said. If you want the instruction, I would give
18	something like, I think, what Judge Ross and/or Judge
19	Matsumoto gave, but if you waive it, then I won't.
20	MR. McMAHON: I want it.
21	MS. REHNQUIST: So I think that resolves all of the
22	pending immunity issues barring the immunity issues with
23	respect to the jury charge.
24	I don't believe we have any other house oh, the
25	only other sorry. The defense had filed a letter

requesting that certain 302s be admitted and the Government filed a response and I believe that we are still waiting for a ruling on that.

THE COURT: And remind me the basis. I will look at this again tonight, but just give me the context.

MR. McMAHON: Judge, I believe that there are declarations against penal interest. There's a Second Circuit case saying there -- it's *Carneglia* and it says business records or public records as to the documents themselves.

THE COURT: So am I remembering maybe something I read in the parties' letters -- or I'm remembering this from some other context -- I thought the rule used to be that when the Government wanted to put in somebody's guilty plea allocution, that was admissible as a statement against penal interest. And then *Crawford* happened and then the confrontation clause was revived to some degree. But the defense doesn't have a confrontation clause problem the way the Government might, so it may well be that 302s are -- I understand a 302 is not a guilty plea allocution but the 302s are conceivably -- you are going to say they are just not the witness's statements.

MS. REHNQUIST: Just to orient Your Honor, these are the 302 of Luan Bexheti's interviews with law enforcement.

And for a variety of reasons, those are not admissible, they're not business records, they lack trustworthiness, they

	Proceedings 229	
1	weren't given under oath. They are actually statements in	
2	there that	
3	THE COURT: But assuming they would come in under	
4	the hearsay exception for statements against penal interest	
5	MS. REHNQUIST: Theoretically, portions of them	
6	could come in against a statement of penal interest, but a	
7	statement against penal interest is very narrow. It's a	
8	narrow exception to the hearsay rule and the length of the	
9	302s	
10	THE COURT: Right. I will look at all this tonight.	
11	MS. SCHUMAN: Your Honor, I apologize. Just to	
12	orient Your Honor to the relevant filings, the initial notice	
13	from Mr. McMahon was pursuant to Rule 807(b). That was	
14	provided just to the Government. The Government thereafter	
15	filed a motion in limine dated November 25th, 2023.	
16	THE COURT: To exclude. 807(b)?	
17	MR. McMAHON: The residual exception.	
18	MS. SCHUMAN: Yes.	
19	THE COURT: All right. Has there been a response to	
20	the Government's	
21	MR. McMAHON: There has not, Your Honor.	
22	THE COURT: motion to preclude?	
23	MS. SCHUMAN: There was, Your Honor.	
24	MR. McMAHON: Was there? I'm told there was.	
25	MS. SCHUMAN: Your Honor, on November 25th, the	

	Proceedings 230
1	Government filed its motion in limine.
2	On November 26th, last Sunday I'm sorry
3	Mr. McMahon filed his response. That response was what led to
4	the discussion about the potential the sealing issue.
5	THE COURT: Yes.
6	MS. SCHUMAN: The Government, in its response, I
7	think Mr. McMahon expanded on the potential bases by which the
8	302s can be admitted, so then the Government then filed its
9	reply on November 27th. I believe that is presently the only
10	outstanding motion.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Well, I am going to file
12	something in writing on the reputation piece. I have a draft
13	of that on my desk.
14	Thank you.
15	You may not have been in the room for this. We
16	already talked about the Government providing its requested
17	redactions on my prior written order regarding motions in
18	limine. We'll get out the reputation order tonight most
19	likely and get to this question about 302s, statement against
20	penal interest, as quickly as we can.
21	MS. REHNQUIST: And relatedly, Your Honor, I believe
22	the sealing issue is still outstanding.
23	THE COURT: Which sealing issue? Oh, so there is a

Have you filed a motion to seal?

24

25

motion to seal.

	Proceedings 231	
1	MS. REHNQUIST: In our response, we outlined why we	
2	believed it was a violation of protective order and a redacted	
3	order of Mr. McMahon's motion should be filed on the docket.	
4	MR. McMAHON: Which I disagreed with.	
5	THE COURT: Protective orders apply in discovery.	
6	Documents exchanged in discovery are not per se judicial	
7	documents under the standard sealing rubric. Once something	
8	is here now, the standard for sealing is a lot higher, and all	
9	I'm saying is there's some likelihood maybe the whole thing	
10	has to be sealed, or maybe there should be more tailored	
11	redactions, I don't know.	
12	MS. REHNQUIST: Your Honor, actually I think the	
13	point is moot now.	
14	THE COURT: Why?	
15	MS. REHNQUIST: Because it related to Mr. Selimaj's	
16	testimony in the grand jury and he just testified to it.	
17	THE COURT: So you withdraw your motion to seal?	
18	MS. REHNQUIST: Yes.	
19	THE COURT: So we should unseal the	
20	MR. McMAHON: Yes. By all means.	
21	THE COURT: Okay. You don't want to call me offline	
22	tonight and confirm that or no, I'm just kidding.	
23	Okay. We will unseal the previously sealed these	
24	are the 302s themselves; is that	
25	MS. SCHUMAN: No, Your Honor. There's two separate	

	Proceedings 232
1	issues. The Government
2	THE COURT: We'll sort this tonight.
3	MS. SCHUMAN: Okay.
4	THE COURT: As long as nothing needs to be sealed
5	anymore, we are just unsealing that which was previously
6	sealed.
7	MS. REHNQUIST: Unsealing that which the Court
8	sealed.
9	THE COURT: Right. So it's sua sponte. I do
10	remember this vaguely.
11	Did you say Danny Marino or Danny Martino?
12	MR. McMAHON: Marino. Gambinos, Your Honor. My guy
13	is supposedly a Genovese. I thought I would spice it up a
14	little.
15	THE COURT: I have a case with Richie and Danny
16	Martino, allegedly of the Gambino family. I thought you may
17	have misspoken.
18	MR. McMAHON: No. Danny Marino.
19	Okay. What else on the defense side?
20	MR. McMAHON: Nothing, Your Honor. So we'll be here
21	early tomorrow, notwithstanding I'm checking out of my hotel.
22	I will make arrangements, Judge.
23	THE COURT: I don't even check out anymore; I just
24	leave, unless I think there's going to be a dispute over the
25	bill.

	Proceedings 233
1	MR. McMAHON: I have to pack because I'm not going
2	back.
3	THE COURT: You can pack tonight even.
4	MR. McMAHON: God forbid I should prep for cross for
5	Nino.
6	THE COURT: That's true.
7	Mr. Marrone, anything else?
8	MR. MARRONE: I'm fine, Judge.
9	Thank you.
10	THE COURT: Thank you, all. See you all tomorrow.
11	
12	(Matter adjourned to November 30, 2023, 9:00 a.m.)
13	
14	* * * *
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

		234
1	INDEX	
2		
3		
4	<u>WITNESS</u> :	<u>PAGE</u> :
5	Preliminary Instructions	12
6	Opening Statement - Ms. Schuman	32
7	Opening Statement - Mr. McMahon	37
8	Opening Statement - Mr. Marrone	44
9	SHUQERI SELIMAJ	
10	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
11	BY MS. RHENQUIST	51
12	CROSS-EXAMINATION	
13	BY MR. MCMAHON	145
14	CROSS-EXAMINATION	
15	BY MR. MCMAHON	172
16	CROSS-EXAMINATION	
17	BY MR. MARRONE	186
18	SHEMSI SELIMAJ	
19	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
20	BY MS. CHEN	206
21		
22	* * * *	
23		
24		
25		

		235
1		
2	INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
3	PAGE:	
4	Government's Exhibit 12 was marked in evidence	52
5	Government's Exhibits 12-A and 12-B were marked in	
6	evidence	52
7	Government's Exhibits 13, 13-A, and 13-B were	
8	marked in evidence	56
9	Government's Exhibits 11, 11-A, and 11-B were	
10	marked in evidence	59
11	Government's Exhibit 5 was marked in evidence	60
12	Government's Exhibits 1 and 1-B were marked in	
13	evidence	69
14	Government's Exhibit 3-A was marked in evidence	105
15	Government's Exhibits 353, 313-A, 313-B, 316-A,	
16	319-A, and 323-A were marked in evidence	116
17	Government's Exhibits S-2 and 311 through 323 were	
18	marked in evidence	116
19	Government's Exhibits 500 and 501 was marked in	
20	evidence	139
21	Government's Exhibit 2 was marked in evidence	214
22	Government's Exhibit 2-B was marked in evidence	214
23		
24	****	
25		